## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY 1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 ORIGINAL In The Matter Of: 3 APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF ITS 2011 ENVIRONMENTAL 4 COMPLIANCE PLAN, FOR APPROVAL OF ITS AMENDED ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CASE NO. 5 2001-00401 SURCHARGE TARIFF, AND FOR THE GRANTING OF A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE 6 AND NECESSITY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND 7 ACQUISITION OF RELATED FACILITIES 8 VOLUME III 9 10 Transcript of Hearing before PSC 11 Commissioners David L. Armstrong, Chairman, and James 12 W. Gardner, Vice-Chairman, on May 2, 2012, at the 13 Kentucky Public Service Commission, 211 Sower 14 Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615. 15 16 17 RECEIVED 18 MAY 1 4 2012 19 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 20 21 REBECCA S. BOYD 22 bboyd@mclendon-kogut.com McLendon-Kogut Reporting Service 23 310 West Liberty Street, Suite 200 Louisville, Kentucky 40202-3014 24 (502) 585-563425

```
759
 1
                                EXHIBITS
 2
 3
                                                               Page
                                                                836
      Sierra Club Exhibit 28
      Sierra Club Exhibit 29
                                                                836
 4
                                                                836
      Sierra Club Exhibit 30
                                                                836
 5
      Sierra Club Exhibit
                                                                836
      Sierra Club Exhibit 32
                                                                836
 6
      Sierra Club Exhibit 33
                                                                836
      Sierra Club Exhibit 34
      Sierra Club Exhibit 35
                                                                836
 7
                                                                836
      Sierra Club Exhibit 36
                                                                844
      Sierra Club Exhibit 37
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
APPEARANCES
 1
 2
     FOR KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY:
     Mr. Mark R. Overstreet
     moverstreet@stites.com
 3
     Stites & Harbison PLLC
     421 West Main Street
 4
     P.O. Box 634
 5
     Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0634
     (502) 223-3477
 6
     and
 7
     Mr. Kenneth J. Gish, Jr.
 8
     kqish@stites.com
     Stites & Harbison PLLC
     250 West Main Street
 9
     Suite 2300
     Lexington, Kentucky 40507-1758
10
     (859) 226-2300
11
     and
12
     Mr. Hector Garcia
     hgarcial@aep.com
13
     American Electric Power
     1 Riverside Plaza
14
     29th Floor
     Columbus, Ohio
                      43215
15
      (614) 716-3410
16
     FOR KENTUCKY INDUSTRIAL UTILITY CUSTOMERS, INC.:
     Mr. Michael L. Kurtz
17
     Mr. Kurt J. Boehm
     Ms. Jody Kyler
18
     Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
     1510 URS Center
19
     36 East Seventh Street
     Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
20
      (513) 421-2255
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

```
APPEARANCES
1
                            (Continued)
2.
3
     FOR KENTUCKY Attorney General:
     Mr. Dennis Howard, II
     Mr. Lawrence W. Cook
4
     Ms. Jennifer Black Hans
5
     Commonwealth of Kentucky
     Office of the Attorney General
6
     Office of Rate Intervention
     1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 200
     Frankfort, Kentucky 40601
7
     (502) 696-5453
8
     FOR SIERRA CLUB:
9
     Ms. Kristin Henry
     Sierra Club
     85 Second Street, Second Floor
10
     San Francisco, California
                                  94105
     (415) 977-5693
11
12
     and
     Mr. Shannon W. Fisk
13
     745 North 24th Street
     Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19130
14
15
     and
     Mr. Joe F. Childers
16
     childerslaw81@gmail.com
     Joe F. Childers & Associates
17
     The Lexington Building
     201 West Short Street, Suite 300
18
     Lexington, Kentucky 40507
19
     (859) 253-9824
     FOR KENTUCKY Public Service Commission:
20
     Ms. Faith B. Burns
21
     Faith.Burns@ky.gov
     Mr. Quang D. Nguyen
22
     QuanqD.Nquyen@ky.gov
     211 Sower Boulevard
     P.O. Box 615
23
     Frankfort, Kentucky 40602
24
     (502) 564-39404
25
```

```
MR. OVERSTREET: Your Honor, the Company
1
     calls its last witness in support of it's cathe --
2
3
     case-in-chief, Mark Becker.
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Mr. Becker, be
4
                 Solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole
5
     sworn in.
     truth, nothing but the truth --
6
                    MR. BECKER:
                                  I do.
 7
8
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: -- subject to
     the rules of perjury?
9
                    MR. BECKER: I do.
10
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Have a seat.
11
12
     Your witness.
13
                    MR. OVERSTREET:
                                      Thank you.
14
15
16
                    MARK A. BECKER, called by Kentucky Power
17
     Company, having been first duly sworn, testified as
18
     follows:
19
20
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
21
22
23
     By Mr. Overstreet:
24
                    Good morning, Mr. Becker. Would you
25
```

please state your name, position, and business address 1 for the record? 2 My name is Mark A. Becker. I'm manager 3 resource planning. My business address is 212 East 4 Sixth Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma. 5 And by whom are you employed? 6 American Electric Power Service Α 7 8 Corporation. 9 And, Mr. Becker, did you cause to be 0 filed in this proceeding rebuttal testimony? 10 Yes, I did. Α 11 Did you cause to be filed any data 12 request responses? 13 14 No, I didn't. 15 And do you have any changes, modifications, or additions to your rebuttal 16 17 testimony? No, I do not. 18 Α And if you were asked those questions 19 here today, would your answers be the same? 2.0 Yes, they would. 21 Α MR. OVERSTREET: Witness is available 22 23 for cross-examination. MR. HOWARD: The Attorney General has no 2.4 questions, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. 2.5

MS. HENRY: I have a few questions, Your 1 2 Honor. COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Proceed. 3 4 5 6 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 9 By Ms. Henry: 10 Good morning, Mr. Becker. 11 12 A Good morning. 13 Q My name's Miss Henry. I am an attorney 14 for the Sierra Club. I'm going to ask you a couple of 15 questions. 16 Α Okay. Mr. Becker, do you agree that the 17 Commission and other intervenor -- intervenors to this 18 proceeding have a right to audit the analytical 19 20 process the Company used to support its proposed 21 project? Yes, I do. 2.2 Mr. Becker, do you agree that the 23 Commission and other parties have the right to examine 24 key assumptions and analyses as part of that audit 25

process?

A Yes, I do.

Q Do you agree that this audit pro -- in order for this audit process to be successful, the Company must provide truthful and transparent answers to questions posed to the Company?

A Yes, they should.

Q In other proceedings that Kentucky Power has been involved in, have intervenors asked for Strategist input and output files, to your knowledge?

A I'm not aware of any. No.

Q So this is the first time that, in your experience, an intervenor has requested to look at the Strategist or other models, input and output files?

A In Kentucky, yes.

Q Okay. Have you -- so you've never provided these files in the past?

A Not that I can recall. And it should be noted that for a company to provide those files, the intervenor must have a license to that model.

Q Yes. I understand that.

A Okay.

Q I would like to mark as Sierra Club Exhibit, is it 28?

MS. GILLUM: Twenty-nine.

MS. HENRY: Twenty-nine. 1 MS. GILLUM: Yes. 2 I would like to mark as Sierra Club 3 Exhibit 29 a copy of the Company's response to Sierra 4 Club's initinal -- initial response for information, 5 number 37. It'll be distributed in a second. 6 MS. GILLUM: No. It's 28. I'm sorry. 7 MR. CHILDERS: It's 28. 8 MS. GILLUM: Yeah. It's 28. I had next 9 out in front of there. 10 Are you aware that the Sierra Club's 11 initial request for information 137 requested the 12 Strategist input and output files in a 13 machine-readable format? 14 That is correct. That's what this 15 request is. 16 Yes. Isn't it correct that the company 17 Q did not provide those files in its January 27th, 2012, 18 19 response? I believe we were waiting to make sure 20 that Synapse had the proper licensure to be able to 21 provide those. 22 Are you aware the Sierra Club did not 2.3 receive the Strategist files until February 7th, 2012? 24 I'm not clear on the specific date, but 25

subject to check.

2.3

Q Isn't it true that the Strategist files that were provided to the Sierra Club on February 7th, 2012, were not reproduced the same runs achieved by the company because corrections were needed to the inputs in order to execute the model runs produced?

A Could you ask me that question one more time, please?

Q Sure. Isn't it true that the Strategist files that were produced to Sierra Club on February

7th could not reproduce the same results as the company because corrections were needed to be inputted?

A I don't know about corrections. There were changes that needed to be made to allow the model to run, but not corrections.

Q Just so you know, I believe I took the term corrections from the response by the company to the motion to compel. Let's go on. What was the term you like to use instead of corrections?

A Changes.

Q Changes. Isn't it true that the company did not Si -- tell Sierra Club about these necessary changes until after it filed its motion to compel, and the company made you available to Sierra Club experts

to discuss the necessary corrections?

2.

- A I believe that is the case. Yes.
- Q I'd like to mark my next exhibit Sierra Club 29, which is a copy of the Company's response to Sierra Club's initinal -- initial request for information, number 69.

So in this data request, the Sierra Club requested all assumptions and workbooks in electronic format with all calculations operate -- with all calculations operational and formula intact that were used to prepare SCW 1, which is Scott Weaver Exhibit 1. Isn't it true that the -- that Company's response directed Sierra Club's to KIUC first set of information request number 28?

- A That's what the response says. Yes.
- Q Okay. I'm going to now distribute
  Sierra Club Exhibit 30, which is a copy of the
  Company's response to that KIUC initial request 128.
  - A Okay.
- Q Isn't it true that the response to KIUC first set of informa -- first data request 28 directed Sierra Club to the response to the Kentucky Public Service Commission initial request number 48 and associated attachments?
  - A That's what the response says. Yes.

Q Okay. I'd now like to distribute the response to Public Service Commission 148. Are you aware that the response to Public Service Commission request 128 and -- that was provided on January 27th, 2012, and associated attachments provided in excel files for exhibits SCW 1 through 4, and that the calculations and workbooks were not operational in the response provided?

A I'm not aware of that. No.

Q Okay. Are you aware of the fact that the associated workbooks were also -- the associated workbooks did not have the formula intact?

A Which associated workbooks?

Q It -- it's -- it relates back to the initial request for -- which was Sierra Club 29, which requested all calculations, operational formula intact. Are you aware that the Excel spreadsheets did not have the formula intact?

A No, I'm not.

2.2.

Q Are you aware of the fact that the company also did not provide the underlying assum -- assumption documentation and response to that request?

A No, I'm not.

Q Isn't it true that the -- the company also did not provide any of the Aurora input or output

files in response to the initial data request 1 submitted by Sierra Club? 2 I don't believe the Aurora files could 3 А be produced because they're proprietary in nature. 4 Could the input and output files be 5 produced? 6 I do not know. I'm not an Aurora 7 8 modeler. So I understand that you said that 9 0 they're proprietary information, so they couldn't be 10 distributed. In the Company's response to data 11 requests, did they say we cannot provide these because 12 they're proprietary information? 13 In the Auror -- for the Aurora model? 14 Yes. 15 I do not know. 16 I'd now like to mark a copy of Sierra 0 17 Club supplemental request number four. And this will 18 be Exhibit 31. 19 MS. GILLUM: Thirty-two. 20 Thirty-two? MR. HOWARD: 21 MS. HENRY: Yeah. 2-4. 2.2 MR. OVERSTREET: Excuse me, Miss Henry. 2.3 What data request were -- was -- asked for the Aurora 24

files? Did you say? I just didn't hear.

MS. HENRY: It -- it goes -- 'cause the 1 Aurora files request Scott Weaver's. So that would be 2 Ex -- it would go to Sierra Club 129. 3 MR. OVERSTREET: 129. 4 Which also relates to --MS. HENRY: 5 MR. OVERSTREET: Thank you. 6 MS. HENRY: -- KIUC --7 That answers my MR. OVERSTREET: 8 9 question. Thanks. Looking at this data request, isn't it 10 true that Sierra Club requested that the company 11 clearly define and reconcile the major group of 12 capital costs used in the Strategist model with tho --13 14 those described in witness testimony? Example: for the scrubber, cost of boiler modification, and 15 cost of the life extensions. 16 Yes, it is. 17 Α Please refer to -- isn't it true -- I 18 would now like to distribute Sierra Club Exhibit 32. 19 COMMISSIONER GARDNER: 33. 20 MS. GILLUM: It is 33, ma'am. 21 Thirty-three. Sorry. 22 MS. HENRY: Which is going to be a copy of the 23 Company's response to Sierra Club second request 234. 24 Isn't it true that Sierra Club's 25

explicitly requested all inputs to the Aurora model in machine-readable format, the distribution assumed for each of the six key factors considered in the Aurora model in a machine-readable format and the rational supporting each of the distributions for each of the six key risk factors?

A That's correct.

Q I would now like to distribute Sierra
Club Exhibit 34, which is going to be a copy of the
Company's response to Sierra Club's second information
request number 35.

Isn't it true that Sierra Club requested all inputs to the Aurora model in operational electronic format, all outputs from the Aurora model by year in operational electronic format, all inputs used to prepare Exhibits Scott C. Weaver 5 by year in electronic operational format, and all work papers used to prepare Exhibit Scott Weaver 5 in operational electronic format?

A That is what the request says. Yes.

Q I would now like to distribute Sierra Club 35, which is a copy of the Company's response to Sierra Club's second request for information number 39.

Referring to this second information

request 39, isn't it true that Sierra Club referred to the Company's response to Kentucky Public Service Commission 168 and 171, Sierra Club 147, and AG 113 with respect to the projections for wholesale power prices requested all inputs to the Aurora model for the simulation and operational electronic format, outputs from the Aurora model for the simulation by year in operational electronic format?

A That is correct. That's what the request says.

Q Are you aware that Sierra Club had to file a motion to compel in order to get the Aurora input and output files?

A I'm not aware of that. No.

Q Are you aware the Sierra Club had to file a motion to compel in order to get the backup files or work papers for Scott C. Weaver Exhibits 1 through 4?

A I'm not aware of that. No.

Q Let's refer back to Sierra Club 2-4, which was Exhibit Number 32. Let me know when you're there.

A Okay.

2.2

Q Isn't it true that the Company's response to Sierra Club's second request for

information number 4 was incomplete because the Company did not reconcile the major groups of capital costs described in the witness testimony with those in the Strategist model?

A I'm not sure what you mean by reconcile.

Q Well, I believe that the request asked to reconcile. Please clearly define and reconcile the major groups of capital costs used in the Strategist model.

A And -- and our response was is that the capital costs used in Mr. Weaver's table two were the capital costs that were used in the Strategist model.

Q And do you say that the fi -- and do you say that those capital costs were defined in the Proview module of Strategist?

A Yes.

2.

2.2

2.5

q Yes. Isn't it true that the Company's response was incomplete as it states the capital cost for the four alternative option is defined by the Proview module Strategist which has a single capital cost variable consisting of the base cost with AFUDC, and per your rebuttal testimony, not all capital costs associated with the retrofit projects were represented in that module?

A The Proview module represents one

1 portion of the capital cost. 2 And did you refer us to another portion 3 of the capital cost in your response to 2-4? 4 А I'm not sure. 5 Well, I -- I'm looking at the response 6 Besides the Proview module, do you -- do you 7 direct Sierra Club to another area to find capital 8 costs? 9 No, we do not, but --10 Okay. Thank you. Are you the same Mr. 11 Becker who spoke with Sierra Club experts regarding 12 the use of the Strategist model on February 24th? 13 Α Yes, I am. 14 What was the purpose of that conver --15 was the purpose of that conversation to discuss the 16 changes that needed to be made to the Strategist model 17 in order to reproduce the Company's result? 18 Α Yes, it was. There was also some 19 conversation about the inclusion of ongoing capital 20 costs and fixed O and M. 21 We're going to get there. 22 Α Okav. If that's okay. So what changes were 23

required to be made to the Strategist model?

In order to --

24

You -- we say that the changes had to be 1 made to the Strategist model in order for Sierra Club 2 to use the files provided to reproduce the Company's 3 results. You walked through -- I believe you spoke 4 with Miss Wilson --5 Correct. 6 -- and Dr. Fisher, and you walked 7 through some changes. 8 Yes. 9 А Are you familiar with that? 10 Α Yes. 11 Would vou please tell -- tell the 12 Commission what those changes were? 13 Those changes were related to the 14 reserve margin logic in order to allow the model to 15 optimize a solution and run for the length of the --16 the study period through 2040. 17 So is it -- is it correct that you had 18 to change the operating life of Big Sandy 2 to 30 19 years for the low-band scenario? 20 21 Α Yes, it was. Is it correct that you had to remove 22 a -- that Strategist wanted to put on a CC unit in 2.3 2032 in Option 1, and that that had to be removed? 24

Yes, it was.

Α

1 Okay. Isn't it correct that you had to change the operating life for Big Sandy 2 to 30 years 2 3 because the Strategist mo -- model itself retired that unit? 4 I'm not sure. 5 Α If you ran the Strategist model without 6 making that change, would it have retired Big Sandy 2 7 prior to the operating life that you re -- would like? 8 9 Yes, it would. Yes, it would. Okay. During the 10 conversation that you had with Miss Wilson and Dr. 11 12 Fisher, did they request information regarding the 13 behavior of fixed O and M cost category that was used by AEP? 14 15 Yes, and we discussed that. And did you provide information to the 16 Q Sierra Club experts regarding -- you -- so you did 17 18 provide information regarding this fixed O and M cost 19 category? That's my recollection. 20 Yes. 21 And did you indicate that this fixed O 0 and M category included capital expenditures? 2.2 Yes. Ongoing capital expenditures. 2.3 A Yes. 24

So you said it included ongoing capital

25

expenditures?

2.3

A And I believe we also discussed the capital expenditures that had to be modeled for the retrofit as well. That's my recollection, anyway.

Q And isn't it -- isn't it true that subsequently, Dr. Fisher and Miss Wilson said they had some follow-up questions because they needed to figure out how these ongoing costs were operating, and isn't it true that doc -- is that correct?

MR. OVERSTREET: Wait. Wait. Wait.

A That's my recollection, yes, but we were -- the purpose of our phone call was to try to help the Synapse staff with getting the model to run through 2040, and we were instructed to limit our conversation to those questions, but we did answer a few questions about their -- their fixed O and M -- or our fixed O and M only.

MS. HENRY: Yeah.

Q And you stated that the cost was for an ongoing capital cost --

A I believe we discussed --

Q -- as opposed to an upfront investment?

A It's my recollection that we may have discussed that as well.

Q That they said this is an upfront

capital cost or an ongoing capital cost --1 2. I believe --3 -- and you --I believe both, but that's just my 4 5 recollection from the conversation. 6 Okay. Isn't it correct that -- that Dr. 7 Fisher and Miss Wilson asked if this was an ongoing 8 capital cost or an upfront capital cost, and you 9 responded that it was an ongoing capital cost 10 expenditure? 11 Α I don't remember that particular piece of the --12 13 0 Okay. 14 -- conversation. 15 All right. Let's refer -- I'm going to introduce as Sierra Club Exhibit 36. This is going to 16 17 be a copy of Miss Wilson's notes from the --18 Uh-huh. 19 -- conversation that she had with you. 20 MS. HENRY: Oh, you don't have one? You 21 know, I'm sorry, Chairman. We didn't actually produce 22 that one. 23 But if you could refer, it was produced 24 in response to Kentucky Power Company's initial 25 request for information number 23.

```
I don't have that.
1
              Α
                    MR. OVERSTREET: I'll get it.
2
                    MR. HOWARD: Kentuck -- I'm sorry --
3
                    MS. HENRY: Kentucky Power --
4
                    MR. HOWARD: -- Coun --
5
                    MS. HENRY: -- Company's initial request
6
     for information to Sierra Club.
7
                    MR. HOWARD: Thank you. Number 23?
8
                    MS. HENRY: Yes. Number 23. And --
9
                    MR. HOWARD: Thank you.
10
                    MS. HENRY: -- I'm sorry I don't have
11
12
     a copy.
13
                    MR. HOWARD:
                                 No, that's --
                                     Oh. It's your response
                    MR. OVERSTREET:
14
15
     to our data request?
                    MS. HENRY: Yes.
16
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: You can have
17
     somebody make a copy of that.
18
                    MS. HENRY: I'm -- I'm sorry?
19
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Well, would you
20
     like to enter his copy?
21
                    MS. HENRY: Or maybe I can make a copy
22
23
     and --
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Someone will do
24
25
     that.
```

```
MS. HENRY: -- introduce it in the -- we
1
2
     can get a copy now.
 3
                    MR. GISH:
                               What number is that again?
                    MS. HENRY: It's -- it's you --
 4
     company's request to Sierra Club number 23.
 5
                    And you have a copy of that, Mr. Becker,
 6
7
     now?
                    Yes, I do.
8
              Α
                           Reviewing Mrs. Wilson's notes to
 9
                    Okay.
10
     refresh your memory, does it note that the capital
11
     expenditure included in the fixed O and M category was
12
     for an ongoing capital expenditure?
13
                    MR. GISH: Can we --
14
                    MS. HENRY: Oh, yeah. I'm sorry.
     going to wait until --
15
                    That's fine.
16
              Α
                    MS. HENRY: And if you want to wait.
17
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Let's wait till
18
19
     I get a copy of that.
                    MR. FISK: We're having it printed right
20
21
     now.
22
                    MS. HENRY: I believe we're going to
     wait until -- would -- would you prefer to wait until
2.3
24
25
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG:
```

MS. HENRY: We're going to just take a 1 few minutes until -- sorry about the delay. 2 COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: That's all 3 right. You want to freeze this moment and go on to 4 5 another matter? MS. HENRY: Well, it -- they kind of --6 7 if --COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Okay. 8 MS. HENRY: They advised it's going to 9 ca -- take a couple of minutes. Should we -- do you 10 want to take a five-minute break or do you want to --11 MR. COOK: Well, we're kind of pushing 12 13 time here. MR. CHILDERS: Well, do -- do you have 14 any questions you want to --15 16 MS. HENRY: They kind of all -- yeah. They all go kind on from that. If you -- however 17 you'd like to proceed, Chairman. And I -- I only have 18 a few more. Maybe another five minutes of questions 19 20 once we get the document. COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: We'll let you 21 go ahead, and when that is copied --2.2 MR. CHILDERS: We'll get it just in a --23 24 just in a minute, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Proceed. 25

Q (By Miss Henry) So you're now looking at a copy of Miss Wilson's notes from that call. Looking at those notes, does this refresh your memory that you told Dr. Fisher and Miss Wilson that these O and M -- this -- this fixed O and M cost category was an ongoing capital expenditure?

A That's what her notes say. It's my recollection that we discussed capital as well.

Q I believe you actually said ongoing when we spoke earlier.

A That's correct.

2.4

Q Yes. Okay. And isn't it true that Miss Wilson --

MR. OVERSTREET: Wait a minute. Let him -- please let him finish his answer.

MS. HENRY: Oh, sorry.

A These are -- this is Miss Wilson's understanding -- this is Miss Wilson's understanding of our conversation. My understanding is different than what she has in her notes here.

Q So can I ask another question? Did Miss Wilson and Dr. Fisher, when you stated -- when you gave your answer regarding capital expenditures, didn't they say we have some follow-up questions to help us understand this expenditure, and didn't you

say that you could not answer those?

2.4

MR. OVERSTREET: Objection. A compound question.

Q Okay. Didn't Miss -- didn't Miss Wilson and Dr. Fisher, after you told them your explanation of these expenditures, didn't they say they had some follow-up questions?

A I don't remember.

Q And didn't you -- do you remember, sir, that you told them that you couldn't answer those questions because you were limited to the topics that ou discussed with them?

A Yes. That -- that's correct.

Q Referring back to Sierra Club request

2-4. It's supplemental, so it's 2-4, which is

Exhibit -- Exhibit 32. When Miss -- Miss -- Miss

Wilson and Dr. Fisher asked you these questions about
the capital costs and trying to reconcile them, don't
you think you should have answered the question giving
the discovery responses that had been posed to the

Company?

A No. I was instructed by our counsel to limit our conversation to just getting the model -- to help them getting the model to run.

Q Okay. What --

And I went a little bit further and 1 2. asked -- and answered some of these fixed O and M 3 questions as well. 4 In order to split the capital costs into 5 the carrying costs, and those which were used in the 6 fixed O and M category, did you need to make some 7 calculations? 8 А Yes, we did. 9 Did you provide those calculations in 10 discovery? 11 I don't know. Would you be surprised to find out that 12 Q you did not provide those calculations? 13 I would, but --14 15 Can you describe those calculations? 0 16 Α To include fixed O -- to include capital 17 costs? 18 0 But -- to split the capital costs into a 19 carrying cost and a fixed -- into the fixed O and M 20 category. 21 What kind of capital cost? 22 Well, I mean, as you described in your 23 rebuttal testimony, it was the costs associated with 24 the fact that Big Sandy wasn't going to come online

until midyear 2016, and how you treated those.

A Okay. One of the requirements of the Strategist model is that you can only model capital costs on an annual basis up to the in-service year. Any capital costs that occur after the in-service year have to be accounted for in some other manner.

We took the capital costs that occurred in 2016 and 2017 for the retrofit expenditures, and using a levelized fixed charge rate for 15 years, created a stream of annual carrying charges, and then took those annual carrying charges and included them in with our fixed O and M assumptions.

Q So let's refer back to question 2-4, which is -- do you believe that you should have provided those calculations in response to this request?

A No. I believe we used, in our Proview modeling, the table two in our Strategist modeling.

- Q Are you aware of the capital expenditure and recovery module of Strategist?
  - A Yes, I am.
  - Q It's also known as the CER?
  - A Uh-huh.

- Q Does the Company license the CER module?
- A No, we do not.
- Q So you did not use the CER module in the

Strategist analysis?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

A No, because we do not license that module of Strategist.

Q Are you aware that the CER module is able to handle midyear installation costs similar to those discussed in your rebuttal testimony?

A Yes, I am. I've used the CER before --

Q You have used --

A -- in another company.

Q For another company. Do you know that Vintex, the model vendor, recommends using the CER model you'll for such midyear installations?

A I do not.

Q Are you aware that there are potential downsides to the mechanism that you described about how you handled midyear costs in your -- in your rebuttal testimony on page 8 versus the CER module?

A No. I believe we adequately captured those costs in our modeling.

Q Are you aware that the vendor recommends using the CER module so that there are no scribner's errors or -- to guard -- that they recommend using the CER module to guard against errors?

A Errors in what?

O Human errors in calculations.

I'm not aware of the -- of Vintex making Α 1 2 that statement, no. Oh. If I may, I would like to introduce 3 right now Sierra Club Exhibit 36, which is a copy of 4 Miss Wilson's notes. Are you aware that Vintex 5 recommends using the CER module to ensure that 6 calculations were not done wrong in the Excel 7 8 spreadsheet? I'm not aware of that, no. And I'm 9 In what Excel spreadsheet? 10 sorry. Well, you -- the calculations that you 11 did outside. Any outside calculations versus done in 12 the model. Didn't you -- we --13 Could you ask --14 Α -- just talk --15 0 Α Could you ask me that question one more 16 17 time, please? I can rephrase it. Isn't it true that 18 Vintex, the model vendor, recommends using the CER 19 module to guard against errors associated with 20 human's -- with scribner's errors? 21 I'm not aware of Vintex making that 22 2.3 statement. No. Okay. Dr. Becker, is it correct that --2.4 25 Oh, Dr. Α

-- when -- is it correct that during 1 vour call with Miss Wilson and Dr. Fisher --2 Uh-huh. 3 -- they asked if they could record the 4 5 call? That is correct. 6 Α And did you tell them that no, that was 7 0 not possible? 8 On -- on the advice of our counsel, that 9 is correct. 10 Yes. And Miss Wilson submitted her notes 11 regarding this call; is that correct? In discovery 12 response to the Company. 13 14 That's correct. Isn't it correct that you do not rebut 15 Miss -- Miss Wilson's notes regarding the ongoing 16 17 capital expenditure? That is correct. 18 MS. HENRY: Can I just take one moment? 19 Is it correct that you offered different 20 0 resource options to the Strategist model for each of 21 the alternatives considered beyond the fundamental 22 difference in alternatives? 23 Could you ask me that one more time, 24 25 please?

Q Yes. Isn't it -- isn't it true -- isn't it correct that you offered different resource options to the Strategist model for each of the alternatives considered by the Company beyond the fundamental difference in alternatives?

A If you're asking did we -- did we have alternatives in the model so that we could meet reserve margin requirements, is that your question?

Q So certain alternatives considered in the model were, obviously, Option 1, Option 2, Option 3, and the four, correct? And the Option 4A and B?

A Correct.

2.3

Q And that there were fundamental differences in those alternatives in the sense that Option 1 was retrofitting a coal unit, Option 2 was dealing with a combined cycle unit, Option 3 was dealing with a combined cycle unit, and Option 4 was dealing with market to purchase this?

A Correct.

Q Okay. And aside from those fundamental differences, meaning that Option 1 dealt with coal, the other two op --

A Uh-huh.

Q -- next two options dealt with CC, and the fourth option dealt with market purchases. Other

```
than those fundamental differences, did you -- did you
 1
 2
     offer different resource options to each alternative?
                    In lieu of the -- in lieu of putting in
 3
 4
     those --
                    In addition --
 5
               Q
                    -- off --
 6
               Α
 7
                    -- to.
               0
 8
                    In addition to putting in tho -- yes, we
               Α
     did.
 9
                    MS. HENRY: That's all the questions I
10
11
     have.
12
                    MR. KURTZ: I do now -- Your Honor, I
     was not aware of -- of all of this. I do have some
13
14
     questions.
15
16
17
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
18
19
20
     By Mr. Kurtz:
21
                    Were you involved, Mr. Becker, in the
22
     June 9, 2011, decision to cancel -- to -- to retire
23
     both Big Sandy units?
24
25
                    No, I was not.
```

Were you -- were you part of the October 1 2 7, 2011, analysis which is now marked as KIUC number 3 11 where AEP studied the Big Sandy retrofit as well as a ten-year power purchase, and the answer was: 4 5 ten-year power purchase, which is Option 4B, is \$140 6 million net present value cheaper? 7 MR. OVERSTREET: Mr. Kurtz, let me show 8 him the exhibit, please. 9 (Mr. Overstreet handed document to the 10 witness.) Could you ask the question again, 11 12 please? 13 Were you involved in this October 7, 0 2011, analysis which shows that Option 4B, the 14 15 ten-year purchase, is \$140 million net present value cheaper than the -- than the \$940 million scrubber 16 retrofit? 17 I don't recollect this analysis. 18 19 Okay. So do you -- were you part -- so 20 I quess you were not part of the analysis which showed 21 that there would be another \$202 million net present 22 value detriment to the scrubber if it was retired 23 early in 15 years? As I said, I don't recollect this 24 25 analysis, no.

Now, were you part of the -- two months 1 later, the December 5, 2011, filing at the Commission 2. to -- for approval of this \$940 million project? 3 4 A In what respect? Were -- did they -- did you -- did you 5 6 provide analysis that AEP management decided that they 7 would ask this commission for a 35-percent rate increase, the \$940 million scrubber retrofit? 8 9 Α Yes. Now, but you weren't involved in the 10 decision to cancel or in the October 7 decision 11 which -- which showed that the scrubber was 12 1.3 ineconomic? Excuse me. I'm going 14 MR. OVERSTREET: to object. There's no decision --15 I'll -- I'll -- I'll -- I'll -- I'll 16 0 with -- I mean, let me -- let me rephrase. 17 18 the -- the key evidence to support the December 5th filing are these Weaver Strategist model run summary 19 20 pages, which are his exhibits 4A, B, C, D, and E. Did 21 you -- were you involved in the preparation of those 22 documents? 2.3 Yes, I was. 24 Okay. Now, I quess Staff asked for the backup documents in their question 48, and that was 25

provided with just a printout version of -- of the backup documents to these Exhibits 4A through E; is that correct?

A I guess so. Yes.

Q Now, Sierra Club apparently asked you for the computer models and the formulas so they -- the -- that -- that -- that backed up the -- this information. Is that what we just learned?

A Yes, and they -- and we -- they -- we provided them with the computer models.

Q Well, it seems like you gave them a pretty good runaround before they could finally get -
MR. OVERSTREET: Wait a minute. I'm going to object.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  KURTZ: I'm not finished with my question.

MR. OVERSTREET: Well, I'm going to object, Mr. Kurtz, because you're badgering the witness. Now, if you --

MR. KURTZ: Well, I'll rephrase.

Q It seemed like Sierra Club had to go through a lot of -- a lot of discovery just to get to the computer model runs which in the end, they couldn't re -- reproduce your models because they -- there were all these changes to it.

And we provided them with those changes, 1 2 and they were able to execute their runs. 3 Do you understand that the Commission 4 has to make a decision in -- in six months in the -these environmental cases? 5 6 А Yes, sir. Do you understand that intervenors 7 own -- by commission order only get two rounds of 8 discovery? 9 Yes, sir. 10 Then why didn't you provide this 11 information up front with your filing so that the 12 Commission Staff and the Intervenors could -- could --1.3 could -- could verify your calculations? 14 I believe we provided quite a bit of 15 information to allow them to verify their calculations 16 as well as spoke to them on the phone to allow them to 17 18 be able to get the Strategist model to run. 19 After their motion to compel because you didn't provide the information in their discovery; 20 21 isn't that right? We provided those Strategist runs in our 22 Α 23 discovery, yes. How many jurisdictions did -- do you 24

provide support for AEP in?

25

A Several.

2.4

- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$   $\ensuremath{\mathtt{How}}$   $\ensuremath{\mathtt{--}}$  how many is several? All 11 that they operate in?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. Do -- do -- is this the type of information you provide to all those jurisdictions in support of a billion dollar investment? Is this typical of the type of information you provide?
- A I think the testimony that Mr. Weaver has provided we've used in other jurisdictions as well.
- Q That didn't answer my question. Is this the way you typically file a billion dollar capital case, with just the -- the summary output four Pa -- five pages, and then people have to dig to get the -- the models that -- that back it up?
- A I don't think that's typical of what we do. We provided a suf -- significant amount of information in this case to allow the Intervenors to review our results.
- Q You understand that -- that -- that AEP has the burden of proof in this case?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Do you ever talk to the CEO of AEP about

the -- the -- was he involved in the decision to -- to 1 ask for this \$940 million scrubber? 2 I've never spoken to him about it, no. 3 Do -- do you know if he was involved in 4 0 the decision to make -- to -- to ask this commission 5 for -- for approval? 6 I do not know. I would imagine so. 7 Wa -- was he involved in the decision 0 8 that -- to reverse the decision to -- the June 9, 9 2011, decision to -- to retire both plants? 10 I do not know. 11 MR. KURTZ: No more questions. 12 MS. BURNS: No, sir. 13 MR. HOWARD: No more questions. 14 15 16 17 EXAMINATION 18 19 By Commissioner Gardner: 20 21 Mr. Becker, I have a couple questions. 22 I notice that you're in -- your office is in Tulsa, 23 and Mr. Weaver's and Mr. Bletzacker's offices are in 24 Columbus. Do you have any formal relationship with 25

them where one is the boss of the other or you-all are on same teams? Can you talk to me just a bit about your relationship with those two folks?

A I report directly to Mr. Weaver.

Q Okay. I'm going to make a couple assumptions in my next question, and -- and the -- and you may disagree with the assumptions, and that's fine, but the first assumption is that PJM is a summer-peaking system, and that Kentucky Power is a winter-peaking system. Do -- do you -- first of all, do you agree with that or do you have any opinion at all in that?

A I believe that is correct. Yes.

Q Okay. Now, because the -- the peaks of the two are different, wouldn't -- wouldn't the cost that Kentucky Power would be purchasing power from the market be cheaper than if their peaks were the same?

A Could you ask me that question --

O Sure.

2.

2.2

A -- one more time?

Q When -- when Kentucky Power is most likely to need power, which is in the winter, and in PJM, it -- it's not peaking in the winter, that the price of power would be cheaper for Kentucky Power than if the peaks were the same?

A Well, we have to plan to PJM summer peak. That's a requirement of -- of being in PJM. As far as purchasing power, we purchase power year round, when it's economic to do so.

Q Okay. And --

2.3

A Purchase energy, I will say.

Q Sure. Sure. And the -- the same -- let me ask about gas purchases. Wouldn't -- let me just ask the question this way. Because the two systems, PJM and Kentucky Power, are -- have different peaks, what did -- because of that, did -- were tho -- was that included any way in the modeling that you-all did?

A We modeled monthly peaks in the model over the 40-year period. So -- and -- and then we have a market energy price. So anytime during the year and during those months, when we're performing a dispatch, it's advantageous for Kentucky Power to purchase energy, we purchase energy in our modeling, as well as in -- in real life.

Q Okay. Is -- you were asked if you were involved in the modeling in other states. Is -- is there any different input with respect to other states that may not have the same peaking as Kentucky Power? In other words, is -- is the input identical between

what you would do in Kentucky and what would be done in Oklahoma or what would be done in --

A Well, the --

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

O -- Indiana?

A -- inputs in the model would be the -the inputs -- the required inputs for the model are
the same regardless of the state. However, obviously,
the states would all have -- or operating companies
would all have different peak in energy requirements
in the model.

- Q Okay. Were the --
- A Different load requirements.
- Q Okay. Were the dollar figures inputted into the model for Kentucky Power -- was there any variation because Kentucky Power is a winter-peaking company?
- A No. We model the PJM energy market as a whole, and -- and Kentucky would be part of that PJM energy market.
- Q Okay. And -- and that -- that -- that was what was inputted into the model?
  - A That's correct.
- Q Okay.
- A If -- if we were to model Indiana

  Michigan or any of the other PJM members, we would use

that same market price forecast.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Okay. Now let me ask you about gas price, since the price of gas was significant with respect to the models. Was -- was there any difference in the gas price because of -- because of Kentucky Power is a winter-peaking system, and the price of gas would likely be cheaper during that time?

A In our modeling, we model monthly gas prices. So we would represent the price that Kentucky Power could buy gas under on a monthly basis in our modeling.

Q And did the price of gas change throughout the course of the year --

A Yes, sir.

Q You did that monthly?

A Yes. Yes.

Q Okay.

A As well as energy prices.

COMMISSIONER GARDNER: Okay. All right.

That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. OVERSTREET: I have no redirect,

Your Honor.

MS. HENRY: May I ask one additional

question?

25 COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Uh-huh.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

2

3

1

By Ms. Henry:

4

5

6

Q I'd like to refer you to Sierra Club Exhibit 1, which was introduced yesterday.

7

MS. HENRY: Can you provide that to your witness?

9

10

MR. OVERSTREET: If this is recross on what came around or is this just a second bout at the end?

11

MS. HENRY: I quess we'll see.

13

12

MR. OVERSTREET: What was Sierra 1?

14

MS. HENRY: Sierra 1 was -- it was

15

commission staff's fourth set of data requests.

16

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$  . OVERSTREET: Oh. Asking about the

(By Ms. Henry) Mr. Becker, I just have a

17

updates.

18

MS. HENRY: The number four.

19

small follow-up. So you stated earlier that -- so I

20

small lollow-up. So you stated earlier that '- so i

21

just want to -- can you turn to the second page of

22

this response to the Company's?

23

A Okay.

24

2.5

Q And then it is going to be the third-to-last sentence in the first paragraph. I'm

actually -- I'm sorry. It's actually the 1 second-to-last sentence in that first full paragraph. 2 Does it state, (Reading) In addition, it would take 3 another four weeks of Strategist work to complete all 4 the modeling? 5 And this is in reference to providing an 6 update to our analysis using any revised assumptions 7 that we have? 8 Is that correct? So it Yeah. Yes. 9 0 would take four weeks to do the Strategist work? 10 Α Roughly. 11 And --0 12 Given -- given -- given where this 13 А 14 were --To change the assumptions. Is that --15 16 is that what you said? To change --In our modeling. 17 Α Change the assumptions in your 18 Yes. model would take four weeks. And just to recap, the 19 Sierra Club got those files for Strategist on February 20 7th, and they did not -- and they were nonoperational. 21 Well --22 Α

weeks -- I think what this is saying here, it would

-- it would -- it would take four

Is that --

23

24

25

take four weeks to change the inputs as well as to update all of the mo -- any modeling runs. Execute the runs, produce output.

MS. HENRY: Correct. Thank you. That's all the questions I have.

MR. OVERSTREET: Nothing further.

COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: You're excused.

Thank you very much.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, I've spoken with the parties, and Miss Burns, I forgot to approach you. What we would like to do, we have prearranged the hearing in -- in regard to the scheduling of witnesses. We're trying to put out of order at this point, and although the Company has finished with its case, Dr. Woolridge on next. None of the parties have any issue with that. So by so doing we can safety taxpayers \$1,500 or so, if that's okay with the --

COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Sure.

MR. HOWARD: -- commissioners.

MR. OVERSTREET: Your Honor --

COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Yes.

MR. OVERSTREET: Thank you as a

taxpayer.

MR. HOWARD: You're welcome.

MR. OVERSTREET: I just wanted to let

the Commission know that except for Mr. Baron, the 1 Company does not anticipate having cross for any of 2 the intervenor witnesses. 3 COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Very good. 4 MR. HOWARD: Dr. Woolridge. Probably 5 don't need to pour yourself a glass of water or 6 7 anything like that. COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Be sworn. 8 9 Solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, nothing but the truth subject to the rules of perjury? 10 THE WITNESS: I do. 11 COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Have a seat. 12 Speak up loud and clear. 13 14 15 16 J. RANDALL WOOLRIDGE, called by the 17 Kentucky Attorney General, having been first duly 18 sworn, testified as follows: 19 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 2.2 23 By Mr. Howard: 24 Please state your name and address, sir. 25 0

```
My name is the initial J. Randall
1
     Woolridge, and that's spelled W-O-O-L-R-I-D-G-E.
2
                    And what is your --
 3
               Α
                    My --
 4
5
               0
                    I'm sorry.
                    My address is 120 Haymaker circle in
6
               A
7
     State College, Pennsylvania.
                    What is your profession?
8
                    I am a professor of finance at Penn
9
               Α
     State University.
10
                    Are you testifying in this case on
11
     behalf of the Attorney General?
12
13
               Α
                    Yes.
                    Did you prefile testimony in this case?
14
               Α
                    Yes.
15
                    Do you have any changes, modifications,
16
               Q
     or additions thereto?
17
               Α
                    No.
18
19
                    MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this has
20
     already been prefiled. I would move that it be
     introduced into the record.
21
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Miss Burns?
22
                     (Ms. Burns shook head.)
23
                    MR. HOWARD: I offer the witness for
24
25
     cross-examination.
```

```
MR. GISH: No questions.
1
                                   No questions, Your Honor.
                    MR. CHILDERS:
2
                    MR. KURTZ: No questions.
3
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Mr.
 4
     Woolridge.
5
                    MS. HANS:
                               That's all for the Attorney
 6
7
     General's office, Your Honor. Thank you.
                    MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, KIUC has three
8
                 I take it from Mr. Overstreet's comments
9
     witnesses.
10
     that he has no cross for Mr. Kollen or Mr. Hill, and
     since their testimony is prefiled, does Staff have
11
12
     questions here?
                    MR. NGUYEN: We'll have questions for
13
14
     Mr. Kollen --
                    MR. KURTZ:
                               For --
15
                    MR. NGUYEN: -- but --
16
                    MR. KURTZ: -- Mr. Kollen?
17
                    MR. NGUYEN: And that -- that --
18
                    MR. KURTZ: Okay.
19
                    MR. NGUYEN: -- will be it.
20
                    MR. KURTZ: Okay. You want to go?
21
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Be sworn.
22
23
     Solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
24
     nothing but the truth subject to the rules of perjury?
25
                    MR. KOLLEN: Yes, I do.
```

COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Have a seat. 1 Speak up loud and clear. 2 3 4 5 LANE KOLLEN, called by Kentucky 6 Industrial Utility Customers, Inc., having been first 7 duly sworn, testified as follows: 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 11 12 By Mr. Kurtz: 13 Will you -- will you state your name and 14 business address for the record, please? 15 Yes. My name is Lane Kollen. My 16 business address is J. Kennedy & Associates, 17 Incorporated, 570 Colonial Park Drive, Suite 305, 18 Rosswell, Georgia, 30075. 19 Do you have in front of you a document 20 entitled direct testimony and exhibits of Lane Kollen? 21 22 Α Yes. Was that prepared by you or under your 23 direct supervision? 24 2.5 Yes. Α

If I were to ask you the same questions 1 as those contained therein, would your answers be the 2 3 same? They would with certain corrections. А 4 Okay. Do you want to tell the -- go 5 over your -- the corrections to your testimony? 6 The first one is on page 11, line 7 Yes. After the phrase \$47 million, insert the 8 12. following: Comma, or \$80 million if the Company's 9 share of OSS margins is removed, comma. 10 So the sentence would read starting on 11 line 11, (Reading) Compared to Option 1, Option 4B was 12 less expensive on a 30-year cumulative net present 13 value basis by 10 million to \$47 million, comma, or 14 \$80 million if the Company's share of OSS margins is 15 removed. 16 Then on the next line, line 13, insert 17 the same phrase as what we did on line 12 after the 18 118 million, except the amount is 151 million. 19 would read, (Reading) Comma, or at least \$151 million 2.0 if the Company's share of OSS margins is removed, 21 22 comma. What is the genesis of this correction, 23

Mr. Kollen?

A

24

25

The genesis is the reflection of the

Company's retention of a portion of the off-system sales margins. The Company's modeling, in which the \$47 million savings from the purchase power option, assumed that all of the off-system sales margins were effectively used to reduce the cost of each option.

2.5

That wasn't correct, and the Company has quantified the effect of that on the base commodity pricing scenario.

- Q Was this quantified in Mr. Weaver's rebuttal?
  - A Yes, it is. Mr. Weaver's Exhibit 3R.
  - Q Any other changes to your testimony?
- A Yes. One other change in the narrative, page 22, line 16 and 17. At the end of line 16, strike the apostrophe S. Line 17, strike the words "president" and "CEO". And then finally, my Exhibit LK8 had the incorrect document, and Mr. Kurtz has a copy of the correct document, which was simply another AEP presentation that has the information that is described in my testimony. Inadvertently, the wrong presentation was included.
- Q We should just insert this 8 instead of the 8 that's there?
  - A That's correct. Yes.
- Q With that, are those all of the changes

to your testimony?

A Yes.

MR. KURTZ: Mr. Chairman, I tender the witness for cross-examination.

\* \*

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Nguyen:

- O Good morning, Mr. Kollen. How are you?
- A Good morning.
  - Q Could you refer to page 8 of your direct testimony, please, at line 13? It's in refect -- re -- respect to the question that says that -- that asked that the rate effect of the Big Sandy 2 retrofit projects limited. Are they limited to the environmental surcharge. And you state that the nonjurisdictional costs that are not recovered through the ECR ultimately will be recovered through ra -- base rates. What are those nonjurisdictional costs that you refer to in this response?

A What I'm referring to is as part of the ECR mechanism, there is an allocation of the total

revenue requirement associated with the particular environmental project among the retail ratepayers, the wholesale, FERC jurisdictional ratepayers, associated utilities, which would be sales made by Kentucky Power to other sister AEP utilities, and then off-system sales. So there's essentially four categories of revenues over which the total revenue requirement is allocated.

2.3

2.4

2.5

Now, to the extent that it's a FERC allocation, that definitely goes to the FERC, and that's roughly two percent, but the rest of the costs, to the extent that they are not recovered from those other jurisdictions, meaning the associated utility sales and meaning the off-system sales, then those come back onto the ratepayers through base rates.

So when looking at the entirety of the rate impact of the scrubber retrofits, you have to look at not only what is recovered through the environmental surcharge but also what comes back in through base rates.

- Q You mentioned that there was a two-percent FERC allocation? Is that what --
  - A I believe that's correct.
- Q What's the allocation for the off-system sales and the associated utility sales? Do you -- do

1 you recall? 2 I recall it was about 11 percent. Α 3 Something --Combined?  $\circ$ 4 5 -- like that. Yes. Okay. So all total about 13 percent 6 7 nonjurisdictional? 8 Yes. I think that's correct. 9 that's detailed on Miss Munsey's Exhibit LPM 13. 10 Okay. Okay. Can you now turn to page 18 of your testimony? And this is in reference to 11 12 your recommendation with respect to the delay option. 13 And you provide several benefits with respect to that 14 particular delay option that you recommend. 15 Do you recall yesterday in Mr. McManus' testimony concerning the risk or the potential risk of 16 mothballing Big Sandy unit 2 and how that might 17 trigger any new source performance standards? 18 19 I do. 20 In light of that particular risk, does 21 that change your recommendation? 22 No, it doesn't. And the reason that it Α 23 doesn't change it is because we don't anticipate going 24 two years, three years, four years down the road with 25 respect to a decision. What we're asking the

Commission to do is reject the Big Sandy 2 retrofit option in this proceeding and then initiate another proceeding in which the Commission can more fully investigate all of the options available to the Company.

2.4

The Company's options presented to the Commission in this proceeding were extremely limited, didn't reflect the acquisition of the Mitchell capacity, the additional coal fire capacity, didn't assess the opportunity for purchasing existing gas-fired capacity adjacent to the Big Sandy plant, a number of other things.

So we -- we believe that the Company can continue to proceed in the phase-one review, even into the phase two A review. Commissioners, you may recall that timeline. That was attached to Mr. Walton's testimony and that Mr. Kurtz used to cross-examine Mr. Walton, but we believe that the Company can continue on the path of further refining the cost estimates and further identifying the design specifications.

Don't shut that down for the time being, but reject the Big Sandy 2 retrofit option in this proceeding, initiate a separate proceeding where all of the options can be reviewed and all of the parties can actively participate. We -- we -- we don't think

that would take more than six months, quite frankly.

And so, you know, this -- this assertion, I believe by Mr. Walton, that -- that I was recommending a much ex -- you know, later date or an extended shutdown just simply isn't -- isn't correct.

Q So the six months' continuation of the process that's currently ongoing right now?

A Yes. In other words, by the end of this year.

Q Can you turn now to page 28 of your testimony? And in response to the first question at the top of that page, where you were asked if the Commission rejects the Company's proposal to retrofit Big Sandy 2, how will the Company obtain capac -- capacity of energy resources to serve its customers.

And you mentioned, as part of that potential resolution, to enter into bilateral agreements on line seven and eight.

A Yes.

Q Based on your knowledge, are -- are bilateral agreements a common medium that utilities use to address in capa -- capacity or -- or -- or energy concerns?

A Yes. What we're talking here is a bilateral purchase power agreement, and utilities

1 commonly enter into purchase power agreements to meet their capacity and energy requirements. 2 3 And for what duration of time? 0 4 It varies. 5 It just depends upon whatever the needs 6 are? 7 Α Depends upon the needs and the pricing 8 structure, generally. 9 What would you recommend in this 10 instance based upon the circumstances that Kentucky 11 Power is in? 12 I don't really have a recommendation. That would be something that should be on the table, 13 14 as far as a comprehensive review. 15 Okay. Mr. Kollen, do you know the full 16 impact of all of the possible retirements of 17 coal-firing units in the country, do you know what the 18 impact of those would be on the cost of purchase power 19 over the next five or ten years? Is that known at 20 this point in time? It is not known. 21 22 Okay. 0 2.3 And I think it would vary depending upon 2.4 the region, depending upon the zones within the RTO

that was -- you know, the relevant RTO.

25

Q If it's not known at this point in time, how can you -- how can a purchase-only option be a reasonable option?

A Well, I think it provides a great deal of flexibility. We know what the RPM is, for example, for the next three planning years on a discreet basis. That -- that information is known. In addition -- within PJM.

In addition, we -- you know, there are assessments of those -- those future capacity and energy prices that AEP itself has performed, and those fed, then, into the purchase option.

In addition, it -- a purchase option, essentially, is not a locked-in-place-forever type of option. You can go down that path initially, but then if you see, looking forward, that the purchase option no longer would be economic, and this is a dynamic process, then at that point in time, the -- an acquisition strategy, bilateral contract, or bi -- a new build strategy could be pursued.

Q Okay. Do you recall last night, during Mr. Bletzacker's testimony regarding the NYMEX market, and I believe his testimony with res -- with -- was with respect to if you wanted to get today's energy prices with a market power option, you would need to,

in essence, hedge in or lock in that particular price.

2.3

With today's energy prices, one could lock in electric or gas prices until 2021 at levels below what were in the current scenario; is that correct?

A I think generally that's true. I haven't really made that assessment, because I don't know what the -- I mean, generally, looking forward based upon the NYMEX futures, and based upon the EIA, forecasts, that's generally true.

Q Would it be a fair characterization to say that the decision the Commission is faced with is does the Commission lock in a 30-percent rate increase for 30 years or does the Commission lock in a rate increase for five years at less than 10 percent and then see what the environmental regulations, energy prices, technology options, the AEP pool, or the subsequent AEP pool agreement, cost sharing and power plant ownership issues, and other current unknowns are. Would that be a correct characterza -- characterization of the issue that the Commission faces?

A I think I would phrase it somewhat differently. First of all, I would say that -- that the first option is a 35-percent increase when you

take into account both the environmental surcharge and the base rate impact.

And then, beyond that, the Commission has a decision to make with respect to what the likely impact will be from a purchase power scenario or an alternative where, for example, additional capacity is purchased from Ohio Power in the form of the Mitchell capacity. Whether additional capacity is acquired from the Riverside facility, the owner of that facility.

There are any number of options which are -- is why we believe that, really, the Commission should convene -- convene a separate proceeding to really investigate the full impact of this.

But within the narrow confines of this proceeding, it really is a choice between the 35-percent rate increase with the Big Sandy 2 retrofit compared to purchasing, which the Company has estimated would have a 10 to 12-percent initial year impact. In other words, in 2016.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  NGUYEN: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you, Your Honor.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, I just have a few, if I may.

COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Sure.

2.2

2.3

2.4

MR. HOWARD: And this is to follow up 1 some questions that were asked to Mr. Wohnhas, I guess 2 3 it was Monday. 4 5 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 8 By Mr. Howard: 9 10 Good afternoon, Mr. Kollen. How are 11 you, sir? 12 Well, it's still morning, sir. 13 Α Well, if you'll forgive me. I'm not 14 even sure when yesterday ended. 15 I understand. 16 17 You keep referencing roughly a 35-percent increase. Is that 35 percent or 35.2 18 19 percent? It's 35.2. 20 And that includes what? 21 Well, it includes the -- the effect on 22 Α the environmental surcharge, which is about 29.6, and 23 then another 5.8-percent base rate increase. 24 If we look at your testimony in this 25

case, we -- we see that based on your numbers, and correct me if I'm wrong, that just on the ECR component, you opine that the amount is \$39.39 cents; is that correct?

A Well, it would be 35.2 percent, 5.84 percent through base rates, because of the portion of the revenue requirement, that is recoverable for retail ratepayers but not through the environmental surcharge, plus another 29.4 percent through the environmental surcharge.

And I think your question really probably goes to the effect on the residential customer.

- Q Yes. What I'm trying to do --
- A Okay.

- $_{\rm Q}$   $\,$  -- at this point in time is look at the average impact on the residential customer --
  - A Okay.
- Q -- which was in response -- the Company's response to 1-11.
  - A Okay.
- Q And there the amount was \$134 and -- and 3 cents.
- A Okay. Was that --
- Q Which was a ba --

-- before the --1 Α Which was a base amount? 2 Okay. That was before the increase, 3 Α correct? 4 That's correct. 5 0 Α Okay. 6 And we took 28.62 percent plus .77 7 0 percent to arrive at -- and you'll forgive me at the 8 29.39 percent? 9 moment. Yes. That's correct. 10 And then multiply that times 134.03? 11 That would -- the result of that would 12 Α 13 give you presumably, then, the increase to the 14 residential customer in an average residential 15 customer basis. Subject to the check, that would be 16 \$39.39? 17 I'll accept that subject to check. 18 Α And then you indicated in your testimony 19 at page 9 that there would have eventually be a base 20 rate increase? 21 Yes. That's correct. A 5.84 percent. 2.2 Or \$7.83, correct? 23 I'll accept that subject to check. Yes. 24 Α And \$7.83 plus the \$39.39 would equate 25 0

```
to $47.22. Would you --
1
                    I'll accept --
2
                    -- agree?
3
                    -- that subject to check. Yes.
4
                    And that's per month on the average
5
     residential bill, correct?
6
                    I believe so based upon the information
7
              А
     you have just provided.
8
                    Now, if we take $47.22 and multiply that
9
     by 12 to get an annual increase, would you accept,
10
     subject to check, that the average residential
11
     increase would be $566.64?
12
1.3
                    That sounds right, subject check -- to
14
     check.
15
                    MR. HOWARD: Those are the only
16
     questions I have, Mr. Chairman.
                    MR. KURTZ: No redirect.
17
                    MR. CHILDERS: No cross, Your Honor.
18
                    MR. NGUYEN: No further questions, Your
19
20
     Honor.
                    COMMISSIONER GARDNER:
                                            Just a couple,
21
22
     please.
23
24
25
```

## EXAMINATION

By Commissioner Gardner:

Q In your testimony, do you quantify the difference between what the off-system sales would be in -- in re -- reduction of revenue requirement if it is -- if the off-system sales was modeled as it currently is being allocated with the 40/60 split?

A I did. I -- that was one of the changes that I had made to my testimony to reflect that on page 11. With all of the off-system sales margins being flowed through to customers, under the Company's modeling of the -- the retrofit compared to the purchase power scenario, the Option 4B, the savings would be 10 million to 47 million, just under the fleet transition, or 82 to 118 million under the lower band commodity gas pricing.

Q Okay.

A And then what I inserted was or 80 million on line 12, or 80 million if there was the 40-percent, 60 print -- percent sharing of the off-system sales margins between the Company and its customers.

So, in other words, the -- the savings

associated with the Option 4B would increase from 47 million to 80 million. And we didn't have a quantification of how the savings would increase under the lower band natural gas commodity pricing, so I said that it would go up at least 33 million, which was what it was under the -- just simply the Company's base case, but it would be more than that. So the savings would be at least \$151 million under the lower natural gas price scenario.

Q Okay. I note that you recommend the Commission reject the inclusion of the \$15 million or so from back around, you know, 2004 to 2007, and -- and one of the reasons you cite is a recent decision by the Commission as to the timing of when those requests should be made?

A Yes. That's correct.

Q And I guess my question is: You are -- was there -- is your recommendation based anything other than that the Company did not timely file an application for those costs?

O Like land --

A -- are, in fact, and should be

recoverable. For example, the purchase of land -Q Right.

2.2

2.3

A -- and -- so then with respect to the other -- other costs, I basically say that these are deferred costs that would otherwise have been expensed when incurred but for the fact that the Company unilaterally deferred them.

And my thinking on that is that the Commission shouldn't retroactively affirm that decision on the part of the Company, not to come in for an accounting order, and then to provide prospective rate recovery.

And -- and then the other -- the other issue with respect to that is whether or not it has any applicability to these -- this project. In other words, there's been a substantial amount of time that has gone past. Six years, specifically. Effectively, that work was done in the mid 20000s. That project, with respect to the wet FGD, that project was effectively abandoned.

In the interim, the Company has had a base rate case. It could have come in and asked for recovery of those costs at that time. It didn't.

There's nothing unique about asking for them in this case. I think that simply by the passage of time,

it's -- it's sort of like looking back on the accounting books and identifying something that they could sort of stick into this case and get recovery at this time.

Q Okay. So what I hear you saying is that the primary reason is, basically, the lapse of time or the failure to file it timely. So my question is: You are recommending, and I hear you saying a relatively short period of time to reexamine the options that are available to the Company, and in the meantime, of course, the Company would continue down, at least do -- 2A, which involves some -- a bunch -- beginning the engineering studies and some of though costs.

If they timely applied for costs, and that option was not pursued ultimately, like one of the other options was pursued, would you be in opposition to the Company being allowed to recover those costs?

A No. And, in fact, I would actually recommend that you explicitly state in an order -- if you do reject the retrofit option in this proceeding and then initiate another proceeding, I would specifically recommend that you authorize the Company to defer the costs associated with phase one and phase

two A. 1 COMMISSIONER GARDNER: Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Any further 3 questions of this witness? 4 5 MR. GISH: No, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Mr. 6 Kollen. 7 А You're welcome. Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: You're excused. 9 MR. KURTZ: KIUC will call Mr. Steve 10 Baron. 11 COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Mr. Baron, be 12 13 sworn. Solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole 14 truth, and nothing but the truth subject to the rules 15 of perjury? MR. Baron: Yes, I do. 16 COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Have a seat. 17 Speak up loud and clear. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

STEPHEN J. BARON, called by Kentucky 1 2 Industrial Utility Customers, Inc., having been first 3 duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 6 7 By Mr. Kurtz: 8 9 Will you identify yourself for the Q 10 record, please? Yes. My name is Stephen Baron. 11 12 And your business address? 13 J. Kennedy & Associates, Inc., 570 A Colonial Park Drive, Suite 305, Rosswell, Georgia, 14 30075. 15 You have in front of you a document 16 marked direct testimony and exhibits of Stephen J. 17 Baron? 18 19 Yes, I do. 20 Do you have any corrections or additions 21 that you'd like to make, sir? 22 I have one correction on page 14. 23 just noticed it, actually, last night. Page 14 at line 12, after the words "should be" -- after the 24 25 words "should be", it -- the word "allocated" should

be inserted. So it should -- it -- it should read, 1 (Reading) Retail customers through tariff ES should be 2 allocated following the two-step methodology. 3 With that change, do you adopt this Q 4 testimony as your own? 5 Α Yes. 6 MR. KURTZ: Mr. Chairman, the witness is 7 available for cross. 8 MR. GISH: Your Honor, we have probably 9 five actual minutes of cross-examination for this 10 witness. 11 12 13 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION 15 16 By Mr. Gish: 17 18 Mr. Baron, you testified that the 19 Commission should change the mechanism through which 2.0 Kentucky Power allocates its environmental sure --2.1 surcharge to its nonresidential customers; is that 22 23 correct? That's correct. Yes. 24 And the changes you proposed would 25

result in a decrease in the amount of the environmental surcharge allocated to your clients, the large industrial customers; is that correct?

A Well, relative to the method proposed by the Company, because fuel is removed from the calculation of the factor for all nonresidential customers, and high-load factor customers, large industrial customers that are high-load factor, use less -- use a huge amount of fuel, which was -- would not be computed. As a result, the allocation factor would be lower on a high-load factor customer's bill.

Q And -- and those high-load customer -- I'm sorry. Those low -- high-load factor customer bills are the large industrial customers?

A They tend to be, though you can easily -- as a matter of fact, I know for a fact that commercial customers like grocery stores can be high-load factor as well, and those would be smaller individual customers, high-load factor.

Q And -- and under your proposal, the -the decrease in the amount of environmental surcharge
allocated to your clients would result in a
corresponding increase in the amount of the surcharge
allocated to Kentucky Power's smaller commercial and
other nonindustrial, nonresidential customers; is that

correct?

A Well, again, it's -- it's a question -- it's a function of load factor. I think I just gave you example that it -- you can have smaller customers, like a grocery store, that might have 400 or 500 kilowatts of load versus a large industrial with two or three megawatts. You can have a high load factor commercial customer as well.

It is true that in general, smaller customers in -- in the general service classes would tend to have lower load factors, but it is not always the case, and I gave you an example.

Q All right. But for those smaller load-factor customers, under your proposal, the amount of the Kentucky Power's environmental surcharge allocated to them would increase?

A Yes, because under the Company -- they would increase relative to the Company's proposal, which would --

O Which -- which is --

A -- which effectively allocates the environmental surcharge on fuel revenues, which obviously has nothing to do with the environmental co -- capital costs at issue in this case, but the -- it is true.

MR. GISH: Okay. Those are all the 1 questions I have, Your Honor. 2. 3 MR. CHILDERS: No questions, Your Honor. MR. HOWARD: One -- one, Mr. -- Mr. 4 5 Chairman. 6 7 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 9 10 By Mr. Howard: 11 12 I'll get it right, 'cause it is morning. 13 Good morning, Mr. Baron. 14 Morning. 15 А Where do schools fall -- if we look at 16 your exhibit attached to your testimony, where do the 17 schools fall in the various tariffs, please? 18 I have not done a specific tracing. Ι 19 would guess that schools would likely be in the --20 21 probably in the medium general service category, but I do not know for certain. In -- in LG&E and KU, I 2.2 recall they -- that company had -- those companies had 2.3

special tariffs just for schools, so I -- but I don't

know the answer to your question specifically.

24

25

```
By way of a hearing -- or a post-hearing
1
     data request, could you provide us an answer as to
2
     whether, in fact, they do fall within the medium
3
     general service? And then, likewise, produce numbers
4
     similar that -- to that which you have in this chart?
5
                    Well, I would be happy to do it, but I
6
     don't have that information. I'd have to get it --
7
     I'd have to issue a data request to the Company first,
8
     but then I could do that.
9
                    MR. HOWARD: Mr. Overstreet, would --
10
     I'm -- I'm trying to find out how much of an endeavor
11
     that would be on the Company to provide that data.
12
                    MR. OVERSTREET: We'll be happy to
13
14
     provide it.
                    MR. HOWARD: Can you provide that data,
15
     then, to Mr. Baron?
16
                    MR. OVERSTREET: Be -- I'll provide it
17
18
     to --
                                 Can you provide it --
                    MR. HOWARD:
19
                    MR. OVERSTREET: I'll provide it to Mr.
20
     Kurtz, and then he can provide it to Mr. Baron.
21
                    MR. KURTZ: And we will get that answer
22
23
     to the --
                    MR. HOWARD: That's the --
24
                    MR. KURTZ: -- Attorney General.
25
```

```
MR. HOWARD: Provide that to me, and
1
     then I can -- thank you-all --
2
                    MR. KURTZ: Yes.
3
                    MR. HOWARD: -- very much. Appreciate
4
     the coordination. No further questions, Mr. Chairman.
5
                                No redirect.
                    MR. KURTZ:
6
                    MR. NGUYEN: No questions, Your Honor.
7
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Mr.
8
9
     Baron.
                    Thank you, Your Honor.
10
              Α
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Excused.
11
                    Thank you, Your Honor.
12
              Α
                    MR. KURTZ: We have Mr. Hill, return on
13
     equity witness, but apparently no one has cross for
14
     him, so his testimony is prefiled, so it is part of
15
     the record. If there is no need for him to take the
16
     stand, although he's here.
17
                                     We have no questions.
                    MR. OVERSTREET:
18
                                 No questions.
                    MR. NGUYEN:
19
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Mr.
20
21
     Hill, for coming in here.
                    MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, I think that
22
23
     leaves just the Sierra Club.
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Mr. Fisk, would
24
     you move the previous exhibits that were introduced
25
```

```
this morning?
1
                    MR. FISK: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your
2
     Honor. I would move -- I've forgotten the date -- the
3
     numbers, but the exhibits that were used on the cross
4
5
     in Becker into the record.
                    MR. OVERSTREET: I think it's 28 to 36,
 6
7
     Mr. Fisk.
                    COMMISSIONER GARDNER: Yes.
8
                    MR. FISK: Okay. Thank you.
 9
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: No objection?
10
                    MR. OVERSTREET: No objection.
11
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: So ordered.
12
                    (Sierra Club Exhibits 28 through 36
13
14
     admitted.)
                    MR. FISK: Thank you, Your Honor.
15
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Witness.
16
                    MR. FISK: We'd like to call --
17
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Solemnly swear
18
     to tell the truth, the whole truth, nothing but the
19
     truth subject to the rules of perjury?
20
                    MS. WILSON:
                                 I do.
21
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Take a seat.
22
23
     Speak loud and clear.
                    MS. WILSON: Yes.
24
2.5
```

RACHEL WILSON, called by the Sierra 1 Club, having been first duly sworn, testified as 2 follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 6 7 By Mr. Fisk: 8 Great. Good morning, Miss Wilson. Q 9 Good morning. 10 And would you please state your name for Q 11 the record? 12 My name is Rachel Wilson. Α 13 And what is your business address? 14 485 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, 15 Massachusetts. 16 Okay. And are you the same Miss Wilson Q 17 that's caused direct testimony to be filed in this 18 case? 19 Α I am. 20 Okay. Do you have any modifications or Q 21 updates to that direct testimony you would --22 I have one modification. Α 23 Okay. Q 24 It is on page 5 of my testimony. 25

Okay. Q 1 Line 22. 2 А 3 Okay. The very first range of dates on that Α 4 line, it currently reads 2014 to 2025. It should read 5 2014 to 2024. 6 And does that in any way change your 7 8 opinions? 9 No, it does not. And do you have any other changes? 10 0 No. Just that one. 11 Α Okay. And if the questions that were 12 Q posed in the direct testimony were asked again today, 13 14 would the answers be the same? 15 Yes. Okay. And are you the same Miss Wilson 16 that's filed responses to the Kentucky Power Company's 17 request for information? 18 Yes, I am. 19 Α Okay. And do you have any modifications 20 0 or updates to your responses? 21 22 Α No, I do not. All right. And if the questions that 23 were posed in the data requests were asked again 24 25 today, would your answers be the same?

1	A Yes, they would.
2	MR. FISK: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
3	Chairman. We would tender Miss Wilson for cross.
4	MR. OVERSTREET: We have no questions,
5	Your Honor, as I indicated.
6	COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Mr. Howard?
7	MR. HOWARD: Actually, if you can give
8	me just one moment. I just want to double-check. I
9	don't believe I do, Mr. Chairman, but I just want to
10	confirm. No, we have no questions for Miss no.
11	MR. KURTZ: No
12	MR. HOWARD: Nothing.
13	MR. KURTZ: No questions, Your Honor.
14	MS. BURNS: No questions.
15	COMMISSIONER GARDNER: I have a
16	question.
17	
18	* *
19	
20	EXAMINATION
21	
22	By Commissioner Gardner:
2.3	
2.4	Q Miss Wilson, in your testimony on page
25	9, lines 19 through 21, you state that the Company has

stated that KPC will be in compliance with the rule, and you're referring to the MATS rule, without the installation of additional pollution control equipment. However, it's not reflected in the Strategist model. I didn't understand what you meant by that.

A Sure.

Q Particularly the -- the second clause.

A Yes. Strategist looks at emission rates, which are input by utilities, so in this case, KBCU, and it is able to multiply that emissions rate by either the megawatt hours or the mmBtus that are coming out of the unit in question. So in this case, Big Sandy unit 2.

And the MATS limit is .0012 pounds per mmBtu. And you're able to see in the output reports the emissions of the KPCO system for any particular pollutant, and those emissions in a given year, the emissions rate, was higher than the specified MATS rule limit.

Q That's why it's not reflected in this Strategist model?

A Correct.

COMMISSIONER GARDNER: Okay. Thank you.

25 That's all.

MR. FISK: Nothing further. COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Miss Wilson. Okay. Thank you. Α COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: You're excused. MS. HENRY: We would like to call James Richard Hornby to the stand. COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Mr. Hornby, be sworn. Do you solemnly swear to tell the truth -- the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth subject to the rules of perjury? MR. HORNBY: I do. COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Have a seat. Loud and clear. MR. HORNBY: Thank you. 

JAMES RICHARD HORNBY, called by the 1 Sierra Club, having been first duly sworn, testified 2 3 as follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 6 7 By Ms. Henry: 8 9 0 Good morning, Mr. Hornby. 10 Good morning. 11 Would you please state your name for the 12 record? My name is James Richard Hornby, 13 Α 14 H-O-R-N-B-Y. Where do you work, Mr. Hornby? 15 I'm a senior consultant with Synapse 16 Energy Economics, 485 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, 17 18 Massachusetts. And are you the same James Richard 19 20 Hornby that's caused direct testimony to be filed in this case on March 12th, 2002? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 On whose behalf did you submit this 24 testimony? Submitted on behalf of the Sierra Club. 25 Α

Q Did you file an errata to that testimony on April 12th, 2002?

A Yes, I did.

1.6

2.2

Q Did the modifications that you made to your direct testimony through the errata alter your conclusions in any way?

A No, they did not.

Q Do you have any further modifications or updates to that direct testimony that you would like to articulate for the record today?

A Yes. I have one change that follows from the change that Dr. Fisher explained yesterday. As Dr. Fisher explained, upon review of Mr. Becker's rebuttal testimony, Dr. Fisher was able to understand and follow the Company's calculations with respect to the treatment of capital costs, and based on that, Dr. Fisher removed sections of his testimony from -- from the record, and that removal affected, basically, two -- two pages of my testimony where I had referred to his results.

And so I have done a redaction as well, and -- and those are on pages 19 and 20. And, also, it affects my Exhibit 9, and I believe counsel has copies of that material.

O Yeah. I would like to mark as Exhibit

Sierra Club 37, I believe. 37? Yeah. A copy of 1 James Richard Hornby's direct testimony which redacts, 2 not for confidential reasons, but because we're 3 withdrawing that recommendation, his testimony, and 4 that it also includes the updated chart that he 5 referred to in Exhibit -- was it Exhibit 9? 6 7 А Nine. MS. HENRY: Sierra Club moves to admit 8 this Exhibit 37. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Without 10 objection, so ordered. 11 (Sierra Club Exhibit 37 admitted.) 12 13 Do the modifications that you just articulated and shown in your redacted direct 14 testimony alter your conclusions in any way? 15 No, they do not. 16 If the same questions that were posed in 17 that redacted direct testimony were asked again today, 18 19

would your answers be the same?

Yes, they would.

And are you the same James Richard Hornby that has filed responses to Kentucky Power Company and Commission's requests for information?

> Yes, I am. Α

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Do you have any modifications or updates

1 to those responses? No, I do not. 2 If the questions that were posed in 3 those requests were asked again today, would your 4 5 answers be the same? Yes, they would. 6 MS. HENRY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ι 7 tender the witness for cross. 8 MR. OVERSTREET: No questions, Your 9 Honor. 10 MR. HOWARD: No questions, Mr. Chairman. 11 Thank you. 12 No questions. 13 MR. KURTZ: MS. BURNS: No questions. 14 COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Mr. 15 Hornby. Be excused. 16 Α Thank you, Commissioner. 17 COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Miss Henry. 18 MS. HENRY: That -- that's our last 19 20 witness, Chairman. COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Anything 21 further to come before this meeting? 22 Yes. One more thing, MR. OVERSTREET: 23 Your Honor, and I've -- I've spoken briefly with Miss 24 Burns about this. The -- the normal practice in front 25

```
of the Commission is ten business days to respond to
1
 2
     hearing data requests.
                    In light of the amount of time that the
 3
     Commission has to consider the record and reach a
 4
 5
     decision, we are suggesting that that be compressed,
 6
     and we -- we would, with the Commission's permission,
 7
     file those responses on Friday, May 11th.
8
                    MS. BURNS: And we have no objection to
 9
     it.
          Just if -- the Intervenors, their thoughts.
     Because briefs are due May the 9th on this, I believe.
10
     So --
11
                    MR. HOWARD:
                                 I'm sorry, Mr. Overstreet.
12
13
     When did you -- and I know that they're voluminous,
     and that you need sufficient time. You want to be
14
15
     able to -- yeah.
16
                    MR. OVERSTREET: Friday, May 11th.
17
                    MR. COOK: So the briefs, you say, are
     due May 9th?
18
19
                    MS. BURNS:
                               Yes.
20
                               So you want briefs before the
                    MR. COOK:
21
     post --
22
                    MS. BURNS: Well --
                    MR. COOK: -- hearing data?
23
                    MS. BURNS: -- that's --
2.4
25
                    MR. OVERSTREET: We're -- we're -- we're
```

trying to accommodate the Commission's schedule and agreeing to com -- compress it. There have been voluminous requests, and, you know, if -- if -- if they want to --

2.4

COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: I understand
Mr. Overstreet. You know --

MR. OVERSTREET: All I -- I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Your client
needed to be here a long time ago.

MR. OVERSTREET: Well, thank you, Your Honor. We'll -- you know, if the Intervenors can identify, you know, specific data requests that they need in -- in connection with their briefs, we could certainly try to do that by the 9th.

COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Okay.

MR. HOWARD: Well, Mr. Chairman, the few questions that we asked, we didn't ask because we just want -- thought it would be, you know, interesting information. We asked if -- those because we thought it was necessary for our briefs. I don't know about the other parties. I'll defer to them.

MR. OVERSTREET: Well, may -- may -- maybe we could do it this way, Your Honor. Maybe if we could have -- we could do the Intervenors requests by the 9th, and the Sta -- and then the Staff and

```
Commission requests by the 11th.
1
 2
                    MR. HOWARD: Well, but if we get the
 3
     data requests on the 9th --
 4
                    MR. OVERSTREET:
                                     True.
 5
                    MR. HOWARD: -- while we're.
 6
                    MR. OVERSTREET:
                                     True.
 7
                    MR. HOWARD: -- trying to polish up the
8
     briefs on the 9th, that doesn't accomplish the goal of
     our having, you know, a complete brief on the 9th.
9
10
                    MR. KURTZ: Mr. Chairman --
                    MR. HOWARD: I mean, we're all on the
11
     box here, but, you know --
12
                    MR. KURTZ: Could -- could I make a
13
14
     motion or proposal that -- I understand the Commission
     is under a time pressure for the brief, but -- for an
15
     order, but the -- that's -- the 9th is only a week
16
     from today, and -- and I would ask for an additional
17
18
     two days until Friday, May 11, for the briefs for all
19
     parties.
20
                    MS. BURNS: Staff is fine with that.
21
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG:
22
                    MR. HOWARD:
                                 Again, your -- your -- your
23
     time period?
24
                    MR. KURTZ: May -- Friday, May 11, two
25
     additional days for the briefs.
```

```
1
                    MR. OVERSTREET: And --
                    MR. HOWARD: Well, I've been -- and
 2
 3
     that's receipt of the information on the 9th.
 4
                    MR. OVERSTREET: We -- we will do a
 5
     rolling -- to the extent we can, we will do a rolling
 6
     response with providing them on the 9th to the
 7
     Intervenors.
8
                    MR. COOK: No later than --
                    MR. OVERSTREET: We'll do our best.
 9
10
     mean, we'll -- I mean, I'm only human.
                    MR. HOWARD: Yes. As we all are.
11
12
     could --
                                     If there's an issue,
13
                    MR. OVERSTREET:
14
     I'll contact you, Mr. Cook.
15
                    MR. HOWARD: If I can try to think out
     of the box for just a moment, and I've seen this done
16
17
     with other agencies. We have a six-month period.
18
     realize that. In -- in other agencies where we do
19
     have time deadlines by which decisions have to be
20
     made, I have seen parties basically either waive
21
     that -- that period by a certain additional number of
22
     days, two weeks or -- or three weeks --
23
                    COMMISSIONER GARDNER: Excuse --
24
                    MR. HOWARD: -- or -- or
25
     something.
```

```
1
                    COMMISSIONER GARDNER: We -- we've --
 2
     we've considered that already, and we considered that
 3
     when we set the deadline to begin with, and we're not
     comfortable -- we're not -- in spite of -- if all the
 4
 5
     parties consented and agreed, as you're suggesting, to
 6
     an additional couple weeks or whatever, we're not
 7
     comfortable with that any --
                    MR. HOWARD: That answered --
 8
 9
                    COMMISSIONER GARDNER: -- way --
                    MR. HOWARD: -- the question.
10
                    COMMISSIONER GARDNER: -- as to whether
11
12
     that -- that -- because we can be bound by that if
13
     someone then later changes their mind. So I
14
     appreciate the suggestion.
15
                    MR. HOWARD: Yeah. I was just trying to
16
     think --
17
                    COMMISSIONER GARDNER:
                                           Sure.
18
                    MR. HOWARD: -- out of the box.
19
                    COMMISSIONER GARDNER:
                                           Thank you,
20
     though.
2.1
                    MR. HOWARD: Then we get them on the
     9th.
22
23
                    MR. OVERSTREET: Or sooner.
2.4
                    MR. HOWARD: In -- in a rolling way,
25
     then given the di -- the -- the deadline, I
```

```
1
     don't see that we have any choice. But, obviously,
 2
     the sooner the -- the better.
 3
                    MR. OVERSTREET: Do our best.
 4
                    MR. HOWARD: And if I see you at Derby,
     and I'll be watching it on TV, and I'll be really mad.
 5
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Anything else?
 6
 7
                    MR. KURTZ: Mr. Chairman, I still would
     renew the request for two additional days, if
 8
     possible, till Friday, May 11th, for the briefs.
 9
10
                    MS. BURNS: And we have -- Staff has no
11
     problem with --
12
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: Okay.
13
                    MS. BURNS: -- with that. So 9th for
14
     data requests, and 11th for briefs, correct?
                                                    To the
15
     extent you can on the 9th.
16
                    MS. HENRY:
                               Thank you.
17
                    MR. KURTZ:
                                Thank you, Your Honor.
18
                    MR. HOWARD:
                                 Thank you-all.
19
                    COMMISSIONER ARMSTRONG: You're
20
     adjourned.
21
22
23
24
25
```

STATE OF KENTUCKY )

2 ) SS.

COUNTY OF JEFFERSON )

I, Rebecca S.

I, Rebecca S. Boyd, Notary Public within and for the State at Large, my commission as such expiring 5 September 14, do hereby certify that the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place stated and for the purpose in the caption stated; that witnesses were first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that the hearing was reduced to shorthand writing in the presence of the witnesses; that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct transcript of the hearing; that the appearances were as stated in the caption.

WITNESS my hand this 4th day of May

2012.

Τ /

Registered Professional Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter Notary Public, State at Large