# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

# BEFORE THE

# PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

# RECEIVED

### IN THE MATTER OF

JAN 27 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF ITS ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE PLAN, APPROVAL OF ITS AMENDED	) ) )	0011 00 401
ENVIORNMENTAL COST RECOVERY	) CASE NO.	2011-00401
SURCHARGE TARIFFS, AND FOR THE GRANT OF	)	
CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND	)	
NECESSITY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND	)	
ACQUISTION OF RELATED FACILITIES	)	

# RESPONSES OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY TO KIUCS FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS

January 27, 2012

The undersigned, KARL R. BLETZACKER, being duly sworn, deposes and says he is Director, Fundamental Analysis for American Electric Power, that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the forgoing responses for which he is the identified witness and that the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge, and belief.

Kalk Bh Lah

KARL R. BLETZACKER

STATE OF OHIO

COUNTY OF FRANKLIN

) CASE NO. 2011-00401

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, by Karl R. Bletzacker, this the  $25^{\mu}$  day of January 2012.



Cheryl L. Strawser Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires 10-01-2016

Notary Public //

My Commission Expires: October 1, 2016.

The undersigned, John M. McManus, being duly sworn, deposes and says he is Vice President Environmental Services for American Electric Power, that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the forgoing responses for which he is the identified witness and that the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief

John M. McManus

STATE OF OHIO

COUNTY OF FRANKLIN

) ) CASE NO. 2011-00401 )

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, by John M. McManus, this the <u>16</u> day of January 2012.

Janet L. White Notary Public

JANET L. WHITE Notery Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires 09-09-2013

My Commission Expires:

The undersigned, Lila P. Munsey, being duly sworn, deposes and says she is the Manager, Regulatory Services for Kentucky Power, that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the forgoing responses for which she is the identified witness and that the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge, and belief

P. Munse Lila P. Munsev

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

COUNTY OF FRANKLIN

) CASE NO. 2011-00401

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, by Lila P. Munsey, this 20th day of January 2012.

Judy K Kosquero

My Commission Expires: Alenceary 13, 3013

The undersigned, TOBY THOMAS, being duly sworn, deposes and says he is Managing Director, Kentucky Power Generation, Gas, Renewals and Planning for American Electric Power, that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the forgoing responses for which he is the identified witness and that the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

TOBY THOMAS

STATE OF OHIO

COUNTY OF FRANKLIN

) CASE NO. 2011-00401

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, by Toby Thomas, this the 25% day of January 2012.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 2014

ACTINES LEVENCE AND DESCRIPTION MALLENCERSISTER AND A

The undersigned, ROBERT L. WALTON being duly sworn, deposes and says he is Managing Director Projects and Controls for American Electric Power, that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the forgoing responses for which he is the identified witness and that the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief

ROBERT L. WALTON

STATE OF OHIO

COUNTY OF FRANKLIN

) ) CASE NO. 2011-00401

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, by Robert L. Walton, this the <u>ab</u> day of January 2012.

et arts

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: <u>S-29-2012</u>

ora G. MYERS Sorary Public Solald County Social of Ohio Social According 2015

The undersigned, SCOTT C. WEAVER, being duly sworn, deposes and says he is Managing Director Resource Planning and Operation Analysis for American Electric Power, that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the forgoing responses for which he is the identified witness and that the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief

SCOTT C. WÉÁVER

STATE OF OHIO

COUNTY OF FRANKLIN

) CASE NO. 2011-00401

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, by Scott C. Weaver, this the 2414 day of January 2012.



Cheryl L. Strawser Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires 10-01-2016

Notary Publig

My Commission Expires: October 1, 2016

The undersigned, Ranie K. Wohnhas, being duly sworn, deposes and says he is the Managing Director Regulatory and Finance for Kentucky Power, that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the forgoing responses for which he is the identified witness and that the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge, and belief

Kana K. Wohm

Ranie K. Wohnhas

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

COUNTY OF FRANKLIN

) CASE NO. 2011-00401

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, by Ranie K. Wohnhas, this the 20th day of January, 2012.

<u>Aerder & Rosquist</u> Notary Public

My Commission Expires: Juneary 13, 2013

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 1 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Please provide the per books capital structure of Kentucky Power Company, and American Electric Power Company at December 31, 2010, and March 31, June 30, September 30, 2011, and (as soon as available) December 31, 2011. For the purposes of this data request, please provide the information as follows:

- a. Long-term Debt (including that maturing within one year);
- b. Short-term Debt;
- c. Other Debt (specify);
- d. Preferred or Preference Stock;
- e. Common Stock;
- f. Additional Paid-in Capital;
- g. Retained Earnings; and
- h. Total Common Equity (total common equity as well as common equity attributable to unregulated operations, if any).

Please provide published balance sheet support for each of the above-requested capital structures, and, if the amounts provided in response to this interrogatory are different from those contained in the published balance sheets, please explain why.

### RESPONSE

Kentucky Power objects to the request to the extent it seeks information regarding American Electric Power, Inc. ("AEP.") AEP is not a party to this proceeding, and is not a utility subject to the jurisdiction of the Public Service Commission of Kentucky. AEP is not obligated to assist Kentucky Power in financing the proposed environmental projects in Kentucky Power's 2011 Environmental Compliance Plan. Without waiving this objection, please see exhibits 1-4 and 9-12 of the Company's response to AG 1-31.

WITNESS: Ranie K Wohnhas

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 2 Page 1 of 2

# **Kentucky Power Company**

### REQUEST

For the same time periods referenced in the preceding interrogatory, please provide the following information for Kentucky Power Company:

- a. Embedded cost rates for long-term debt, short-term debt, other debt and preferred or preference stock;
- b. Computation of embedded cost rates of long-term debt;
- c. Computation of embedded cost rates of short-term debt; and
- d. Computation of embedded cost rates of preferred or preference stock.

Note: Schedules should include date of issue, maturity date, dollar amount, coupon rate, net proceeds, annual interest paid and balance of principal, where applicable.

### RESPONSE

- Long-Term Debt (LTD) 6.484% (LTD structure has not changed over the last year).
  Short-Term Debt (STD) Kentucky power did not have STD outstanding between
  12/31/2010 9/30/2011. Kentucky Power had no preferred or preference stock
  outstanding during this period.
- b. Please see the attached.
- c. STD Kentucky power did not have STD outstanding between 12/31/2010 9/30/2011
- d. Kentucky Power had no preferred or preference stock outstanding during this period

### WITNESS: Ranie K Wohnhas

KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY EFFECTIVE COST OF LONG-TERM DEBT AS OF September 30, 2011

1000,000
----------

FMV of mark to market 133 hedge

TOTAL LONG-TERM DEBT

6.484

35,661,273

550,000,000

,-

Effective Cost Rate = Annualized Cost divided by the Current Amount Outstanding.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 2 Page 2 of 2

ï

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 3 Page 1 of 1

# **Kentucky Power Company**

### REQUEST

Please provide a consolidating (not consolidated) balance sheet for American Electric Power Company at December 31, 2010, or the most recent date available.

### RESPONSE

Kentucky Power objects to the request to the extent it seeks information regarding American Electric Power, Inc. ("AEP") or any of the AEP consolidating companies. AEP and the AEP consolidating companies are not parties to this proceeding, and are not utilities subject to the jurisdiction of the Public Service Commission of Kentucky. AEP and the AEP consolidating companies are not obligated to assist Kentucky Power in financing the proposed environmental projects in Kentucky Power's 2011 Environmental Compliance Plan. Without waiving this objection, Kentucky Power notes the requested information, to the extent it is available, may be found at

http://www.aep.com/investors/financialfilingsandreports/edgar/filings.aspx?section=AEP IncFilings

WITNESS: Ranie K Wohnhas

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 4 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Please provide a copy of the most recent bond rating agency report (Standard & Poor's, Moody's and Fitch) for Kentucky Power Company and American Electric Power Company.

[Note: Reports provided should be most recent, complete multi-page in-depth report, not a one or two-page update. Also, consider this an on-going data request so that, during the pendency of this proceeding, any new bond rating agency report related to Kentucky Power or AEP will be provided in response to this data request.]

### RESPONSE

Kentucky Power objects to the request to the extent it seeks information regarding American Electric Power, Inc. ("AEP.") AEP is not a party to this proceeding, and is not a utility subject to the jurisdiction of the Public Service Commission of Kentucky. AEP is not obligated to assist Kentucky Power in financing the proposed environmental projects in Kentucky Power's 2011 Environmental Compliance Plan. Without waiving this objection, please see the attachments to AG 1-26.

WITNESS: Ranie K Wohnhas

·

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 5 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Please provide a complete, detailed copies of Kentucky Power Company's most recent bond rating agency presentations (i.e., not a slide-show summary, but the volume that discusses in detail the Company's operations, generation, transmission assets, purchased power contracts (including debt imputation expected from such contracts, financial projections and service territory economics.)

### RESPONSE

Please see the attachments to this response. Confidential protection is being sought for Attachments 1 & 2 of this response. Attachment 1 was presented to Moody's, and Attachment 2 was presented to S &P. The forecasts changed slightly in the interim between the two presentations.

WITNESS: Ranie K Wohnhas

### American Electric Power Income Statement 2010 - 2014 Forecast (\$000)

			$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	
(in thousands)	E B			
Kentucky Power				
Revenue Retail Revenue Wholesale Sales to Affiliates Other Operating Revenue Total Revenue				
Cost of Sales Total Cost of Sales Gross Margin				
OPERATING EXPENSES Operations & Maintenance (Gain)/Loss on Sale of Property Asset Imagiment & Related Charges				
Taxes Other Than Income TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES Operating Margin/EBITDA				
Depreciation & Amortization Other Income / (Deductions) EBIT				
Total Interest Expense Total Income Taxes			$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \right\}$	
NET INCOME Deductions from Net Income *		(		
BALANCE FOR COMMON		(*************************************		

\* Preferred Dividends, Minority Interest, Extraordinary (Income)/Loss

	American Electric F Cash Flow Statem 2010 - 2014 Forec (\$000)	Power Ient Iast		KI	KPSC Case N JC's First Set of Dated Ja Attachment	lo. 2011-00401 Data Requests nuary 13, 2012 Item No. 5 I - REDACTED
(in thousands)						Page 2 of 4
Kentucky Power OPERATING ACTIVITIES Balance For Common (Income) Loss from Discontinued Operations Extraordinary Items, Net Net Income from Continuing Operations						
ADJUSTMENTS TO NET INCOME Depreciation & Amortization Deferred Income Taxes (Gain) / Loss on Sale of Assets Fuel Over/Under Recovery Pension Contribution Change in Other Non-Current Assets and Liabilities Other Cash Flow before Changes in Working Capital						
CHANGES IN WORKING CAPITAL		(電話)	()		(1997)	
CASH FROM OPERATIONS						
INVESTING ACTIVITIES Construction Expenditures Proceeds From Sale of Assets Acquisition of Nuclear Fuel Other Investments Cash used in investing						
FINANCING ACTIVITIES Common Stock Issued Hybrid Equity Issued Long Term Debt Issued Preferred Stock Redeemed Long Term Debt Redeemed Short Term Debt Change, Net Common Dividends Preferred Dividends Capital Lease Proceeds/Lease Principal Payments Other Financing Activities Cash from financing						
Total Change in Cash Beginning Cash Balance Ending Cash Balance				8	8	

	America Ba 2010	an Electric Powe Ilance Sheet - 2014 Forecast (\$000)	er	KPSC Ca KIUC's First Se Dated	se No. 2011-00401 It of Data Requests J January 13, 2012 Item No. 5
(in thousands)				Attachme	ent 1 - REDACTED Page 3 of 4
Kentucky Power					
Plant, Property and Equipment Construction Work in Process Depreciation Reserve Net Plant and Equipment					
Other Assets Total Assets			( ) }		
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY					
Common Equity Preferred Stock Hybrid Equity					
Long Term Debt Total Capital	(;;;;;;;;)(;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;		( State Barris)		
CURRENT LIABILITIES Short Term Debt					
Other Current Liabilities Total Current Liabilities					
Deferred Liabilities Total Capital & Liabilities			(i.e.())		

### American Electric Power Financial Ratios 2010 - 2014 Forecast

				Attachment 1 -
(in thousands)		63		
Kentucky Power				
CAPITALIZATION Long Term Debt Add: A/R Factored Add: Capital Leases Add: Unfunded Pension Obligation Less: Securitized Debt Less: Spent Nuclear Fuel			- - -	
Less: Equity Portion of Hybrid Equity Total Long Term Debt Short Term Debt Total Debt Preferred Stock	(	(		
Equity Portion of Hybrid Equity Common Equity Total Capital Incl ST Debt		( ; ; ; ; ) ( ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;		
Capitalization Ratios Short-term Debt Long-term Debt Preferred Stock Common Equity				
CREDIT RATIOS (As Adjusted for Leases) Interest Expense less: Securitization Interest plus: Capitalized Interest (AFUDC Debt) plus: Other Interest Additions <sup>(1)</sup> Adjusted Interest Expense				
Cash from Operations less: Changes in Working Capital less: Capitalized Interest (AFUDC Debt) plus: Bank of America Settlement Addback plus: Pension Contribution in Excess of Expense				
less: Securitization Amortization plus: Lease Amortization Funds from Operations (FFO)				
Funds from Operations Int. Cov FFO/Total Debt Total Debt/Total Capital				

 $^{(1)}\,$  Includes Adjustment for Interest on Hybrid Equity Issuances and Lease Interest Expense

### American Electric Power Income Statement 2011 - 2014 Forecast (\$000)

(in thousands)						
Revenue Retail Revenue Wholesale Sales to Affiliates Other Operating Revenue Total Revenue						
Cost of Sales Total Cost of Sales Gross Margin	(11) (2) (2)					
OPERATING EXPENSES Operations & Maintenance Taxes Other Than Income TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES Operating Margin/EBITDA						
Depreciation & Amortization Other Income / (Deductions) EBIT	(					
Total Interest Expense Total Income Taxes						
NET INCOME Deductions from Net Income * BALANCE FOR COMMON						

\* Preferred Dividends, Minority Interest, Extraordinary (Income)/Loss





### American Electric Power **Financial Ratios** KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 2011 - 2014 Forecast KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 5 Attachment 2 - REDACTED Page 4 of 4 (in thousands) CAPITALIZATION Long Term Debt Add: Operating Leases Add: Capital Leases Add: Unfunded Pension Obligation Total Long Term Debt Short Term Debt Total Debt Common Equity **Total Capitalization Capitalization Ratios** Short-term Debt Long-term Debt Common Equity CREDIT RATIOS (As Adjusted for Leases) Interest Expense plus: Capitalized Interest (AFUDC Debt) plus: Other Interest Additions (1) Adjusted Interest Expense Cash from Operations less: Changes in Working Capital less: Capitalized Interest (AFUDC Debt) plus: Pension Contribution in Excess of Expense plus: Lease Amortization Funds from Operations (FFO) Funds from Operations Int. Cov. FFO/Total Debt Total Debt/Total Capital

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes Adjustment for Interest on Hybrid Equity Issuances and Lease Interest Expense





American Electric Power



KPSC Case No. 2011-00401

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 5 Attachment 3 Page 3 of 8





œ

4

T



 $\sim$ 

@				KP KIUC I	SC Case No. 2011-0 First Set of Data Requ Dated January 13, Item	0401 Jests 2012 No. 5	4
	tial operations and	approximately 40,000 1, nuclear generation, ts, and carbon capture ny.	ttely 439,000 n operations and a	:velopment, including Iomic development, opment and	or restructuring within CSW and its system planning. As a set	er's degree in g g g tate University, the hology.	:ch Council (CURC), bus Arts Council. He
Nick Akins Biography	<b>Nicholas K. Akins</b> Nick Akins is President of American Electric Power, tesponsible for AEP's utilities, transmission, generation, commete OVEC/IKEC organizations.	From 2006 to 2010, Akins was executive vice president - Generation, responsible for all generation activities of AEP's MW of generation resources. This includes the engineering, construction, and operations of fossil and hydro generation fuels procurement, emission, and logistics, and other resource initiatives such as new generation, environmental retrofi and storage. Akins was also responsible for the commercial operations, marketing and trading functions for the compa	Previously, he was president and chief operating officer for Southwestern Electric Power Company, serving approxim customers in Louisiana, Arkansas and northeast Texas. Named to this position in 2004, he had authority for distributic wide range of customer and regulatory relationships.	Prior to this, Alkins was vice president - energy marketing services, responsible for directing the activities of Market De the transmission marketing and services functions, Energy Delivery External Affairs including community affairs, econ advocacy for regulatory and legislative positions within Energy Delivery. Additional responsibilities included the devel implementation of strategies for energy delivery related to AEP's entry into regional transmission organizations.	Akins was also vice president - industry restructuring for AEP responsible for enterprise-wide program management f initiatives in preparation for customer choice in AEP's various jurisdictions. Previous to Central and South West Corp.'s (CSW) merger with AEP, he served in various director and manager roles operating companies involving mergers and acquisitions, industry restructuring, fuels, system dispatch operations and	He received a bachelor's degree in 1982 in electrical engineering from Louisiana Tech University in Ruston and a mast electrical engineering in 1986 from Louisiana Tech. He has completed executive management programs at Louisiana S University of Idaho and the Reactor Technology Course for Utility Executives at the Massachusetts Institute of Techn	Akins is a registered professional engineer in Texas. He currently serves as the chairman of the Coal Utilization Resea and on the boards of the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), the Mid-Ohio Food Bank and the Greater Colum also serves on several subsidiary boards of AEP.



# Normalized Load Trends



ŝ

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 5 Attachment 3 Page 6 of 8

an and the state of the



9

GD Works	□ Limestone is fed from the silo and crushed in the ball mill	□ Crushed limestone is mixed with water to form a slurry
How an F(	Sorheir	Autor State



of the vessel

 $\square$ 

the product

 $\square$ 

water to produce gypsum: the water is re-Hydroclones wring out much of the circulated  $\square$ 



vessels where it is sprayed with the slurry Exhaust gas is routed through absorber

calcium sulfate or gypsum

2

How an SCR Works The and secon pound often used as factulizer, is mixed with water used to drive the ammonia gas from the urea mixture gas from the urea mixture fue gas alread of the SCR I The ammonia reacts with the flue gas area over the catalyst, forming introgen gas and water vapor	vapor are released into the atmosphere
--	--

cO

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 5 Attachment 4 Page 1 of 4

September 29, 2011

AM ERIGAN®

囟

ELEGTRIG

NAVELS



t۲ Standard & Poor's Meeting Handout 1 and a
	and the
	The second
n	
لي من السيا	E AND
Constant of Constants	
And the second	
m	191
U	
$\cap$	
Anna Anna	
65	1000
	1000
(	1.000
A REPORTED	22.0
$(\mathbf{v})$	and the second
A	1000
	in the second
-	1000
C	1000
$\mathbf{O}$	
And the second s	10.0419
and the second second	
<b>(D)</b>	STATISTICS.
	and the second
O	)
R	100000
U	1000
$\mathcal{C}$	10.000
	Contraction of the local division of the loc
$\mathcal{O}$	No. of Concession, Name
Contraction of the local division of the loc	24142
	1.000
	0.0

Nick Akins, AEP President

Brian Tierney, EVP & CFO

Joe Hamrock, President & COO, AEP Ohio

Rich Munczinski, SVP Regulatory Services

Chuck Zebula, Treasurer & SVP Investor Relations

Renee Hawkins, Asst. Treasurer & MD Corporate Finance

Strictly Confidential

KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 5 Attachment 4 Page 3 of 4 က C Serving electric customers in TT \$17.9B Market Capitalization BBB/Baa2/BBB credit rating Facts \$1.2B Net Income \* 10.75% System ROE \* \$14.4B Revenues \* \* - represents results for 2010 11 states Fast AEP American Electric Power Strictly Confidential Company Filings າກຸ່ອນຈາກໄຮອັງດາກາກໄຂຮົາ ((000s) Generation owned (GM) Electric customers<sup>1</sup> (min) 19132 1915 10 11.15 留 10,10) 21(0)(4) Southern Co Duke Energy NextEra Energy AEP Pacific Gas & Electric 벁 Exelon AEP First Energy AEP Duke Energy Southern ပိ U.S. electricity generators The largest U.S. electricity electricity distributors One of the largest U.S. One of the largest transmitter

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401



C

TT



\* Units that will be retrofit may be eligible for a one year compliance extension from the EPA related to HAPs and the Oklahoma units may also be eligible for a one year compliance extension under Regional Haze.

Strictly Confidential

4

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

- a. Please provide the monthly short-term debt balances for Kentucky Power Company for each month from January 2009 through the most recent month available. Please explain how the monthly short-term debt balance was determined (e.g., month-ending balance, average daily balance) and provide a sample calculation.
- b. Please provide for each month, the monthly cost-rate of that short-term debt, as well as a sample calculation showing how that monthly cost rate is derived.
- c. Please provide a narrative description of the short-term debt financing arrangements for the Company. If there is an inter-corporate money-pooling arrangement, please provide a narrative description of that arrangement.

#### RESPONSE

- a. Please see Attachment 1. Short-term debt (STD) month end is the amount of STD outstanding on the last day of each month.
- b. Please see Attachment 1. The monthly rate is an average daily rate of STD for the month.
- c. The AEP System uses a corporate borrowing program that is funded by any excess cash available at AEP utility subsidiaries, as well as the issuance of commercial paper, and is backed by bank lines of credit to meet short-term borrowing needs. The corporate borrowing program includes a Utility Money Pool, which funds the utility subsidiaries (including KYPCo) with short-term borrowings. The AEP System Corporate Borrowing Program (CBP) operates in accordance with the terms and conditions outlined by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and adopted by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC).

Excel format of this attachment is also available on the enclosed CD.

WITNESS: Ranie K Wohnhas

#### Kentucky Power Company Schedule of Short Term Debt Thirty Six Months ended December 31, 2011

Line No. (1)	Month (2)	Year (3)	Notes Payable Outstanding at the End of the Month (4)	Monthly Cost
1	January	2009	151,601,832	1.94%
2	February	2009	146,762,000	1.78%
3	March	2009	157,289,699	1.39%
4	April	2009	156,177,865	1.19%
5	May	2009	168,665,181	0.95%
6	June	2009	6,049,931	0.71%
7	July	2009	0	
8	August	2009	0	
9	September	2009	0	
10	October	2009	0	
11	November	2009	0	
12	December	2009	485,337	0.21%
13	January	2010	805,286	0.16%
14	February	2010	2,984,116	0.19%
15	March	2010	0	
16	April	2010	0	
17	May	2010	6,714,455	0.25%
18	June	2010	4,268,088	0.41%
19	July	2010	0	
20	August	2010	0	
21	September	2010	0	
22	October	2010	0	
23	November	2010	0	
24	December	2010	0	
25	January	2011	0	
26	February	2011	0	
27	March	2011	0	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1 Page 2 of 19

Line No. (1)	Month (2)	Year (3)	Notes Payable Outstanding at the End of the Month (4)	Monthly Cost
28	April	2011	0	
29	May	2011	0	
30	June	2011	0	
31	July	2011	0	
32	August	2011	0	
33	September	2011	0	
34	October	2011	0	
35	November	2011	0	
36	December	2011	0	

1.94%

1.78%

#### Kentucky Power Company Short Term Debt Balance and Cost Calculation Thirty Six Months ended December 31, 2011

Day of		S-T Borrowed	Borrowed Interest	Borrowed Interest	Weighted Average Borrowed
Week	Date	Balance	Rate	Rate	interest Rate
	1/1/2000	(131 406 050 03)		2 28%	0.011078%
	1/2/2009	(132 337 908 20)		2.28%	0.011156%
	1/2/2009	(132,307,300,20)		2 28%	0.011157%
	1/3/2009	(132,340,272.00)		2.28%	0.011157%
	1/5/2009	(135 001 041 26)		2 28%	0.011456%
	1/6/2009	(131 976 024 59)		2.25%	0.011001%
	1/7/2009	(135 415 521 92)		2.26%	0.011343%
	1/8/2009	(130,781,085,13)		2.26%	0.010955%
	1/9/2009	(139 498 245 24)		2.26%	0.011685%
	1/10/2000	(139 507 006 46)		2.26%	0.011686%
	1/11/2009	(139 515 768 24)		2.26%	0.011687%
	1/12/2009	(140,222,271,56)		1.73%	0.009004%
	1/13/2009	(137.053.409.21)		1.75%	0.008885%
	1/14/2009	(135,209,910,65)		1.74%	0.008740%
	1/15/2009	(132,713,733,06)		1.76%	0.008652%
	1/16/2009	(132,623,253,61)		1.76%	0.008646%
	1/17/2009	(132.629.736.08)		1.76%	0.008646%
	1/18/2009	(132,636,218,87)		1.76%	0.008647%
	1/19/2009	(132.642.701.98)		1.76%	0.008647%
	1/20/2009	(128,338,651,44)		1.76%	0.008367%
	1/21/2009	(131,912,848,85)		1.76%	0.008600%
	1/22/2009	(134,201,407,12)		1.76%	0.008749%
	1/23/2009	(132.889.893.31)		1.76%	0.008663%
	1/24/2009	(132,896,388,82)		1.76%	0.008664%
	1/25/2009	(132,902,884,65)		1.76%	0.008664%
	1/26/2009	(150.341,105.92)		1.76%	0.009801%
	1/27/2009	(149,755,575,82)		1.76%	0.009763%
	1/28/2009	(150.334.596.37)		1.76%	0.009801%
	1/29/2009	(149,010,851,60)		1.76%	0.009714%
Friday	1/30/2009	(151.601.831.51)		1.76%	0.009883%
7 maay	1/31/2009	(151,609,241,63)		1.76%	0.009884%
	2/1/2009	(151,616,652,12)		1.76%	0.009884%
	2/2/2009	(152,021,886,13)		1.76%	0.009911%
	2/3/2009	(149,060,547.52)		1.76%	0.009718%
	2/4/2009	(153,886,901.91)		1.76%	0.010032%
	2/5/2009	(144,703,595.79)		1.76%	0.009434%
	2/6/2009	(143,573,600.13)		1.76%	0.009360%
	2/7/2009	(143,580,617.85)		1.76%	0.009360%
	2/8/2009	(143,587,635.92)		1.76%	0.009361%
	2/9/2009	(152,564,717.02)		1.76%	0.009946%
	2/10/2009	(150,532,245.41)		1.76%	0.009814%
	2/11/2009	(147,834,250.21)		1.76%	0.009638%
	2/12/2009	(146,017,867.53)		1.82%	0.009841%
	2/13/2009	(146,832,341.69)		1.81%	0.009853%
	2/14/2009	(146,839,729.08)		1.81%	0.009853%
	2/15/2009	(146,847,116.85)		1.81%	0.009854%
	2/16/2009	(146,854,504.99)		1.81%	0.009854%
	2/17/2009	(137,904,424.36)		1.80%	0.009186%
	2/18/2009	(135,978,652.59)		1.79%	0.009036%
	2/19/2009	(142,157,047.88)		1.79%	0.009447%
	2/20/2009	(143,676,146.23)		1.79%	0.009547%
	2/21/2009	(143,683,304.60)		1.79%	0.009548%
	2/22/2009	(143,690,463.32)		1.79%	0.009548%
	2/23/2009	(143,551,525.77)		1.79%	0.009535%
	2/24/2009	(141,402,899.52)		1.79%	0.009392%
	2/25/2009	(140,949,548.19)		1.79%	0.009362%
	2/26/2009	(149,860,995.06)		1.74%	0.009672%
Friday	2/27/2009	(146,761,999.69)		1.74%	0.009472%
-	2/28/2009	(146,769,101.27)		1.74%	0.009472%
	3/1/2009	(146,776,203.20)		1.74%	0.009473%
	3/2/2009	(148,121,620.13)		1.74%	0.009559%
	3/3/2009	(145,948,308.91)		1.74%	0.009419%
	3/4/2009	(146,756,869.38)		1.74%	0.009471%

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1 Page 4 of 19

Day of Week	Date	S-T Borrowed Balance	Borrowed Interest Rate	Borrowed Interest Rate	Weighted Average Borrowed Interest Rate	
				4 7 404	0.0000000	
	3/5/2009	(139,428,005.40)		1.74%	0.000340%	
	3/6/2009	(144,865,798.53)		1 74%	0.009349%	
	3/7/2009	(144,072,000.30)		1 74%	0.009350%	
	3/0/2009	(152.066.241.52)		1.56%	0.008789%	
	3/10/2009	(150.088.899.22)		1.56%	0.008675%	
	3/11/2009	(147.210.884.34)		1.57%	0.008573%	
	3/12/2009	(148,225,347.16)		1.22%	0.006724%	
	3/13/2009	(144,017,608.36)		1.22%	0.006533%	
	3/14/2009	(144,022,506,92)		1.22%	0.006534%	
	3/15/2009	(144,027,405.65)		1.22%	0.006534%	
	3/16/2009	(152,380,086.75)		1.22%	0.006894%	
	3/17/2009	(150,998,815.12)		1.22%	0.006824%	
	3/18/2009	(150,899,116.37)		1.22%	0.006820%	
	3/19/2009	(155,598,006.06)		1.22%	0.007025%	
	3/20/2009	(161,451,788.47)		1.22%	0.007290%	
	3/21/2009	(161,457,254,28)		1.22%	0.007290%	
	3/22/2009	(161,462,720.27)		1.22%	0.007291%	
	3/23/2009	(161,838,246.04)		1.22%	0.007307%	
	3/24/2009	(159,869,014.50)		1.22%	0.007214%	
	3/25/2009	(160,035,218,80)		1.2270	0.00721478	
	3/26/2009	(101,307,021.43)		1.22%	0.007303%	
	3/27/2009	(101,100,000.01)		1.22%	0.007304%	
	3/20/2009	(161,150,301.03)		1 22%	0.007304%	
	3/20/2009	(159 705 369 22)		1.22%	0.007238%	
Tuesday	3/31/2009	(157,289,698,89)		1.22%	0.007128%	1.39%
rucsuuy	4/1/2009	(156,909,006,71)		1.22%	0.007111%	
	4/2/2009	(158,842,299.25)		1.22%	0.007152%	
	4/3/2009	(166,061,611.08)		1.22%	0.007477%	
	4/4/2009	(166,067,217.07)		1.22%	0.007477%	
	4/5/2009	(166,072,823.25)		1.22%	0.007477%	
	4/6/2009	(164,405,166.23)		1.22%	0.007402%	
	4/7/2009	(170,236,158.20)		1.22%	0.007665%	
	4/8/2009	(169,341,851.07)		1.22%	0.007625%	
	4/9/2009	(166,870,361.70)		1.22%	0.007513%	
	4/10/2009	(167,537,844.39)		1.22%	0.007543%	
	4/11/2009	(167,543,500.21)		1.22%	0.007544%	
	4/12/2009	(167,549,156.23)		1.22%	0.00747394	
	4/13/2009	(165,965,115.40)		1.2270	0.00747378	
	4/14/2009	(156,037,505.72)		1.10%	0.006719%	
	4/16/2009	(150,040,049,90)		1 16%	0.006929%	
	4/10/2009	(150,909,910.04)		1 16%	0.006848%	
	4/18/2009	(159.047.916.11)		1.16%	0.006848%	
	4/19/2009	(159.053.050.86)		1.16%	0.006849%	
	4/20/2009	(158.027.601.36)		1.16%	0.006805%	
	4/21/2009	(152,470,302.01)		1.16%	0.006565%	
	4/22/2009	(158,770,853.26)		1.16%	0.006837%	
	4/23/2009	(160,199,961.09)		1.16%	0.006898%	
	4/24/2009	(160,685,612.57)		1.16%	0.006919%	
	4/25/2009	(160,690,800.20)		1.16%	0.006919%	
	4/26/2009	(160,695,987.99)		1.16%	0.006919%	
	4/27/2009	(161,170,349.63)		1.21%	0.007212%	
	4/28/2009	(159,695,292.31)		1.21%	0.007146%	
	4/29/2009	(158,870,576.82)		1.21%	0.007109%	4 4004
Thursday	4/30/2009	(156,177,864.54)		1.21%	0.006988%	1.19%
	5/1/2009	(155,984,273.05)		1.21%	0.006980%	
	5/2/2009	(155,989,506.24)		1.21%	0.000900%	
	5/3/2009	(155,994,/39.60)		1.21%	0.000900% 0.00703 <i>40</i> /	
	5/4/2009	(157,192,293,41) (156,800,000,77)		1 2 1 70	0.0070347/	
	5/5/2009	(100,000,020.11) (157 400 005 18)		1 21%	0.007044%	
	5/6/2009	(107,429,220,10) (152,178,468,84)		1 21%	0.00704478	
	5/8/2009	(162,118,161,66)		1.21%	0.007254%	
	5/9/2009	(162,123,600,63)		1.21%	0.007254%	
	5/10/2009	(162,129,039.78)		1.21%	0.007255%	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1 Page 5 of 19

Dav		S-T		Borrowed	Weighted Average	0110
of		Borrowed	Borrowed Interest	Interest	Borrowed	
Week	Date	Balance	Rate	Rate	Interest Rate	
	5/11/2009	(160,547,553.48)		1.21%	0.007184%	
	5/12/2009	(158,800,954.65)		0.92%	0.005405%	
	5/13/2009	(157,525,213.22)		0.92%	0.005362%	
	5/14/2009	(158,593,159.72)		0.83%	0.004847%	
	5/15/2009	(159,811,435.33)		0.83%	0.004885%	
	5/16/2009	(159,815,097.67)		0.83%	0.004885%	
	5/17/2009	(159,818,760.10)		0.83%	0.004885%	
	5/18/2009	(157,281,849.90)		0.83%	0.004807%	
	5/19/2009	(154,505,031,35)		0.83%	0.004722%	
	5/20/2009	(150,315,503,17)		0.0370	0.0048334 %	
	5/21/2009	(109,564,334,43)		0.03%	0.004759%	
	5/22/2009	(160,576,659,47)		0.00%	0.004759%	
	5/23/2009	(160,560,427.24)		0.00%	0.004759%	
	5/24/2009	(160,585,995,10)		0.80%	0.004759%	
	5/26/2009	(150,337,303.04)		0.76%	0.004472%	
	5/20/2009	(165 632 260 62)		0.76%	0.004655%	
	5/28/2009	(167 238 928 87)		0.76%	0.004730%	
Friday	5/20/2009	(168,665,181,33)		0.77%	0.004797%	
rituay	5/30/2009	(168 668 778 19)		0.77%	0.004797%	
	5/31/2009	(168,672,375,13)		0.77%	0.004798%	0.95%
	6/1/2009	(170 855 376 38)		0.74%	0.004655%	
	6/2/2009	(169 394 397 39)		0.74%	0.004615%	
	6/3/2009	(170,601,883,64)		0.71%	0.004503%	
	6/4/2009	(164,113,400,87)		0.72%	0.004350%	
	6/5/2009	(163,560,314,02)		0.71%	0.004326%	
	6/6/2009	(163,563,557,61)	1	0.71%	0.004326%	
	6/7/2009	(163,566,801.26)	·	0.71%	0.004326%	
	6/8/2009	(174,108,041.92)		0.70%	0.004524%	
	6/9/2009	(172,153,241.34)		0.71%	0.004534%	
	6/10/2009	(170,174,541.58)		0.71%	0.004464%	
	6/11/2009	(169,067,023.14)		0.70%	0.004409%	
	6/12/2009	(167,911,054.47)		0.71%	0.004410%	
	6/13/2009	(167,914,361.01)		0.71%	0.004410%	
	6/14/2009	(167,917,667.61)		0.71%	0.004410%	
	6/15/2009	(166,905,836.38)		0.66%	0.004081%	
	6/16/2009	(166,894,057.18)		0.65%	0.004022%	
	6/17/2009	(160,317,012.73)		0.65%	0.003877%	
	6/18/2009	(35,268,928.72)		0.68%	0.000888%	
	6/19/2009	(35,149,583.35)		0.69%	0.000896%	
	6/20/2009	(35,358,038.04)		0.69%	0.000902%	
	6/21/2009	(35,358,714.05)		0.69%	0.000902%	
	6/22/2009	(31,529,878.11)		0.70%	0.000823%	
	6/23/2009	(35,257,272.96)		0.70%	0.000918%	
	6/24/2009	(34,480,625.60)		0.71%	0.000912%	
	6/25/2009	(6,123,350.60)		0.72%	0.000163%	
	6/26/2009	(6,467,090.36)		0.72%	0.000173%	
	6/27/2009	(6,467,219.85)		0.72%	0.000173%	
	6/28/2009	(6,467,349.34)		0.72%	0.000173%	
-	6/29/2009	(0,948,156.76)		0.72%	0.00015978	07104
iuesday	6/30/2009	(6,049,931,46)		0.72%	0.00016278	0.7170
	7/1/2009	(5,929,044.05)		0.72%	0.000146%	
	7/2/2009	(5,039,741,28)		0.70%	0.00014078	
	7/3/2009	(5,002,435.33)		0.70%	0.000150%	
	7/4/2009	(5,002,547.14)		0.70%	0.000150%	
	7/6/2009	(7,504,296,51)		0.70%	0.000194%	
	7/7/2009	(5,301,210,47)		0.70%	0.000137%	
	7/8/2009	(8 141 841 22)		0.70%	0.000211%	
	7/9/2009	(9 740 706 42)		0.71%	0.000254%	
	7/10/2009	(7.643.029.75)		0.71%	0.000201%	
	7/11/2009	(7.643.180.37)		0.71%	0.000201%	
	7/12/2009	(7.643.331.00)		0.71%	0.000201%	
	7/13/2009	(6,389,928,06)		0.71%	0.000168%	
	7/14/2009	(477,708.13)		0.72%	0.000013%	
	7/15/2009	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0.000000%	
	7/16/2009	(1,580,038.90)		0.64%	0.000037%	
		· · ·				

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1 Page 6 of 19

Day of Week	Date	S-T Borrowed Balance	Borrowed Interest Rate	Borrowed Interest Rate	Weighted Average Borrowed Interest Rate	
	7/17/2009	(844,103.60)		0.63%	0.000020%	
	7/18/2009	(844,118.40)		0.63%	0.000020%	
	7/19/2009	(844,133.20)		0.63%	0.000020%	
	7/20/2009	(5,216,279.15)		0.0276	0.00012078	
	7/21/2009				0.000000%	
	7/22/2009	(514 732 02)		0.63%	0.000012%	
	7/23/2009	(2 995 268 22)		0.62%	0.000069%	
	7/25/2009	(2,995,320,12)		0.62%	0.000069%	
	7/26/2009	(2,995,372.02)		0.62%	0_000069%	
	7/27/2009	(4,626,757.56)		0.60%	0.000102%	
	7/28/2009	(4,501,719.24)		0.61%	0.000102%	
	7/29/2009	(2,107,619.43)		0.61%	0.000048%	
	7/30/2009				0.000000%	0.67%
Friday	7/31/2009				0.000000%	0.0778
	8/1/2009				0.000000%	
	8/2/2009				0.000000%	
	8/4/2009				0.00000%	
	8/5/2009				0.00000%	
	8/6/2009				0.00000%	
	8/7/2009				0.00000%	
	8/8/2009				0.000000%	
	8/9/2009				0.000000%	
	8/10/2009	(4,035,990.53)		0.62%	0.000093%	
	8/11/2009	(2,093,013.12)		0.62%	0.000048%	
	8/12/2009	(926,592.74)		0,02%	0.000021%	
	8/13/2009				0.000000%	
	8/14/2009				0.000000%	
	8/16/2009				0.000000%	
	8/17/2009				0.00000%	
	8/18/2009				0.00000%	
	8/19/2009				0.00000%	
	8/20/2009				0.000000%	
	8/21/2009				0.000000%	
	8/22/2009				0.000000%	
	8/23/2009				0.000000%	
	8/24/2009				0.000000%	
	8/25/2009				0.000000%	
	8/27/2009				0.00000%	
	8/28/2009				0.00000%	
	8/29/2009				0.000000%	
	8/30/2009				0.000000%	0.000/
Monday	8/31/2009				0.000000%	0.62%
	9/1/2009				0.000000%	
	9/2/2009				0.000000%	
	9/3/2009				0.000000%	
	9/4/2009				0.000000%	
	9/5/2009				0.000000%	
	9/7/2009				0.00000%	
	9/8/2009				0.00000%	
	9/9/2009				0.00000%	
	9/10/2009				0.00000%	
	9/11/2009				0.000000%	
	9/12/2009				0.00000%	
	9/13/2009				0.000000%	
	9/14/2009				0.000000%	
	9/15/2009				0.000000%	
	9/10/2009				0.000000%	
	9/18/2009				0.00000%	
	9/19/2009				0.00000%	
	9/20/2009				0.000000%	
	9/21/2009				0.000000%	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1 Page 7 of 19

Day of		S-T Borrowed	Borrowed Interest	Borrowed Interest Rate	Weighted Average Borrowed Interest Rate	
Week	Date	Balance	Nate			
	9/22/2009				0.000000%	
	9/23/2009				0.000000%	
	9/24/2009	(1,436,766.12)		0.27%	0.000014%	
	9/25/2009	(2,091,727.48)		0.31%	0.000024%	
	9/26/2009	(2,091,745.27)		0.31%	0.000024%	
	9/27/2009	(2,091,763.05)		0.0170	0.000000%	
	9/28/2009				0.00000%	0.00%
Madaaaday	9/29/2009				0.000000%	0.30%
weanesday	10/1/2009				0.000000%	
	10/2/2009				0.000000%	
	10/3/2009				0.000000%	
	10/4/2009			0.28%	0.000015%	
	10/5/2009	(1,477,483.62)		0.12070	0.000000%	
	10/6/2009	(7.081.693.65)		0.25%	0.000065%	
	10///2009	(1,001,000.00)			0.00000%	
	10/9/2009				0.000000%	
	10/10/2009				0.000000%	
	10/11/2009				0.000000%	
	10/12/2009				0.000000%	
	10/13/2009				0.00000%	
	10/14/2009				0.00000%	
	10/15/2009				0.00000%	
	10/17/2009				0.000000%	
	10/18/2009				0.000000%	
	10/19/2009				0.000000%	
	10/20/2009				0.000000%	
	10/21/2009				0.000000%	
	10/22/2009				0.00000%	
	10/23/2009				0.00000%	
	10/24/2009				0.000000%	
	10/26/2009				0.000000%	
	10/27/2009				0.000000%	
	10/28/2009				0.000000%	
	10/29/2009				0.000000%	0.26%
Friday	10/30/2009				0.000000%	
	10/31/2009				0.000000%	
	11/2/2009				0.000000%	
	11/3/2009				0.000000%	
	11/4/2009				0.000000%	
	11/5/2009				0.000000%	
	11/6/2009				0.000000%	
	11/7/2009				0.00000%	
	11/8/2009				0.00000%	
	11/10/2009		ň		0.000000%	
	11/11/2009				0.000000%	
	11/12/2009				0.00000%	
	11/13/2009				0.000000%	
	11/14/2009				0.000000%	
	11/15/2009				0.00000%	
	11/16/2009				0.00000%	
	11/18/2009				0.000000%	
	11/19/2009					
	11/20/2009	(1,228,065	.87)	0.20	1% 0.00009%	
	11/21/2009	(1,228,072	.74)	0.20	0.000009%	
	11/22/2009	(1,228,079	.6U)	0.20	0.000000%	
	11/23/2009				0.00000%	
	11/24/2009				0.000000%	
	11/20/2009				0.00000%	
	11/27/2009				0.00000%	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1 Page 8 of 19

Day of		S-T Borrowed	Borrowed Interest	Borrowed Interest	Weighted Average Borrowed	
Week	Date	Balance	Kate	Rate	interest rate	
Monday	11/28/2009 11/29/2009 11/30/2009				0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000%	0.20%
wonday	12/1/2009 12/2/2009				0.000000% 0.000000%	
	12/3/2009 12/4/2009 12/5/2009				0.000000%	
	12/6/2009 12/7/2009 12/8/2009				0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000%	
	12/9/2009 12/10/2009				0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000%	
	12/11/2009 12/12/2009 12/13/2009				0.000000%	
	12/14/2009 12/15/2009 12/16/2009				0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000%	
	12/17/2009 12/18/2009 12/10/2009	(1,771,470.22)		0.18%	0.000000% 0.000012% 0.000012%	
	12/20/2009 12/20/2009 12/21/2009	(1,771,488.22) (1,771,488.22) (1,159,134.70)		0.18% 0.21%	0.000012% 0.000009%	
	12/22/2009 12/23/2009 12/24/2009	(250,061.40) (1,367,337.73) (2,190,054.42)		0.21% 0.21% 0.22%	0.000002% 0.000011% 0.000018%	
	12/25/2009 12/26/2009 12/27/2009	(2,190,067.68) (2,190,080.94) (2,190,094.20)		0.22% 0.22% 0.22%	0.000018% 0.000018% 0.000018%	
	12/28/2009 12/28/2009 12/29/2009	(2,,00,00,1,20)			0.000000% 0.000000%	
Thursday	12/30/2009 1 <b>2/31/2009</b> 1/1/2010	(485,336.84) (485,339.69)		0.21% 0.21%	0.0000004% 0.000004% 0.000004%	0.21%
	1/2/2010 1/3/2010 1/4/2010	(485,342.55) (485,345.40) (497,293.18)		0.21% 0.21% 0.18%	0.000004% 0.000004% 0.000003%	
	1/5/2010 1/6/2010 1/7/2010	(3,077,420.39) (5,361,441.55)		0.19% 0.16%	0.000022% 0.000032% 0.000000%	
	1/8/2010 1/9/2010				0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000%	
	1/11/2010 1/12/2010	(11,883,473.94) (11,419,024.83)		0.14% 0.13%	0.000060% 0.000057%	
	1/13/2010 1/14/2010 1/15/2010	(9,707,792.90) (6,808,713.60) (6,397,257.56)		0.14% 0.13% 0.14%	0.000033% 0.000033%	
	1/16/2010 1/17/2010 1/18/2010	(6,397,282.29) (6,397,307.02) (6,397,331.75)		0.14% 0.14% 0.14%	0.000033% 0.000033% 0.000033%	
	1/19/2010 1/20/2010	(3,722,401.59)		0.17%	0.000000% 0.000024%	
	1/21/2010 1/22/2010 1/23/2010	(3,759,311,75) (1,994,728.69) (1,994,737.45)		0.17% 0.16% 0.16%	0.000012% 0.000012%	
	1/24/2010 1/25/2010 1/26/2010	(1,994,746 21) (988,701 47)		0.16% 0.15%	0.000012% 0.000005% 0.000000% 0.000000%	
Friday	1/28/2010 1/28/2010 1/29/2010	(2,214,719.03) (805,285.95)		0.14% 0.16%	0.000012%	
	1/30/2010 1/31/2010 2/1/2010	(805,289.62) (805,293.30) (428,347.46)		0.16% 0.16% 0.18%	0.000005% 0.000005% 0.000003%	0.16%
	2/2/2010	(680,235.63)		0.18%	0.00005%	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1 Page 9 of 19

Day of Week	Date	S-T Borrowed Balance	Borrowed Interest Rate	Borrowed Interest Rate	Weighted Average Borrowed Interest Rate	
				0 470/	0 000027%	
	2/3/2010	(4,213,807.73)		0.17%	0.000027%	
	2/4/2010	(2,547,593.73)		0.13%	0.000012%	
	2/5/2010	(1,854,505.35)		0.17%	0.000012%	
	2/6/2010	(1,004,014,20)		0.17%	0.000012%	
	2/7/2010	(9,807,778,43)		0.16%	0.000060%	
	2/0/2010	(7 084 567 21)		0.16%	0.000041%	
	2/10/2010	(5 257 465 72)		0,16%	0.000031%	
	2/11/2010	(5,218,293,36)		0.16%	0.000031%	
	2/12/2010	(700,582.98)		0.16%	0.000004%	
	2/13/2010	(700,586.14)		0.16%	0.000004%	
	2/14/2010	(700,589.29)		0.16%	0.000004%	
	2/15/2010	(700,592.45)		0,16%	0.000004%	
	2/16/2010				0.00000%	
	2/17/2010				0.00000%	
	2/18/2010				0.000000%	
	2/19/2010	(1,310,432.30)		0.16%	0.000008%	
	2/20/2010	(1,310,438.21)		0.16%	0.000008%	
	2/21/2010	(1,310,444.12)		0.16%	0.000008%	
	2/22/2010				0.000000%	
	2/23/2010				0.000000%	
	2/24/2010	(4 004 405 00)		0.18%	0.00000078	
	2/25/2010	(4,261,405.22)		0.10%	0.000038%	
Friday	2/26/2010	(2,984,115.84)		0.34%	0.000038%	
	2/2//2010	(2,964,144.52)		0.34%	0.000038%	0.19%
	2/20/2010	(2,904,172.00)		0.34%	0.000039%	
	3/1/2010	(1 464 631 97)		0.34%	0.000019%	
	3/3/2010	(3 386 774 11)		0.34%	0.000043%	
	3/4/2010	(0,000]			0.000000%	
	3/5/2010				0.000000%	
	3/6/2010				0.000000%	
	3/7/2010				0.00000%	
	3/8/2010	(1,891,591.87)		0.09%	0.000007%	
	3/9/2010	-			0.00000%	
	3/10/2010				0.00000%	
	3/11/2010				0.000000%	
	3/12/2010				0.000000%	
	3/13/2010				0.000000%	
	3/14/2010			0.400/	0.000000%	
	3/15/2010	(69,238.00)		0.13%	0.000000%	
	3/16/2010	(851,840.76)		U. 1270	0.000004%	
	3/17/2010	(4 500 070 00)		0 11%	0.000000%	
	3/18/2010	(1,529,870.38)		0.17%	0.000001%	
	3/19/2010	(265,013.90)		0.12%	0.000001%	
	3/20/2010	(285,014.00)		0.12%	0.000001%	
	3/21/2010	(200,010.02)			0.000000%	
	3/22/2010				0.000000%	
	3/24/2010				0.00000%	
	3/25/2010				0.00000%	
	3/26/2010				0.00000%	
	3/27/2010				0.00000%	
	3/28/2010				0.00000%	
	3/29/2010				0.00000%	
	3/30/2010				0.00000%	
Wednesday	3/31/2010				0.00000%	0.19%
-	4/1/2010				0.00000%	
	4/2/2010				0.000000%	
	4/3/2010				0.000000%	
	4/4/2010			0.053/	0.000000%	
	4/5/2010	(1,965,701.90)		0.35%	0.000025%	
	4/6/2010	(529,098.82)		0.34%	0.000007%	
	4/7/2010				0.000000%	
	4/8/2010			0.250/	0.000000%	
	4/9/2010	(1,965,631.57)		0.35%	0.000020%	
	4/10/2010	(1,965,650.42)		0.0076	0.00002070	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1 Page 10 of 19

Day of Week	Date	S-T Borrowed Balance	Borrowed Interest Rate	Borrowed Interest Rate	Weighted Average Borrowed Interest Rate	
	4/11/2010	(1,965,669.27)		0.35%	0.000025%	
	4/12/2010	(1,017,014.80)		0.14%	0.000005%	
	4/13/2010	(292,942.63)		0.1376	0.000001%	
	4/14/2010				0.000000%	
	4/15/2010				0.000000%	
	4/17/2010				0.000000%	
	4/18/2010				0.00000%	
	4/19/2010				0.00000%	
	4/20/2010				0.00000%	
	4/21/2010				0.00000%	
	4/22/2010	(2,587,701.61)		0.19%	0.000019%	
	4/23/2010	(2,955,369.58)		0.21%	0.000023%	
	4/24/2010	(2,955,386.50)		0.21%	0.000023%	
	4/25/2010	(2,900,403,43)		0.21%	0.000019%	
	4/20/2010	(2,440,023,08)		0.14%	0.000001%	
	4/28/2010	(210,77110)			0.000000%	
	4/29/2010				0.00000%	
Friday	4/30/2010				0.00000%	0.24%
-	5/1/2010				0.00000%	
	5/2/2010				0.000000%	
	5/3/2010				0.000000%	
	5/4/2010			0.049/	0.000000%	
	5/5/2010	(4,207,789.77)		0.2170	0.000000%	
	5/6/2010				0.000000%	
	5/8/2010				0.000000%	
	5/9/2010				0.00000%	
	5/10/2010	(1,499,724,39)		0.21%	0.000011%	
	5/11/2010	••••			0.00000%	
	5/12/2010				0.00000%	
	5/13/2010	(1,973,210.83)		0.21%	0.000015%	
	5/14/2010				0.000000%	
	5/15/2010				0.000000%	
	5/10/2010				0.000000%	
	5/18/2010				0.000000%	
	5/19/2010				0.000000%	
	5/20/2010	(5,886,222.45)		0.37%	0.000081%	
	5/21/2010	(8,379,686.35)		0.37%	0.000116%	
	5/22/2010	(8,379,773.01)		0.37%	0.000116%	
	5/23/2010	(8,379,859.67)		0.37%	0.000116%	
	5/24/2010	(7,982,887.68)		0.21%	0.00002%	
	5/25/2010	(7,801,080,72)		0.21%	0.000061%	
	5/27/2010	(8,096,827,37)		0.21%	0.000063%	
Friday	5/28/2010	(6,714,455,19)		0.21%	0.000051%	
	5/29/2010	(6,714,493.79)		0.21%	0.000051%	
	5/30/2010	(6,714,532.39)		0.21%	0.000051%	
	5/31/2010	(6,714,570.99)		0.21%	0.000051%	0.25%
	6/1/2010	(9,961,957.21)		0.41%	0.000152%	
	6/2/2010	(7,987,501.35)		0.43%	0.000128%	
	6/3/2010	(12,630,007,31)		0.21%	0.000207%	
	6/5/2010	(12,630,162,28)		0.44%	0.000207%	
	6/6/2010	(12.630.317.26)		0.44%	0.000207%	
	6/7/2010	(10,254,423.24)		0.44%	D.000168%	
	6/8/2010	(13,853,111.57)		0.48%	0.000248%	
	6/9/2010	(12,336,127.02)		0.48%	0.000221%	
	6/10/2010	(7,635,055.52)		0.48%	0.000137%	
	6/11/2010	(7,136,902.23)		0.49%	0.000130%	
	6/12/2010	(7,136,999.79)		0.49% 0.49%	0.000130%	
	6/13/2010	(1,137,097.30) (1,555,138,80)		0.20%	0.000012%	
	6/15/2010	(136 551 25)		0.22%	0.000001%	
	6/16/2010	(1,993,532.16)		0.22%	0.000016%	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1 Page 11 of 19

Day		S-T Borrowed	Borrowed Interest	Borrowed Interest	Weighted Average Borrowed	
Week	Date	Balance	Rate	Rate	Interest Rate	
				0.001/	0.0000469/	
	6/17/2010	(5,369,118.54)		0.23%	0.000046%	
	6/18/2010	(11,054,877.94)		0.01%	0.000209%	
	6/19/2010	(11,055,034.55)		0.51%	0.000209%	
	6/20/2010	(11,000,191.12)		0.50%	0.000341%	
	6/22/2010	(18,963,000.76,89)		0.51%	0.000359%	
	6/23/2010	(13,907,486,01)		0.51%	0.000264%	
	6/24/2010	(15.322.317.00)		0.51%	0.000288%	
	6/25/2010	(17,870,027.06)		0.51%	0.000336%	
	6/26/2010	(17,870,278.70)		0.51%	0.000336%	
	6/27/2010	(17,870,530.34)		0.51%	0.000336%	
	6/28/2010	(15,216,852.84)		0.51%	0.000285%	
	6/29/2010	(10,804,849.54)		0.22%	0.000089%	0.410/
Wednesday	6/30/2010	(4,268,088.07)		0.22%	0.000035%	0.41%
	7/1/2010	(3,114,597.13)		0.2270	0.000020%	
	7/2/2010	(8,998,072.35)		0.51%	0.000169%	
	7/3/2010	(8 998, 198, 09)		0.51%	0.000169%	
	7/5/2010	(8,998,451,98)		0.51%	0.000169%	
	7/6/2010	(16.840.714.00)		0.51%	0.000318%	
	7/7/2010	(15,885,618.65)		0.51%	0.000303%	
	7/8/2010	(17,570,497.30)		0.52%	0.000339%	
	7/9/2010	(15,733,222.11)		0.53%	0.000307%	
	7/10/2010	(15,733,452.20)		0.53%	0.000307%	
	7/11/2010	(15,733,682.30)		0.53%	0.000307%	
	7/12/2010	(10,120,555.82)		0.24%	0.000091%	
	7/13/2010	(6,916,138.06)		0.24%	0.000061%	
	7/14/2010	(5,129,849.60)		0.25%	0.000047%	
	7/15/2010	(8,280,344.85)		0.00%	0.00010978	
	7/16/2010	(7,665,578,27)		0.55%	0.000156%	
	7/18/2010	(7,005,575.27)		0.55%	0.000156%	
	7/19/2010	(6 763 188 17)		0.55%	0.000139%	
	7/20/2010	(5,290,130,83)		0.55%	0.000109%	
	7/21/2010	(1,025,136.47)		0.54%	0.000021%	
	7/22/2010	(3,377,170.12)		0.26%	0.000033%	
	7/23/2010	(1,157,855.69)		0.26%	0.000011%	
	7/24/2010	(1,157,863.98)		0.26%	0.000011%	
	7/25/2010	(1,157,872.26)		0.26%	0.000011%	
	7/26/2010	(1,127,190.71)		0.26%	0.000011%	
	7/27/2010	(284,757.10)		0.26%	0.000003%	
	7/28/2010				0.000000%	
Eriday	7/20/2010				0.000000%	
rnuay	7/31/2010				0.000000%	0.43%
	8/1/2010				0.00000%	
	8/2/2010				0.000000%	
	8/3/2010				0.000000%	
	8/4/2010				0.00000%	
	8/5/2010		`		0.000000%	
	8/6/2010				0.000000%	
	8/7/2010				0.000000%	
	8/8/2010				0.000000%	
	8/9/2010				0.000000%	
	8/10/2010				0.000000%	
	8/12/2010				0.000000%	
	8/13/2010				0.000000%	
	8/14/2010				0.000000%	
	8/15/2010				0.00000%	
	8/16/2010				0.00000%	
	8/17/2010				0.000000%	
	8/18/2010				0.000000%	
	8/19/2010				0.000000%	
	8/20/2010				0.000000%	
	8/21/2010				0.000000%	
	8/22/2010				0.000000%	

						KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1 Page 12 of 19
Day of Week	Date	S-T Borrowed Balance	Borrowed Interest Rate	Borrowed Interest Rate	Weighted Avera Borrowed Interest Rate	ge
Tuesday	8/23/2010 8/24/2010 8/25/2010 8/26/2010 8/28/2010 8/28/2010 8/29/2010 8/30/2010 8/31/2010 9/1/2010 9/1/2010 9/5/2010 9/6/2010 9/6/2010 9/6/2010 9/1/2010 9/11/2010 9/11/2010 9/11/2010 9/13/2010 9/14/2010 9/15/2010 9/15/2010 9/16/2010 9/16/2010 9/16/2010 9/16/2010 9/18/2010 9/18/2010 9/19/2010 9/21/2010 9/22/2010 9/22/2010 9/22/2010					00%      0
Thursday	9/26/2010 9/27/2010 9/28/2010 9/29/2010 9/30/2010 10/1/2010 10/2/2010 10/3/2010 10/3/2010				0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	000% 000% 000% 000% 000% 000% 000% 000
	10/5/2010 10/6/2010 10/7/2010 10/9/2010 10/9/2010 10/10/2010 10/11/2010 10/11/2010 10/12/2010 10/13/2010 10/15/2010 10/15/2010 10/15/2010 10/19/2010 10/20/2010 10/22/2010 10/22/2010 10/22/2010 10/22/2010 10/26/2010 10/27/2010 10/27/2010				0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000000	000% 000%

					Attachment 1
				Demonstra	Page 13 01 19
Dav		S-T	- 11.4	Borroweu	Borrowed
of		Borrowed	Borrowed Interest	Rate	Interest Rate
Week	Date	Balance	Rate	Nate	
	10,000,000,400				0.00000%
Friday	10/29/2010				0.00000%
	10/31/2010				0.000000%
	11/1/2010				0.00000%
	11/2/2010				0.00000%
	11/3/2010				0.000000%
	11/4/2010				0.000000%
	11/5/2010				0.00000%
	11/6/2010				0.000000%
	11/7/2010				0.00000%
	11/9/2010				0.00000%
	11/10/2010				0.00000%
	11/11/2010				0.000000%
	11/12/2010				0.00000%
	11/13/2010				0.00000%
	11/14/2010				0.00000%
	11/16/2010				0.00000%
	11/17/2010				0.000000%
	11/18/2010				0.000000%
	11/19/2010				0.000000%
	11/20/2010				0.00000%
	11/21/2010				0.00000%
	11/22/2010				0.00000%
	11/23/2010				0.000000%
	11/25/2010				0.00000%
	11/26/2010				0.000000%
	11/27/2010				0.000000%
	11/28/2010				0.00000%
	11/29/2010				0.00000%
Tuesday	11/30/2010				0.000000%
	12/1/2010				0.00000%
	12/3/2010				0.00000%
	12/4/2010				0.000000%
	12/5/2010				0.000000%
	12/6/2010				0.00000%
	12/7/2010				0.00000%
	12/8/2010				0.00000%
	12/10/2010				0.00000%
	12/11/2010				0.000000%
	12/12/2010				0.000000%
	12/13/2010				0.00000%
	12/14/2010				0.000000%
	12/15/2010				0.00000%
	12/17/2010	л			0.000000%
	12/18/2010				0.00000%
	12/19/2010				0.000000%
	12/20/2010				0.00000%
	12/21/2010				0.00000%
	12/22/2010				0.00000%
	12/23/2010				0.000000%
	12/25/2010				0.000000%
	12/26/2010				0.00000%
	12/27/2010				0.000000%
	12/28/2010				0.00000%
	12/29/2010				0.00000%
	12/30/2010				0.000000%
Friday	12/31/2010				0.00000%
	1/1/2011				0.00000%
	1/3/2011				0.00000%

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012

Item No. 6

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1 Page 14 of 19

Day of		S-T Borrowed	Borrowed Interest	Borrowed Interest Rate	Weighted Average Borrowed Interest Rate
Week	Date	Balance	ivate	ituto	
	1///2011				0.000000%
	1/4/2011				0.00000%
	1/6/2011				0.00000%
	1/7/2011				0.00000%
	1/8/2011				0.00000%
	1/0/2011				0.00000%
	1/5/2011				0.00000%
	1/11/2011				0.00000%
	1/12/2011				0.00000%
	1/13/2011				0.00000%
	1/14/2011				0.000000%
	1/15/2011				0.000000%
	1/16/2011				0.000000%
	1/17/2011				0.000000%
	1/18/2011				0.000000%
	1/19/2011				0.000000%
	1/20/2011				0.00000%
	1/21/2011				0.000000%
	1/22/2011				0.000000%
	1/23/2011				0.000000%
	1/24/2011				0.00000%
	1/25/2011				0.000000%
	1/26/2011				0.000000%
	1/27/2011				0.000000%
	1/28/2011				0.000000%
	1/29/2011				0.000000%
	1/30/2011				0.000000%
Monday	1/31/2011				0.000000%
•	2/1/2011				0.000000%
	2/2/2011				0.000000%
	2/3/2011				0.000000%
	2/4/2011				0.000000%
	2/5/2011				0.000000%
	2/6/2011				0.000000%
	2/7/2011				0.000000%
	2/8/2011				0.000000%
	2/9/2011				0.000000%
	2/10/2011				0.00000%
	2/11/2011				0.000000%
	2/12/2011				0.00000%
	2/13/2011				0.00000%
	2/14/2011				0.00000%
	2/15/2011				0.000000%
	2/16/2011				0.00000%
	2/1//2011				0.000000%
	2/18/2011				0.00000%
	2/18/2011				0.00000%
	2/20/2011				0.000000%
	2/20/11				0.00000%
	2/23/2011				0.00000%
	2/20/2011				0.00000%
	2/25/2011				0.000000%
	2/26/2011				0.000000%
	2/27/2011				0.000000%
Monday	2/28/2011				0.000000%
Monday	3/1/2011				0.000000%
	3/2/2011				0.000000%
	3/3/2011				
	3/4/2011				0.000000%
	3/5/2011				
	3/6/2011				
	3/7/2011				0.000000%
	3/8/2011				
	3/9/2011				
	3/10/2011				
	3/11/2011				0.000000%

						KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1 Page 15 of 19
Day of	_ /	S-T Borrowed	Borrowed Interest Rate	Borrowed Interest Rate	Weighted Avera Borrowed Interest Rate	ge
Week	Date	Balance	1.000		0.000	0.0%
	3/12/2011				0.0000	00%
	3/13/2011				0.0000	00%
	3/14/2011				0.0000	00%
	3/16/2011				0.0000	00%
	3/17/2011				0.0000	000%
	3/18/2011				0.0000	000%
	3/19/2011				0.000	000%
	3/20/2011				0.000	000%
	3/22/2011				0.000	JUU% JUO%
	3/23/2011				0.000	000%
	3/24/2011				0.000	000%
	3/25/2011				0.000	000%
	3/26/2011				0.000	000%
	3/28/2011				0.000	000%
	3/29/2011				0.000	000%
	3/30/2011				0.000	000%
Thursday	3/31/2011				0.000	000%
	4/1/2011				0.000	000%
	4/3/2011				0.000	000%
	4/4/2011				0.000	0000%
	4/5/2011				0.000	0000%
	4/6/2011				0.000	0000%
	4/7/2011				0.000	0000%
	4/9/2011				0.000	0000%
	4/10/2011				0.000	0000%
	4/11/2011				0.00	0000%
	4/12/2011				0.00	0000%
	4/14/2011				0.00	0000%
	4/15/2011				0.00	0000%
	4/16/2011				0.00	0000%
	4/17/2011				0.00	0000%
	4/19/2011				0.00	0000%
	4/20/2011				0.00	0000%
	4/21/2011				0.00	0000%
	4/22/2011				0.00	0000%
	4/23/2011				0.00	
	4/25/2011				0.00	0000%
	4/26/2011				0.0	0000%
	4/27/2011				0.00	00000%
Taiday	4/28/2011				0.0	0000%
Friday	4/20/2011				0.00	0000%
	5/1/2011				0.0	00000%
	5/2/2011				0.0	0000%
	5/3/2011				0.0	0000%
	5/5/2011				0.0	
	5/6/2011				0.0	00000%
	5/7/2011				0.0	00000%
	5/8/2011				0.0	00000%
	5/9/2011 5/10/2011				0.0	00000%
	5/11/2011				0.0	00000%
	5/12/2011				0.0	00000%
	5/13/2011				0.0	00000%
	5/14/2011				0.0	00000%
	5/15/2011 5/16/2011				0.0	00000%
	5/17/2011				0.0	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1 Page 16 of 19

Day of Week	Date	S-T Borrowed Balance	Borrowed Interest Rate	Borrowed Interest Rafe	Weighted Average Borrowed Interest Rate
	5400044				0.00000%
	5/18/2011				0.00000%
	5/19/2011				0.00000%
	5/20/2011				0.00000%
	5/22/2011				0.00000%
	5/23/2011				0.000000%
	5/24/2011				0.00000%
	5/25/2011				0.00000%
	5/26/2011				0.000000%
	5/27/2011				0.000000%
	5/28/2011				0.000000%
	5/29/2011				0.000000%
	5/30/2011				0.000000%
Tuesday	5/31/2011				0.000000%
	6/1/2011				0.000000%
	6/2/2011				0.000000%
	6/3/2011				0.00000%
	6/4/2011				0.00000%
	6/5/2011				0.00000%
	6/0/2011				0.00000%
	6/8/2011				0.00000%
	6/9/2011				0.00000%
	6/10/2011				0.00000%
	6/11/2011				0.00000%
	6/12/2011				0.00000%
	6/13/2011				0.000000%
	6/14/2011				0.000000%
	6/15/2011				0.000000%
	6/16/2011				0.000000%
	6/17/2011				0.00000%
	6/18/2011				0.000000%
	6/19/2011				0.000000%
	6/20/2011				0.000000%
	6/21/2011				0.000000%
	6/22/2011				0.000000%
	6/23/2011				0.00000%
	6/25/2011				0.00000%
	6/26/2011				0.000000%
	6/27/2011				0.00000%
	6/28/2011				0.00000%
	6/29/2011				0.000000%
Thursday	6/30/2011				0.000000%
, e	7/1/2011				0.000000%
	7/2/2011				0.000000%
	7/3/2011				0.000000%
	7/4/2011				0.000000%
	7/5/2011				0.000000%
	7/6/2011			•	0.000000%
	7/7/2011				0.000000%
	7/8/2011				0.00000%
	7/9/2011				0.00000%
	7/10/2011				0.00000%
	7/12/2011				0.00000%
	7/13/2011				0.000000%
	7/14/2011				0.00000%
	7/15/2011				0.00000%
	7/16/2011				0.000000%
	7/17/2011				0.00000%
	7/18/2011				0.00000%
	7/19/2011				0.00000%
	7/20/2011				0.000000%
	7/21/2011				0.000000%
	7/22/2011				0.000000%
	7/23/2011				0.000000%

					KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1
Day of	Data	S-T Borrowed Balance	Borrowed Interest Rate	Borrowed Interest Rate	Weighted Average Borrowed Interest Rate
Week	Date	Dulanoo			0.00000%
Friday	7/24/2011 7/25/2011 7/26/2011 7/27/2011 7/28/2011 7/29/2011 7/30/2011 7/31/2011 8/1/2011				0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000%
	8/2/2011 8/3/2011 8/4/2011 8/5/2011 8/6/2011 8/7/2011 8/7/2011 8/10/2011 8/11/2011 8/13/2011 8/13/2011 8/14/2011 8/15/2011 8/16/2011 8/18/2011 8/18/2011				0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000%
Wednesday	8/20/2011 8/21/2011 8/23/2011 8/23/2011 8/24/2011 8/25/2011 8/25/2011 8/26/2011 8/27/2011 8/28/2011 8/29/2011 8/30/2011 8/31/2011				0.000000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000%
	9/1/2011 9/2/2011 9/3/2011 9/5/2011 9/5/2011 9/6/2011 9/7/2011 9/8/2011 9/9/2011 9/10/2011				0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000%
	9/11/2011 9/12/2011 9/13/2011 9/15/2011 9/15/2011 9/16/2011 9/17/2011 9/18/2011 9/20/2011 9/20/2011 9/21/2011 9/23/2011 9/25/2011 9/25/2011 9/26/2011				0.000000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.00000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000% 0.000000%

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1 Page 18 of 19

Day of		S-T Borrowed	Borrowed Interest	Borrowed Interest Rate	Weighted Average Borrowed Interest Rate
Week	Date	Balance	Nale	Nuco	
	9/29/2011				0.00000%
Friday	9/30/2011				0.000000%
Thuay	10/1/2011				0.00000%
	10/2/2011				0.00000%
	10/3/2011				0.000000%
	10/4/2011				0.000000%
	10/5/2011				0.000000%
	10/6/2011				0.00000%
	10/7/2011				0.00000%
	10/8/2011				0.000000%
	10/9/2011				0.00000%
	10/11/2011				0.000000%
	10/12/2011				0.000000%
	10/13/2011				0.00000%
	10/14/2011				0.000000%
	10/15/2011				0.000000%
	10/16/2011				0.000000%
	10/17/2011				0.00000%
	10/18/2011				0.00000%
	10/19/2011				0.000000%
	10/21/2011				0.00000%
	10/22/2011				0.000000%
	10/23/2011				0.000000%
	10/24/2011				0.00000%
	10/25/2011				0.000000%
	10/26/2011				0.00000%
	10/27/2011				0.00000%
	10/28/2011				0.00000%
	10/29/2011				0.00000%
Re-mdou	10/30/2011				0.00000%
wonday	11/1/2011				0.000000%
	11/2/2011				0.000000%
	11/3/2011				0.000000%
	11/4/2011				0.000000%
	11/5/2011				0.000000%
	11/6/2011				0.000000%
	11/7/2011				0.00000%
	11/8/2011				0.000000%
	11/10/2011				0.000000%
	11/11/2011				0.000000%
	11/12/2011				0.000000%
	11/13/2011				0.000000%
	11/14/2011				0.000000%
	11/15/2011				0.00000%
	11/16/2011				0.00000%
	11/1//2011				0.000000%
	11/10/2011				0.00000%
	11/20/2011				0.000000%
	11/21/2011				0.000000%
	11/22/2011				0.00000%
	11/23/2011				0.000000%
	11/24/2011				0.000000%
	11/25/2011				0.000000%
	11/26/2011				0.00000%
	11/2//2011				0.00000%
	11/20/2011				0.00000%
Modnooday	11/30/2011				0.00000%
weanesuay	12/1/2011				0.000000%
	12/2/2011				0.000000%
	12/3/2011				0.000000%
	12/4/2011				0.00000078

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 6 Attachment 1 Page 19 of 19

Day		S-T Porrowed	Borrowed Interest	Borrowed Interest	Weighted Average Borrowed
0f	Data	Balance	Rate	Rate	Interest Rate
Week	Date	Datanoc			
	12/5/2011				0.000000%
	12/6/2011				0.000000%
	12/7/2011				0.00000%
	12/8/2011				0.000000%
	12/9/2011				0.000000%
	12/10/2011				0.000000%
	12/11/2011				0.000000%
	12/12/2011				0.000000%
	12/13/2011				0.000000%
	12/14/2011				0.000000%
	12/15/2011				0.000000%
	12/16/2011				0.000000%
	12/17/2011				0.000000%
	12/18/2011				0.00000%
	12/19/2011				0.000000%
	12/20/2011				0.00000%
	12/21/2011				0.000000%
	12/22/2011				0.000000%
	12/23/2011				0.000000%
	12/24/2011				0.00000%
	12/25/2011				0.00000%
	12/26/2011				0.00000%
	12/27/2011				0.00000%
	12/28/2011				0.00000%
	12/29/2011				0.000000%
Friday	12/30/2011				0.00000%
	12/31/2011				0.00000%
	Sum Total				
	All Daily				1.001001
	Balances	(\$26,991,615,090.48)			1.3010%
	Divided By				
	Number of				
	Dave in Year	1,095			
	Daysmiteal	1,000	•		
	Average				
	Daily				
	Balance	(\$24,649,876.79)			
			-		

.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 7 Page 1 of 1

,

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Please provide Kentucky Power Company's annual income statements, balance sheets and cash flow statements over the most recent ten years (including 2010).

#### RESPONSE

Please see enclosed CD.

WITNESS: Ranie K Wohnhas

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 8 Page 1 of 1

# **Kentucky Power Company**

### REQUEST

Please list the top ten industrial customers for Kentucky Power in 2009, 2010 and 2011 by type of industry (do not provide customer name). Please provide total kWh sold to each and what percentage of total annual sales the sales to each industrial customer represents.

#### RESPONSE

	Top 10 Industrial Customers'
	Percentage of Total Annual
<u>Year</u>	<u>kWh Sales</u>
2009	34.18%
2010	33.82%
2011	37.16%

WITNESS: Ranie K Wohnhas

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 9 Page 1 of 2

#### **Kentucky Power Company**

#### REQUEST

Refer to Exhibit LPM-1 and Exhibit LPM-2.

- a. Please provide a description and provide the amount of electric plant in service, net of accumulated depreciation and ADIT that the Company projects will be retired during the construction of the Big Sandy 2 DFGD projects. If the Company has not projected these retirements, then please explain why it has not done so.
- b. Please provide the monthly construction expenditures for each project comprising the Big Sandy 2 retrofits separated into major components, including, but not limited to, EPC by major contract, internal costs by major category, overheads by major category, contingencies, and AFUDC. Provide all assumptions, estimates, computations, and models used to quantify the cost of the project, including, but not limited to, the computation of the aFUDC rate and the monthly AFUDC accrual, each AEP and/or Kentucky Power Company overhead rate and the monthly overhead accruals.
- c. Please further separate the monthly construction expenditures provided in response to part (b) of this question into the costs of demolition and removing existing physical plant, such as the precipitator and related equipment, and the costs of new construction for the DFGD.
- d. Please provide a copy of all analyses, emails, and all other documents that AEP and/or the Company used to validate the cost estimate for the Big Sandy 2 DFGD compared to other DFGD installations in this and other countries.
- e. Please provide a copy of all analyses, emails, and all other documents that AEP and/or the Company used to validate the cost estimate for the Big Sandy 2 DFGD compared to other DFD installations in this and other countries.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 9 Page 2 of 2

#### RESPONSE

- a. At the time of the filing, KPCo had not projected any retirements. KPCo now expects to retire the precipitator as part of the process, but the reduction in capital less depreciation and ADIT has not been calculated.
- b-c. The monthly construction expenditures will be developed as part of Phase IIb activities.
- d-e. No such comparisons were performed.

WITNESS: Ranie K Wohnhas

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 10 Page 1 of 2

# Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to Exhibit LPM -2.

- a. Please provide all computational support for the depreciation expense amount, including, but not limited to, the depreciation rate(s), and a copy of the source document used for the depreciation rate(s).
- b. Please explain why the Company subtracted an entire year of accumulated depreciation from the gross plant in service amount to compute the rate base used in the revenue requirement.
- c. Please provide the computational support for the ADIT, including all assumptions regarding accelerated tax depreciation.
- d. Please explain why the Company subtracted an entire year of ADIT from the gross plant in service amount to compute the rate base used in the revenue requirement.
- e. Please identify and describe all available accelerated tax depreciation deductions for the Big Sandy 2 DFGD projects, including any special deductions for environmental compliance equipment. Cite to specific provisions of the Code and Regulations. In addition, please provide a copy of all tax research on the availability of accelerated tax depreciation deductions.

#### RESPONSE

- a. Please see response to AG 1-28.
- b. The Company provided an estimate of the cost for the project for the first year, which included an estimate of the first year of accumulated depreciation for a project with a 15-year life.
- c. Please see electronic response to AG 1-28, page 2 of 14, which includes the basis for the ADIT calculation.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 10 Page 1 of 2

- d. The Company provided an estimate of the cost for the project for the first year, which included an estimate of the first year of ADIT for a project with a 15-year life.
- e. Objection: KPCo Objects to this portion of the question to the extent it calls for legal analysis or research, which would be protected by the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work product doctrine. Because the Company was providing an estimate of the cost for the project, no such research was performed for this filing. That research will be necessary to determine the amounts to be included in the environmental surcharge filing, based on the rules in effect when the project goes in-service.

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 11 Page 1 of 2

## Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to Exhibit LPM - 6

- a. Please provide a description and provide the amount of electric plant in service that was retired during the construction of the four projects listed. Use the same definition of plant cost found in the AEP Interconnection Agreement in subdivision 6.2 used to compute the Member Weighted Average Investment Cost,
- b. Please provide the revenue requirements that presently are included in base rates related to the electric plant in service that was retired during the construction of the four projects listed. Provide all assumptions, computations, and workpapers, including electronic spreadsheets, and a copy of all source documents relied on for your response.
- c. Please confirm and demonstrate that none of the costs of the four new projects requested for recovery through the ECR is included in the Company's present base rates. This includes the plant-related costs and the operating expenses.
- d. Please confirm that the definition of Member Weighted Investment Cost in subdivision
  6.2 in the AEP Interconnection Agreement uses only the plant costs in plant accounts 310 to
  316, 320 to 325, and 340 to 346 and does not include CWIP that has not been closed to
  plant in service.
- e. Please confirm that the definition of Member Weighted Investment Cost in subdivision 6.2 in the AEP Interconnection Agreement uses only the plant costs "as of the end of the preceding year," meaning that plant additions in one year are not recovered from deficit members through the Primary Capacity Equalization Charge until the following year. If this is not correct, then please provide a correct description of how the definition is applied and the source of that methodology.
- f. Please confirm that the Company will not include the costs of the Amos Common FGD HG Waste Water Treatment and Ash Pond Discharge Diffuser through the Kentucky ECR until the year after the projects are placed in service and the costs are closed to plant in service. If this does not reflect the Company's proposed timing for recovery of these costs through the ECR, then please describe the Company's timing and explain why the Commission should adopt its proposal.
KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 11 Page 2 of 2

### RESPONSE

- a. Amos Unit 3 Dry Fly Ash Disposal is the only project on the list that has had any retirements. The amount of the retirement was \$3,934,211.
- b. The Company is not able to make such a calculation.
- c. The Company's 2011 Environmental Compliance Plan includes six (not four) new out-of-state environmental projects as shown on LPM-6. Because the in-service dates for these projects were after the September 30, 2009 test year used in the Company's 2009 rate case, these projects were not yet included as Plant in Service and the costs for these projects are not yet included in base rates. Further, Kentucky Power has not sought to amend its environmental compliance plan to recover the costs associated with these projects. Therefore KPCo's monthly Environmental Surcharge rates do not include recovery of investments on these projects.
- d. Please see page 13, Section 6.211 of attachment to KIUC 1-14 that lists the accounts that are used in the derivation of the Member Weighted investment Cost. These accounts do not include Construction Work in Progress, account 107.
- e. The statement is correct.

f. The statement is correct.

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 12 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Please indicate whether the amounts presently included in the Company's ECR for the costs of approved environmental projects incurred through the Primary Capacity Equalization Charge: a) include CWIP, and/or b) include investment costs closed to plant in service after the end of the preceding year. If CWIP amounts were included and/or investment costs that were not closed to plant in service as of the end of the preceding year were included, then please describe the CWIP projects and provide the amounts that were included in the most recent 12 months of ECR filings.

### RESPONSE

CWIP is not included in the Company's ECR for the costs of approved environmental projects incurred through the Primary Capacity Equalization Charge. Once a project is placed in service (FERC Account 101) that cost is included in the Kentucky ECR filings. The FERC Account 101 plant investments placed in service are updated only once a year.

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

,

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 13 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Refer to the Company's response to the immediately preceding question. If either or both of these conditions have not previously been applied by the Company in computing the costs included in the Kentucky ECR on all such projects approved by the Commission in prior environmental compliance plan and surcharge proceedings, then please explain how the Company previously treated such costs.

### RESPONSE

Please see the Company's response to KIUC 1-12.

### WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 14 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

# REQUEST

.

Please provide a copy of the most recent version of the AEP Interconnection Agreement.

### RESPONSE

Please refer to Attachment 1 of this response.

WITNESS: Ranie K Wohnhas

• 16

COMPOSITE COPY

INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

#### BETWEEN

APPALACHIAN POWER COMPANY

KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY

### OHIO POWER COMPANY

COLUMBUS AND SOUTHERN OHIO ELECTRIC COMPANY \*

INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC COMPANY

#### AND WITH

AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER SERVICE CORPORATION,

AS AGENT

Dated: July 6, 1951, as modified and supplemented by:

Modification No. 1, August 1, 1951 Modification No. 2, September 20, 1962 Modification No. 3, April 1, 1975 Supplement No. 1 to Modification No. 3, August 1, 1979 Supplement No. 2 to Modification No. 3, August 27, 1979 Modification No. 4, November 1, 1980 \* Compliance Filing (FERC ordered), Opinion 266, Docket Nos. ER84-579-006 and EL86-10-001

Putsuant to Modification No. 4 the terms "Member" and "Members", whenever said terms appear in the 1951 Agreement, shall, on and after the time when Modification No. 4 shall become effective, include Columbus Company.

. 7

CONTENTS

# PAGE ARTICLE -I. Provisions for, and Continuity of Interconnected Operation ..... 3 3. Agent's Responsibilities..... 4 4. Member's Obligations and Rights..... 6 5. Definitions of Load, Capacity, and Energy Classes and Related Factors Associated with Settlements for Power Supplied from Member's Electric Power Sources..... 7 6. Settlements for Power and Energy Supplied from Member's Electric Power Sources..... 12 7. Transactions with Foreign Companies...... 16 8. Delivery Points, Metering Points, 9. Records and Statements..... 27 11. Billings and Payments..... 28 13. Duration of Agreement..... 29 15. Regulatory Authorities..... 30

0.1 THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into as of the 6th day of July, 1951 by and between APPALACHIAN POWER COMPANY (Appalachian Company), a Virginia corporation, KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY (Kentucky Company), a Kentucky corporation, OHIO POWER COMPANY (Ohio Company), an Ohio corporation, COLUMBUS AND SOUTHERN OHIO ELECTRIC COMPANY (Columbus Company), an Ohio corporation, INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC COMPANY (Indiana Company), an Indiana corporation, said companies (herein sometimes called 'Members' when referred to collectively and 'Member' when referred to individually), being affiliated companies of an integrated public utility electric system, and AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER SERVICE CORPORATION (Agent), a New York corporation, being a services to the aforesaid companies and to other affiliated electric utility companies.

The term "affiliate" shall include American Electric Power Company, Inc., Appalachian Power Company, Columbus and Southern Ohio Electric Company, Indiana & Michigan Electric Company, Kentucky Power Company, Ohio Power Company, Kingsport Power Company, Michigan Power Company, Wheeling Electric Company, and any subsidiaries, direct or indirect, of the foregoing. WITNESSETH,

# THAT:

0.2 WHEREAS, the Members own and operate electric facilities in the states herein indicated: (i) Appalachian Company in Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia, (ii) Kentucky Company in Kentucky, (iii) Ohio Company in Ohio and West Virginia, and (iv) Indiana Company in Indiana and Michigan, and (v) Columbus Company in Ohio, and

0.3 WHEREAS, the Members' electric facilities are now and have been for many years interconnected through their respective transmission facilities at a number of points (hereby designated and hereinafter called "Interconnection Points"), such facilities and the

ansmission facilities of other affiliated electric utility companies forming an integrated transmission network; and

0.4 WHEREAS, the transmission facilities of each Member are interconnected at a number of points with the transmission facilities of various non-affiliated electric utility companies, and those of Appalachian Company are interconnected with those of Tennessee Valley Authority, (said companies and Tennessee Valley Authority hereinafter sometimes called "Foreign Companies" when referred to collectively and "Foreign Company" when referred to individually; and

0.5 WHEREAS, the Members through cooperation with each other have been successful for some years in achieving substantial economies in the conduct of their business by coordinating the expansion and operation of their power supply facilities; and

0.6 WHEREAS, the Members believe that a fuller realization of the benefits and advantages through coordinated operation of their electric supply facilities will be better assured and more efficiently and economically achieved by having such operation directed and supervised by a centrally located organization skilled in the technique of system operation on a large scale and thoroughly familiar with the power supply facilities of the Members, and that their participation in the coordinated expansion and operation of their facilities will be simplified and facilitated by having such procedures conducted by a single clearing agent; and

0.7 WHEREAS, the Members believe that the Agent designated herein for such purpose is qualified to perform

- 2 -

such services for them.

0.8 NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and of the mutual covenants and agreements hereinafter contained, the parties hereto agree as follows:

ARTICLE I

#### PROVISIONS FOR, AND CONTINUITY OF INTERCONNECTED OFERATION

1.1 Throughout the duration of this agreement the systems of the Members shall be operated in continuous synchronism through each of the various lines interconnecting their respective systems; provided, however, if synchronous operation of the systems through a particular line or lines becomes interrupted because of reasons beyond the control of any Member or because of scheduled maintenance that has been agreed to by the Members, the Members shall cooperate so as to remove the cause of such interruption as soon as practicable and restore the affected line or lines to normal operating condition.

1.2 Each Member shall keep the portions of the lines interconnecting their respective systems, together with all associated facilities and appurtenances, that are located on their respective sides of the Interconnection Points in a sutiable condition of repair at all times in order that said lines will operate in a reliable and satisfactory manner and that reduction in their capacity will be avoided.

ARTICLE 2

#### OPERATING COMMITTEE

2.1 The parties herein shall appoint representatives to act as the "Operating Committee" in cooperation with each other and the Agent in the coordination and operation and/or use

- 3 -

of the electric power sources of or available to the Members and of their transmission and distribution and substation facilities to the end that the advantages to be derived thereunder may be realized to the fullest practicable extent.

2.2 Each Member shall designate in writing delivered to the other Members and Agent. the person who is to act as its representative on said committee and the person or Persons who may serve as alternate whenever such representative is unable to act. Agent shall designate in writing delivered to the Members the person who is to act as its representative on said committee. Such person shall act as chairman of the Operating Committee and shall be known as the "Pool Manager". All such representatives or alternates so designated shall be fully authorized to cooperate with the other representatives or alternates in all matters described in this agreement as responsibilities of the Operating Committee.

#### ARTICLE 3

### AGENT'S RESPONSIBILITIES

3.1 For the purpose of carrying out the coordinated operation of the generating and transmission facilities of Members and the most efficient use of the energy produced by them and of other energy available to them, the Members hereby delegate to Agent and Agent hereby accepts the responsibility of supervising and directing such operation and use, and in furtherance thereof Agent agrees as follows; viz:

1.11 To coordinate the operation of the electric power sources of or available to the Members, which include their own generating stations and electric power available to them through interconnection with affiliated companies other than Members and Foreign Companies.

- 4 -

3.12 To arrange for and conduct such meetings of the Operating Committee as may be required to insure the effective and efficient carrying out of all matters of procedure essential to the complete performance of the provisions of this agreement.

3.13 To prepare and collect such log sheets and other records as may be needed to afford a clear history of the electric power and energy supplied under this agreement. Preparation and collection of such log sheets and other record shall be coordinated with similar responsibilities of the Members as provided for under Article 9.

3.14 To render to each Member as promptly as possible after the end of each calendar month a statement setting forth the electric power and energy transactions carried out during such month pursuant to the provisions of this agreement in such detail and with such segregations as may be needed for operating records or for settlements hereunder.

3.15 To make arrangements with Foreign Companies on behalf of the Members for the purchase, sale, or interchange of power and energy between such companies and the Members, such arrangements to be made in addition to similar arrangements to be made under agreements between an individual Member and a Foreign Company and to be made whenever in the judgment of the Members the effecting of matters of operation and contract related thereto can be simplified and their performance facilitated.

- 5 -

3.16 To carry out cash settlements for electric point and energy supplied under this agreement. Sattlements by the Members shall be made for each calendar month through an account (hereby designated and hereinafter called "SYSTEM ACCOUNT") to be administered by Agent. Payments to or from such account shall be made to or by Agent as clearing agent of the account. The total of the payments made by Members to the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for a particular month shall be equal to the payments made to the Members from the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for such month.

ARTICLE 4

### MEMBERS' OBLIGATIONS AND RIGHTS

4.1 For the purpose of obtaining the most efficient coordinated expansion and operation of their electric power supply facilities the Members hereby agree to operate and utilize their electric power sources under the direction of the Pool Manager in such manner that each Member shall receive at all times sufficient electric power and energy from such

sources to meet its specific load obligations. Each member shall, to the extent practicable, install or have available to it under contract such capacity as is , necessary to supply all of the requirements of its own customers.

4.2 The Members agree that their electric power sources, which shall include all the generating stations owned. by the Members and all electric power available to them through interconnection with affiliated companies other than Members and Foreign Companies, shall be used as needed to carry the combined load obligations of the Member under the direction of the Pool Manager. Each Member in return shall receive at all times sufficient electric power and energy from such sources to meet the specific load obligations of such Member.

- 6 -

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 14 Attachment 1 Page 9 of 33

The Members recognize that in carrying out the 4.3 interconnected operation of their respective transmission systems as herein provided, electric energy being received by a portion of a particular Member's transmission system from another portion of such system or from the system of another interconnected company, or electric energy being delivered by a portion of a particular Member's transmission system to another portion of such system or to the system of another interconnected company, may flow over the transmission system of another Member. In respect of such flow of electric energy (hereinafter.called "Energy Transfer") the Members agree that such Energy Transfer over their respective transmission facilities shall be permitted whenever it occurs, and, except as may be specifically agreed to otherwise by the Members, no Member shall make a charge at any time to another Member to permit such Energy Transfer. Electric power and energy associated with such Energy Transfer, including electrical losses associated therewith, shall be accounted for . each clockhour. Proper consideration shall be given to such electrical losses in accordance with the manner determined and agreed upon by the Operating Committee, and such consideration shall be fully in accord with the provisions of LINE LOSS FACTOR as defined under subdivision 5.15 of Article 5.

### ARTICLE 5

DEFINITIONS OF LOAD, CAPACITY, AND ENERGY CLASSES AND RELATED FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH SETTLEMENTS FOR FOWER SUPPLIED FROM MEMBER'S ELECTRIC POWER SOURCES

5.1 Load, capacity, and energy shall be designated and allocated to various classes for the purposes of effecting settlements under this agreement. Load, capacity, and energy

- 7 -

classes and related factors associated with the settlement for electric power and energy supplied from electric power sources of the Members are defined as follows; viz;

Load

5.2 MEMBER LOAD OBLIGATION - A Member's internal load plus any firm power sales to Foreign Companies and to affiliated companies other than Members. Principally characterized by the Member assuming the load obligation as its own firm power commitment and by the Member retaining advantages accruing from meeting the load.

5.3 SYSTEM LOAD OBLIGATION - Load obligation shared proportionately by the Members where one Member or Agent will act as Agent of the Members in meeting the commitment; principally characterized by the load not being considered as a part of any MEMBER LOAD OBLIGATION.

(Examples of SYSTEM LOAD OBLIGATIONS are electric power and energy deliveries made to Foreign Companies under emergency and storage power arrangements with such companies.)

5.4 MEMBER DEMAND - MEMBER LOAD OBLICATION determined on a clock-hour integrated kilowatt basis.

5.5 MEMBER MAXIMUM DEMAND - The MEMBER MAXIMUM DEMAND in effect for a calendar month for a particular Member shall be equal to the maximum MEMBER DEMAND experienced by said Member during the twelve consecutive calendar months next preceding such calendar month.

5.6 MEMBER LOAD RATIO - The ratio of a particular Member's MEMBER MAXIMUM DEMAND in effect for a calendar month to the sum of the five MEMBER MAXIMUM DEMANDS in effect for such month.

- 8 --

### Capacity

5.7 MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY - The aggregate capacity of the electric power sources of a particular Member, in Kilowatts, that is normally expected to be available to carry Load. Such capacity shall include (i) the capacity installed at the generating stations owned by the Member and (ii) the capacity available to that Member through interconnection arrangements with affiliated companies or Foreign Companies, if so designated by the Operating Committee with the approval of the Members.

5.7.1 All determinations by the Operating Committee pursuant to (ii) of Section 5.7 with respect to purchases of capacity from non-affiliated companies shall take into account, but shall not be limited to, the following circumstances and considerations: (1) the term during which such capacity will be available, a commitment from a reliable source of power and energy for at least five years being normally regarded as appropriate for inclusion as a capacity source of a particular Member, with purchases of a short or intermediate duration being normally regarded as System purchases under Article 7; (2) whether the availability of the purchased capacity will be comparable to the availability of the installed primary capacity of the Members, although the Operating Committee may make adjustments in the quantity of purchased capacity to be included as Member Primary Capacity to give effect to any disparity in the availability of such purchased capacity; (3) the need on the part of a Member with a Member Primary Capacity deficit of an extended nature to

~ 9 -

rectify or alleviate such deficit and the interest of all Nembers in maintaining an equalization among the Members of capacity resources over a period of time.

5.7.2 In the event that arrangements are made hereunder for any Member to make capacity available to an affiliated company or to a Foreign Company through the sale by such Member, for its own account, of unit capacity or other non-firm capacity, the amount of the capacity so sold shall be excluded from the Primary Capacity of such Member.

5.8 SYSTEM PRIMARY CAPACITY - The sum of the MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY of all the Members.

5.9 MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY RESERVATION - SYSTEM PRIMARY CAPACITY multiplied by the MEMBER LOAD RATIO of a particular Member.

5.10 MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY SURPLUS - Difference between the MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY and MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY RESERVATION of a particular Member, when such MEMBER PRIMARY ' CAPACITY exceeds such MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY RESERVATION.

5.11 MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY DEFICIT - Difference between the MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY and MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY RESERVATION of a particular Member, when such MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY is less than such MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY RESERVATION.

### Energy

5.12 POOL - Electric energy delivered by one Member, from its MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY, to another Member shall be considered to be energy delivered to the POOL by the former Member and received from the POOL by the latter Member.

- 10 -

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 14 Attachment 1 Page 13 of 33

Electric energy delivered by a Foreign Company to a Member, other than energy associated with a Member's MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY, shall be considered to be energy delivered to the POOL. Electric energy delivered by a Member to a Foreign Company to meet a SYSTEM LOAD OBLIGATION shall be considered to be energy delivered by the POOL to the Foreign Company.

. . . . .

5.13 FRIMARY ENERGY - Electric energy delivered to the POOL from the MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY of a particular Member to meet another Member's deficiency in capacity. The deficiency may be caused by one or both of two reasons, the total MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY of a particular Member may not be great enough to meet its MEMBER LOAD OBLIGATION or a Member may have a portion of its MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY out of service for maintenance and the remainder may not be great enough to meet its MEMBER LOAD OBLIGATION.

5.14 ECONOMY ENERGY - Electric energy delivered to the POOL from the MEMBER RRIMARY CAPACITY of a particular Member to displace energy that otherwise would be supplied by less efficient MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY of another Member to meet its MEMBER LOAD OBLIGATION.

5.15 LINE LOSS FACTOR - The transmission electrical loss factor to be applied for settlement purposes to a particular metered quantity of energy delivered to the POOL by a Member. The Operating Committee shall determine and agree upon the LINE LOSS FACTOR required, such determinations to be governed by the understanding that the Member receiving such energy shall bear the entire loss caused in transmitting such energy over the facilities of the delivering Member and over the facilities of any other party whose system may be used for such delivery. - 11 -

### ARTICLE 5

### SETTLEMENTS FOR POWER AND ENERGY SUPPLIED FROM MEMBER'S ELECTRIC POWER SOURCES

6.1 As promptly as practicable following the end of each month (all references to month mean calendar month), for electric power and energy supplied under this agreement during such month from SYSTEM PRIMARY CAPACITY, the Members shall carry out cash settlements through the SYSTEM ACCOUNT in accordance with the following; viz:

### Primary Capacity Equalization Charge

6.2 For each kilowatt of MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY SURPLUS each Member having such surplus during any month shall receive payment from the SYSTEM ACCOUNT at a rate per kilowatt per month equal to the MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY INVESTMENT RATE plus the MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY FIXED OPERATING RATE, as hereinbelow defined, applicable to the particular surplus.

6.21 The MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY INVESTMENT RATE chargeable against the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for any calendar month by a particular Member shall be equal to the product of (A) the MEMBER WEIGHTED AVERAGE INVEST-MENT COST, determined pursuant to subdivision 6.211 below, and (B) the MONTHLY CARRYING CHARGE FACTOR, determined pursuant to subdivision 6.212 below.

> 6.211 The MEMBER WEIGHTED AVERAGE INVESTMENT COST shall be equal to the ratio of (i) the total installed cost of production plant of the generation stations, other than hydro, classified as part of a particular Member's MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY to (ii) the total kilowatt capability of such generating stations. The total installed cost of production plant used in the

> > - 12 -

determination of the MEMBER WEIGHTED AVERAGE INVESTMENT COST, as described above, shall be the total cost of such plant for the aforesaid generating stations included, as of the end of the next preceding year, in Accounts 310 to 316, inclusive, Accounts 320 to 325, inclusive and Accounts 340 to 346, inclusive, of the Uniform System of Accounts prescribed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for Public Utilities and Licensees, as in effect on January 1, 1975.

6.212 The MONTELY CARRYING CHARGE FACTOR shall be 0.0137, or such larger amount as shall be established by order of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission issued upon rehearing or reconsideration of its Opinion No. 50, issued July 27, 1979 in Docket No. E-9408.

6.22 The MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY FIXED OPERATING RATE chargeable against the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for any calendar month by a particular Member shall be equal to the weighted average fixed operating cost as hereinbelow defined, incurred by said Member during such month. Such weighted average fixed operating cost for purposes hereof shall be equal to the ratio of the fixed operating expense, i.e., the total production expenses minus the fuel and one-half of the maintenance expenses, incurred by a particular Member during a month at the generating stations other than hydro, classified as a part of its MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY to the total kilowatt capability of such generating stations.

6.3 For each kilowatt of MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY DEFICIT, any Member having such deficit during any month shall make payment into the SYSTEM ACCOUNT at a rate per kilowatt per month equal to the total payments from the SYSTEM ACCOUNT during any such month, determined pursuant to subdivision 6.2 above, divided

- 13 -

by the total kilowatts of MEMBER FRIMARY CAFACITY DEFICITS for such month.

### Frimary Energy Charge

For PRIMARY ENERGY delivered to the POOL during any 6.4 month by any Member, the Member so delivering such energy shall receive payment from the SYSTEM ACCOUNT at a rate per kilowatthour equal to said Member's MEMBER PRIMARY ENERGY RATE, as hereinbelow defined, for such month. The MEMBER PRIMARY ENERGY RATE chargeable against the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for any month by said Member shall be equal to the Member's weighted average variable production cost, as hereinbelow defined, for such month. Such weighted average variable production cost for purposes hereof shall be equal to the ratio of the sum of the fuel and one-half of the maintenance expenses incutred by said Member during a month at the generating stations other than hydro, classified as part of such Member's MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY to the total kilowatt-hours of net generation at said generating stations during such month.

6.5 For PRIMARY ENERGY received from the POOL during any month by any Member, said Member shall make payment into the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for energy so received at a rate per kilowatthour equal to the MEMBER PRIMARY ENERGY RATE payable from the SYSTEM ACCOUNT to the <u>other</u> Members for such month for such PRIMARY ENERGY. The rate applicable to such PRIMARY ENERGY shall be determined from clock-hour records to be kept by Agent as provided under Article 3. Such records shall indicate the receiving Member and supplying Member for each kilowatt-hour classified as PRIMARY ENERGY.

#### Economy Energy Charge

6.6 For ECONOMY ENERGY delivered to the POOL during any

- 14 -

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 14 Attachment 1 Page 17 of 33

monch the Member delivering such energy shall receive payment from and the Member receiving such energy shall make payment to the SYSTEM ACCOUNT at the ECONOMY ENERGY RATE, as hereinbelow defined, applicable to the energy so delivered and received. The ECONOMY ENERGY RATE applicable to a particular kilowatt-hour of ECONOMY ENERGY shall be equal to the out-ofpocket cost of delivering said kilowatt-hour to the POOL plus one-half the difference between such cost and the out-ofpocket cost of generation avoided by the Member receiving such energy. Said kilowatt-hour shall be considered to be supplied from the highest cost source carrying load to meet MEMBER LOAD OBLIGATIONS of the supplying Member, excluding sources operated for minimum operating requirements, and its out-of-pocket cost shall include fuel expense and an appropriate portion of maintenance expense of generating facilities. The cost of generation avoided by the Member receiving said kilowatt-hour of ECONOMY ENERGY shall be considered to be the out-of-pocket cost that would be experienced if said kilowatt-hour were not delivered , and its equivalent generated upon the most efficient operable unloaded generation of the receiving Member. Such out-ofpocket cost shall include cost of fuel and an appropriate portion of maintenance expense of generating facilities. The appropriate portion of maintenance expense allocable to the out-of-pocket cost of the supplying Member and to the avoided cost of the receiving Member shall be determined and agreed upon by the Operating Committee.

### System Primary Energy Rate

6.7 Settlements for various classes of electric power and energy delivered under transactions with Forelgn Companies shall

- 15 -

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 14 Attachment 1 Page 18 of 33

include the use of a rate referred to as SYSTEM PRIMARY ENERGY RATE. For purposes of this agreement, the SYSTEM PRIMARY ENERGY RATE chargeable for any month shall be equal to the weighted average variable operating cost, as hereinbelow defined, incurred during such month at the generating stations, other than hydro, classified as part of the SYSTEM PRIMARY CAPACITY. Such weighted average variable operating cost for purposes hereof shall be equal to the ratio of the variable production expenses, i.e., the fuel and one-half of the maintenance expenses, incurred during a month at the generating stations, other than hydro, classified as part of the SYSTEM PRIMARY CAPACITY to the total kilowatt-hours of net generation generated at said generating stations during such month.

#### ARTICLE 7

### TRANSACTIONS WITH FOREIGN COMPANIES

7.1 As promptly as practicable following the end of each month, cash settlements by the Members through the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for power transactions carried out in their behalf with, Foreign Companies during such month shall be effected in accordance with the principles and procedures provided therefor under this Article 7. Any sale of power included in a Member's MEMBER LOAD OBLIGATION and any purchase of power included in a Member's MEMBER PRIMARY CAPACITY shall be excluded from such transactions. All other types of transactions carried out by any Member or on behalf of the Members with any Foreign Company shall be considered a transaction made on behalf of the collective interest of the Members. Costs and benefits associated with such transactions shall be shared proportionately as hereinbelow provided.

- 16 -

Settlement For Power And Energy Purchases From Foreign Companies

> Power and Energy Purchases other than Economy Energy

7.2 Definitions of billing factors required for settlements by the Members through the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for electric power and energy, other than ECONOMY ENERGY PURCHASE from any Foreign Company shall be as follows; viz:

7.21 SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY - All energy purchased from a Foreign Company either by a particular Member or by the Members collectively through arrangements made on their behalf by Agent, except ECONOMY ENERGY or such energy as may be purchased to meet a SYSTEM LOAD OBLIGATION (settlement for energy so purchased that is supplied to another Foreign Company is provided for under subdivisions 7.5 and 7.7 below.)

7.22 MEMBER RESERVATION OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY - For a month, the SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY multiplied by the MEMBER LOAD RATIO of a particular Member.

7.23 MEMBER ENTITLEMENT OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY - For a month, when the quantity of the MEMBER RESERVATION OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOPTIGN COMPANY for a particular Member exceeds such quantity of energy delivered to said Member by the Foreign Company, the difference between such quantities is the MEMBER ENTITLEMENT OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY of

- 17 -

said Member for such month.

7.24 MEMBER OBLIGATION OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY - For a month, when the quantity of the MEMBER RESERVATION OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY for a particular Member is less than such quantity of energy delivered to said Member by the Foreign Company, the difference between such quantities is the MEMBER OBLIGATION OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY of said Member for such month.

7.25 MEMBER DEFICIT OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY - For a month, when the quantity of the MEMBER OBLIGATION OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY for a particular Member exceeds the quantity of kilowatthours of SYSTEM PURCHASE from FOREIGN COMPANY delivered to the POOL by the Member, the difference between such quantities is the MEMBER DEFICIT OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY of said Member for such month.

7.26 MEMBER SURPLUS OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY - For a month, when the quantity of the MEMBER ENTITLEMENT OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY for a particular Member exceeds the quantity of kilowatt-hours of SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY received from the POOL by said Member, the difference between such quantities is the MEMBER SURPLUS OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY of said Member for such month.

7.3 To effect a proportionate sharing of the cost of any SYSTEM FURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY, purchases so made from each Poreign Company shall be treated separately as follows:

7.31 At the end of each month, from data supplied by the Members, Agent shall determine the cost of SYSTEM FURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY.

T.32 The total cost so determined multiplied by the [MEMBER] LOAD RATIO of a particular Member shall be the gross amount chargeable to said Member.

7.33 If a particular Member has established a MEMBER DEFICIT OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY, the adjusted gross amount chargeable to the Member shall equal the sum of the gross amount determined under subdivision 7.32 above plus the amount chargeable to the Member for the MEMBER DEFICIT OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY. The rate applicable to such deficit shall be the SYSTEM PRIMARY ENERGY RATE determined for the particular month.

7.34 If a particular Member has established a MEMBER SURPLUS OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY, the adjusted gross amount chargeable to the Member shall equal the difference between the gross amount determined under subdivision 7.32 above and the amount to be credited to the Member for the MEMBER SURPLUS OF SYSTEM PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY. The rate applicable to such surplus shall be the SYSTEM PRIMARY ENERGY RATE determined for the particular month.

7.35 If the adjusted gross amount chargeable to a particular Member for any month as determined under either subdivisions 7.33 or 7.34 is greater than the payment make by said Member to the Foreign Company for the SYSTEM

- 19 -

-----

PURCHASE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY, said Member shall make payment into the SYSTEM ACCOUNT of the difference between such amount and payment. Conversely, if the amount so determined for a particular Member is less than the Member's aforesaid payment to the Foreign Company, such Member shall receive payment from the SYSTEM ACCOUNT of the difference between such amount and such payment to the Foreign Company.

### Economy Energy Furchases

7.4 Settlement by the Members through the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for ECONOMY ENERGY FURCHASE from a Foreign Company shall be governed by the principle that the saving in production expense realized by the System (the term "System" as used in this agreement refers to the electric facilities of the Members viewed as a unit) shall be shared by the Members in proportion to their respective MEMBER LOAD RATIOS.

(The following illustrates the application of the principle and procedure for effecting such settlements:

It is assumed that Appalachian Company has purchased a block of ECONOMY ENERGY FURCHASE at a rate of 1.00 mill per kilowatt-hour which has displaced generation at Twin Branch Station of Indiana Company: the production expense saving to Indiana Company being 2.00 mills per kilowatt-hour.

Charges payable to and credits payable from the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for such energy shall be at the following rates: (1) pay Appalachian Company at a rate per kilowatt-hour equal to the sum of 1.00 mill plus the product of 2.00 mills times Appalachian Company's MEMBER LOAD RATIO, (2) pay Ohio Company at a rate per kilowatt-hour equal to the product of 2.00 mills times Ohio Company's MEMBER LOAD RATIO, and (3) charge Indiana Company at a rate per kilowatt-hour equal to the sum of 1.00 mill plus the product of 2.00 mills times the sum of Appalachian Company's and Ohio Company's MEMBER LOAD RATIOS.)

For the purpose of this agreement, the cost of generation avoided by the System in receiving a kilowatt-hour of ECONOMY ENERGY FURCHASE shall be considered to be the out-of-pocket

~ 20 -

cost, i.e., fuel expense and an appropriate portion of maintenance expense of generating facilities that would be experienced if said kilowatt-hour were not delivered and its equivalent generated upon the most efficient operable unloaded generation of the System. The appropriate portion of maintenance expense allocable to the out-of-pocket cost of such generating facilities shall be determined and agreed upon by the Operating Committee.

#### Settlement for Power Sales to Foreign Companies

7.5 Settlement by the Members through the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for electric power and energy sales to Foreign Companies shall be governed by the principle that the difference between the amount charged a Foreign Company for the power and energy supplied under such a sale and the production expenses, i.e., out-of-pocket costs incurred by the System in making such supply, shall be shared by the Members in proportion to the respective MEMBER LOAD RATIOS. Electric Power and energy for such sales shall be considered to be supplied from the higher cost of the following two sources: (1) from the highest cost source carrying load on the System, excluding sources operated for minimum operating requirements, or (2) the highest cost source supplying power to the System under arrangements with Foreign Companies.

(The following illustrates the application of the principles and procedures for effecting such settlements:

It is assumed that Indiana Company has sold a block of energy at a rate of 4.00 mills per kilowatt-hour which has been supplied by carrying a block of load that would not otherwise be carried at Philo Station of Ohio Company, the out-ofpocket cost incurred by Ohio Company being 3.00 mills per kilowatt-hour.

Charges payable to and credits payable from the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for such energy would be at the following rates: (1) charge Indiana Company at a rate per kilowatt-hour equal to the sum of 3.00 mills plus the product of 1.00 mill times the sum of Appalachian Company's and Ohio Company's MEMBER LOAD RATIOS, (2) pay Ohio company at a rate per kilowatt-hour equal to the sum of 3.00 mills and the product of 1.00 mill times Ohic Company's MEMBER LOAD RATIO, and (3) pay Appalachian Company at a rate per kilowatt-hour equal to the product of 1.00 mill times Appalachian Company's MEMBER LOAD RATIO.)

Settlement For Power and Energy Received Under Interchange Arrangements With Foreign Companies

> Power and Energy Received other than Interchange Economy Energy

7.6 Definitions of billing factors required for settlements by the Members through the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for electric power and energy received, other than INTERCHANGE ECONOMY ENERGY, from any Foreign Company under interchange arrangements which require no cash settlements shall be as follows; viz:

7.61 SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY - All energy received from Foreign Company by either a particular Member or by the Members collectively through arrangements made on their behalf by Agent, which requires no cash settlement, except INTERCHANGE ECONOMY ENERGY.

7.62 MEMBER RESERVATION OF SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY - For a month, the SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY multiplied by the MEMBER LOAD RATIO of a particular Member.

7.63 MEMBER ENTITLEMENT OF SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY - For a month, when the quantity of the MEMBER RESERVATION OF SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY for a particular Member exceeds the quantity of such energy delivered to the Member by the Foreign Company, the difference between such quantities is the MEMBER ENTITLEMENT OF SYSTEM

- 22 -

INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY of such Member for such month.

7.54 MEMBER OBLIGATION OF SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY - For a month, when the quantity of the MEMBER RESERVATION OF SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY for a particular Member is less than the quantity of such energy delivered to the Member by the Foreign Company, the difference between such quantities is the MEMBER OBLIGATION OF SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY of said Member for such month.

7.65 MEMBER DEFICIT OF SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY - For a month, when the quantity of the MEMBER OBLIGATION OF SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY for a particular Member exceeds the quantity of kilowatt-hours of SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY delivered to the POOL by said Member, the difference between such quantities is the MEMBER DEFICIT OF SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY of said Member for such month.

7.66 MEMBER SURPLUS OF SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY - For a month, when the quantity of the MEMBER ENTITLEMENT OF SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY for a particular Member exceeds the quantity of kilowatt-hours of SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY received from the POOL by said Member, the difference between such quantities is the MEMBER SURPLUS OF SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY of said Member for such month.

7.7 To effect a proportionate sharing of the benefits of SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY, electric energy so received from each Foreign Company shall be treated separately as follows:

- 23 -

7.72 If a particular Member has established a MEMBER DEFICIT OF SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY, said Member shall make payment into the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for the kilowatt-hours of such deficit at the SYSTEM PRIMARY ENERGY RATE determined for the particular month.

7.72 If a particular Member has established a MEMBER SURPLUS OF SYSTEM INTERCHANGE FROM FOREIGN COMPANY, said Member shall receive payment from the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for the kilowatt-hours of such surplus at the SYSTEM PRIMARY ENERGY RATE determined for the particular month.

#### Interchange Economy Energy

7.8 The priciples described under subdivision 7.4 above for the settlement of ECONOMY ENERGY PURCHASE shall also govern the settlements by the Members through the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for INTERCHANGE ECONOMY ENERGY received from a Foreign Company. It shall be assumed for the purpose of such settlement that payment to the Foreign Company for INTERCHANGE ECONOMY ENERGY was made at a rate of zero mills per kilowatthour.

### Settlements For Power Delivered Under Interchange Arrangements With Interconnected Foreign Companies

7.9 Settlement hereunder for electric power and energy (hereinafter called "SYSTEM INTERCHANGE TO FOREIGN COMPANY") delivered to any Foreign Company under interchange arrangements with either a particular Member or with the Members collectively through arrangements made on their behalf by Agent, which require no cash settlements, will be governed by the principle that the production expenses, i.e., out-of-pocket costs incurred by the System in making such deliveries, shall be shared by the

- 24 -

Members in proportion to their respective MEMBER LOAD RATIOS.

(The following illustrates the application of the principle and procedure for effecting such settlements:

It is assumed that Appalachian Company has delivered a block of SYSTEM INTERCHANGE TO FOREIGN COMPANY which has been supplied by carrying a block of load that would not otherwise be carried at Windsor Station of Ohio Company; the out-ofpocket cost incurred by Ohio Company being 3.50 mills per kilowatt-hour.

Charges payable to and credits payable from the SYSTEM ACCOUNT for such energy shall be at the following rates: (1) charge Appalachian Company and Indiana Company at rates per kilowatthour equal to the product of 3.50 mills per kilowatt-hour and their respective MEMBER LOAD RATIOS, and (2) pay Ohio Company at a rate equal to the sum of the rates charged Appalachian Company and Indiana.)

As described under subdivision 7.5 above, electric power and energy for sales to Foreign Companies shall be considered to be supplied from the higher cost of the following two sources: (1) from the highest cost source carrying load on the System, excluding sources operated for minimum operating requirements, or (2) the highest cost source supplying electric power and energy to the System under arrangements with Foreign Companies. Similarly, following the determination and designation of such source for ' the aforesaid sales, electric power and energy for SYSTEM INTERCHANGE TO FOREIGN COMPANY deliveries shall be considered to be supplied from the higher cost of the balance of said two sources.

#### ARTICLE 8

### DELIVERY POINTS, METERING POINTS AND METERING

#### Delivery Points

8.1 All electric energy delivered under this agreement shall be of the character commonly known as three-phase sixtycycle energy, and shall be delivered at the various Interconnection

- 25 -

Points where the transmission systems of the Members are interconnected at the nominal unregulated voltage designated for such points, and at such other points and voltages as may be determined and agreed upon by the Members.

Metering Points

8.2 Electric power and energy supplied and delivered by one Member to another Member shall be measured by suitable metering equipment to be provided, owned, and maintained by the Members at such metering points as are determined and agreed upon by them.

#### Metering

「「「「「「「「「「」」」」」

8.3 Suitable metering equipment at metering points as provided under subdivision 8.2 above shall include electric meters which shall give for each direction of flow the following quantities (1) an automatic record for each clock-hour of kilowatt-hours and (2) a continuous integrating record of the kilowatt-hours.

8.4 Measurements of electric energy for the purpose of effecting settlements under this agreement shall be made by standard types of electric meters, installed and maintained by the owner at the metering points as provided under subdivision 8.2 above. The timing devices of all meters having such devices shall be maintained in time synchronism as closely as practicable. The meters shall be sealed and the seals shall be broken only upon occasions when the meters are to be tested or adjusted. For the purpose of checking the records of the metering equipment installed by any Member as hereinabove provided, the other Members shall have the right to install check metering equipment at the aforesaid metering points. Metering equipment so installed by

- 26 -

one Member on the premises of another Member shall be owned and maintained by the Member installing such equipment. Upon termination of this agreement the Member owning such metering equipment shall remove it from the premises of the other Member. Authorized representatives of any Member shall have access at all reasonable hours to the premises where the meters are located and to the records made by the meters.

8.5 The aforesaid metering equipment shall be tested by the owner at suitable intervals and its accuracy of registration maintained in accordance with good practice. On request of any Member, special tests shall be made at the expense of the Member requesting such special test.

8.6 If on any test of metering equipment, an inaccuracy shall be disclosed exceeding two percent, the account between the Members for service theretofore delivered shall be adjusted to correct for the inaccuracy disclosed over the shorter of the following two periods: (1) for the thirty-day period immediately preceding the day of the test or (2) for the period that such inaccuracy may be determined to have existed. Should the metering equipment as hereinabove provided for fail to register at any time, the electric power and energy delivered shall be determined from the check meters, if installed, or otherwise shall be determined from the best available data.

#### ARTICLE 9

#### RECORDS AND STATEMENTS

9.1 In addition to meter records to be kept by the Members as provided under Article 8, the Members shall keep in duplicate such log sheets and other records as may be needed to afford a clear history of the various deliveries of electric power and energy made pursuant to the provisions of this agreement. The

- 27 -
originals of log sheets and other records shall be retained by the Member keeping the records and the duplicates shall be delivered as determined and agreed upon by the Operating Committee.

#### ARTICLE 10

#### TAXES

10.1 If at any time during the duration of this agreement, there should be levied and/or assessed against any Member any tax by any taxing authority in respect of the electric power and energy generated, purchased, sold, imported, transmitted, interchanged, or exchanged by said Member in addition to or different from the forms of such taxes now being levied or assessed against said Member, or there should be any increase or decrease in the rate of such existing or future taxes, and such taxes or changes in such taxes should result in increasing or decreasing the cost to said Member in carrying out the provisions of this agreement, then in such event adjustments shall be made in the rates and charges for electric power and energy furnished hereunder to make allowance for such taxes and changes in such taxes in an equitable manner.

#### ARTICLE 11

#### BILLINGS AND PAYMENTS

11.1 All bills for amounts owed hereunder shall be due and payable on the twentieth day of the month next following the monthly or other period to which such bills are applicable, or on the fifteenth day following receipt of bill, whichever date be later. Interest on unpaid amounts shall accrue at the rate of six percent per annum from the date due until the date upon which payment is made. Unless otherwise agreed upon a

- 28 -

calendar month shall be the standard monthly period for the purpose of settlements under this agreement.

#### ARTICLE 12

#### MODIFICATION

12.1 Any Member, by written notice given to the other Members and Agent not less than ninety days prior to the beginning of any calendar year of the duration of this agreement, may call for a reconsideration of the terms and conditions herein provided. If such reconsideration is called for, there shall be taken into account any changed conditions, any results from the application of said terms and conditions, and any other factors that might cause said terms and conditions to result in an inequitable division of the benefits of interconnected operation or in an inadequate realization of such benefits. Any modification in terms and conditions agreed to by the Members following such reconsideration shall become effective the first day of January of the calendar year next . following the aforesaid ninety-day notice period.

#### ARTICLE 13

#### DURATION OF AGREEMENT

13.1 This agreement shall become effective August 1, 1951, and shall continue in effect for an initial period expiring December 31, 1971, and thereafter for successive periods of one year each until terminated as provided under subdivision 13.2 below.

13.2 Any Member upon at least three years' prior written notice to the other Members and Agent may terminate this agreement at the expiration of said initial period or at the expiration of any successive period of one year.

- 29 -

#### ARTICLE 14

#### TERMINATION OF EXISTING AGREEMENTS

14.1 Upon their joint execution of this agreement Appalachian Company and Ohio Company agree that the interconnection agreements between them dated November 28, 1930, and September 1, 1936, respectively, and all supplements and amendments thereto, shall terminate as of July 31, 1951, and that all further obligations between them in respect thereof shall cease and terminate as of such date, except in respect of any payments or liabilities incurred in respect thereof prior to such termination date.

14.2 Upon their joint execution of this agreement Indiana Company and Ohio Company agree that the interconnection agreements between them, dated October 15, 1930, and September 1, 1936, respectively, and all supplements and amendments thereto, shall terminate as of July 31, 1951, and that all further obligations between them in respect thereof shall cease and terminate as of such date, except in respect of any payments or liabilities incurred in respect thereof prior to such termination date.

#### ARTICLE 15

#### REGULATORY AUTHORITIES

15.1 This agreement is made subject to the jurisdiction of any governmental authority or authorities having lawful jurisdiction in the premises.

#### ARTICLE 16

#### ASSIGNMENT

16.1 This agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the successors and assigns of the respective parties. -30 -

<u>ب</u> ب

16.2 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this agreement to be executed in their respective corporate names and on their behalf by their proper officers thereunto duly authorized as of the day and year first above written.

(The numerous pages of the various signatories to the original Agreement and subsequent modifications thereto, are omitted herein.)

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 15 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Please provide a copy of the Notice of Termination of the AEP Interconnection Agreement. Please provide the docket(s) and jurisdictions in which AEP has sought authorization, if any, and provide a copy of the application in each such docket.

### RESPONSE

Please see the Company's response to KPSC 1-1 for the notice of termination.

The Company has not made any applications seeking authorization for termination of the AEP Interconnection Agreement at this time.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Request Dated January 23, 2012 Item No. 16 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

# REQUEST

Please describe the present status of the AEP Interconnection Agreement, the Notice of Termination, and the development of any and all successor agreements in general and by jurisdiction.

## RESPONSE

Please see the Company's response to KPSC 1-1 and KPSC 1-2.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 17 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Refer to subdivision 6.212 of the AEP Interconnection Agreement. Please provide the computation of the 1.37% monthly carrying charge factor, including separately, the derivation of the depreciation rate, the cost of capital by component, and income tax effects.

### RESPONSE

As originally filed by KPCo and other affiliates in FERC Docket No. E-9408, the following cost components were identified on an annual basis:

Cost of money	11.50%
Depreciation	1.34
Income taxes	4.31
Other taxes, insurance	
and general administrative	<u>2.00</u>
Total	19.15%

However, KPCo and other affiliates proposed a reduced total annual charge of 17.5% in that filing.

In FERC's subsequent Orders in that proceeding dated July 27, 1979 and September 24, 1979, the FERC reduced the proposal to a total annual rate of 16.49%.

The FERC-approved annual rate of 16.49% is then divided by 12 to arrive at a monthly rate of 1.37% when rounded to two decimal places.

.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 18 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Please provide a copy of the most recent version of the AEGC/KPC Rockport Unit Power Agreement.

- a. For each month beginning September, 2010 to the present, please provide the monthly payment invoices to Kentucky Power for Rockport energy and capacity.
- b. For each month beginning September, 2010 to the present, please provide the monthly AEP East Interchange Power statements and related data.
- c. With respect to the scrubber projects announced for the Rockport plant, please provide the following information:
  - 1. The expected capital cost of the scrubber at each unit;
  - 2. When construction is expected to start; and
  - 3. The projected rate increase to Kentucky Power for each month during construction and for the first full year of commercial operation.

## RESPONSE

- a. Please see Attachment 1 for the monthly invoices to KPCo for Rockport energy and capacity.
- b. Please see Attachment 2 for the monthly AEP East Interchange Power statements. September 2010 through November 2011 are actuals files. December 2011 is estimated. The actuals are not available for December.
- c. The Company objects to this Request as seeking information that is irrelevant and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The Company is not asking for recovery in this proceeding of any of the costs associated with the "Rockport Scrubber Project."

.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 19 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

# REQUEST

Please provide a copy of the Company's most recent Integrated Resource Plan.

## RESPONSE

Please see the response to Sierra Club 1-3.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 20 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 17 lines 1-4 of Mr. Wohnhas' Direct Testimony wherein he states that "For 2012, the Company has forecasted it will consume \$6.2 million in CSAPR emission allowances" and "also is currently forecasting to have a gain of \$650,000 in 2012 associated with the sale of annual NOx allowances under the CSAPR." On Exhibits LPM-13 and LPM-14, the Company calculated and included in the ECR revenue requirement for 2012 only the carrying costs on \$6.2 million of CSAPR emission allowances in inventory, net of estimated NOx gains. The Company did not reflect any CSAPR emission allowance consumption expense or gains from the sale of allowances.

- a. Please provide all support for the \$6.2 million and \$650,000 amounts cited by Mr. Wohnhas.
- b. Please describe the basis for treating the annual consumption expense and gains as inventory items on Exhibit LPM-13.
- c Please identify where the annual consumption expense and gains are reflected in the revenue requirement on Exhibit LPM-14.
- d. Was treating the annual consumption expense and gains as inventory items on Exhibit LPM-13 an error? If so, provide corrected versions of all exhibits that are affected. If not, then please reconcile Mr. Wohnhas' testimony on these two issues with Ms. Munsey's treatment on her Exhibits LPM-13 and LPM-14.

#### RESPONSE

a. Please see the response to KPSC 1-93.

b-d. Exhibit LPM-13 as filed was incorrect. A corrected LPM-13 is filed as a response to KPSC 1-20. The annual consumption expenses and gains on inventory as well as a return on rate base for inventory allowances and consumption expense should have been included in the original Exhibit LPM-13. Attached as Exhibit 1 is the support for the estimated inventory levels.

KPCo Emission All	wance Inve	ntor	y Fore	scast	(000)																					Monthly
												Redin	nina li	nvento	2									ш	nd Inv.	Avg. Inv.
			Jan-1	5	Feb-1	10	Mar-1	5	Apr-12	2	lay-12	1	n-12	Jul	12	Aug-1	5	Sep-12		Oct-12	No	v-12	Dec-	2	2012	
SO2 - CSAPR	KPCo-KY	÷	ı	\$	,	Ś	ı	\$	ı	\$	ı	ዓ		Ω φ	97 \$	18.	\$	227	÷	445	θ	281 9	96	\$ 0	286	425.976
CSAPR NOX	KPCo	\$	.,	ფ ი		\$ \$		е С	ę	ф	ю	ь	ŝ	(A)	5 8		⇔ \\	<del>~</del>	Ф	<del>~~</del>	69	~	<del>60</del>	4 4	<del>~~</del>	2.053
CSAPR Ann NOx	KPCo	θ	ı	Ф	ı	θ	ı	\$	ł	ф	ı	Ф	1	۱ 67-	Ф	I	\$	ł	θ	ı	÷	1	ı ج	\$	ı	
												:		:	-	_		,								

Note 1 - SO2 Monthly Avg. Inventory was calculated on a 7 month average to give the annual effect needed for the impact to customers.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 20 Exhibit 1

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 21 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

## REQUEST

Refer to Exhibit LPM-1 and the \$15.212 million for Preliminary Scrubber Analysis 2004-2006.

- a. Please provide a copy of all authorities relied on for the deferral of these costs, including a copy of all requests to the Commission for authorization to defer these costs and any orders from the Commission authorizing the deferrals.
- b. Please provide a copy of all analyses from the approximate time period of the deferrals, including any written communications through memoranda, emails or other forms, addressing the accounting for these costs and/or whether they should be expensed or deferred.
- c. Please explain why the Company has not previously sought recovery of these deferrals either in base rate proceedings or ECR proceedings.
- d. Please provide a schedule showing the costs that were deferred by month, by major activity, by FERC expense account (if the costs had not been deferred), and the FERC balance sheet account used for the deferrals.

### RESPONSE

- a. The Company made no filings with the Commission. Because the project had not reached the stage requiring application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity the Company did not make a filing when the costs were moved from Account 107 to Account 183.
- b. The Company has no such analyses.
- c. The Company continued to evaluate the disposition of the Big Sandy units in light of the Consent Decree and the various EPA regulations affecting Big Sandy. Until a final decision was made (as being proposed in this application) the Company did not believe it prudent to seek recovery from its customers.
- d. Please refer to the Company's response to KPSC 1-18.

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Refer to page 22, line 18 through page 23 line 18 of Ms. Munsey's Direct Testimony. If the Company's quantification of the percentage rate increase is corrected for a) the error in the calculation of the Big Sandy 2 DFGD ADIT, which excluded the effects of accelerated tax depreciation, b) the error in using an entire year of accumulated depreciation and ADIT to reduce the initial revenue requirement impact of the Big Sandy 2 DFGD, and c) the error in treating allowance consumption expense and gains from sales as inventory rate base items rather than net expense items, please confirm that the percentage increase will be greater than the 31.4% cited by the Company in its legal notice and in its Application.

- a. If the Company's calculation was in error, what steps will the Company take to modify its legal notice and to amend its Application, if any?
- b. If the Company takes steps to modify its legal notice and amend its Application, what effect will these steps have on the procedural schedule in this proceeding, if any?
- c. Please provide the corrected percentage rate increases in each year 2012 through 2016. Provide corrected exhibits and all workpapers and computations, including electronic spreadsheets with formulas intact.

#### RESPONSE

The Company cannot make the requested confirmation.

a-b. N/A. The Company's calculation was not in error.

c. Please refer to the Company's response to KPSC 1-20 and AG 1-28.

	2012	2013	2014	2015	<u>2016</u>
Jurisdictional Annual Revenue Increase	\$4,976,129	\$26,883	\$0	\$0	\$162,993,233
Percent Increase	0.87%	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	28.61%
Monthly Bill Effect with 1,000 kWh usage	\$0.85	\$0.01	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$28.02

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 23 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Refer to Exhibit LPM-2.

- a. Please explain why the retail revenue requirement includes the amount derived in column
  4 "Capital Costs of Associated Utilities Revenues."
- b. Please indicate whether the Commission previously has adopted the methodology reflected in column 4 and included this component of the total Company revenue requirement in the retail revenue requirement and ECR factor? If so, please provide a copy of the order(s) and all other relevant source documents demonstrating that the Commission has adopted the methodology.
- c. Please confirm that the Commission has not previously adopted this methodology for Louisville Gas and Electric Company or for Kentucky Utilities Company, which also have sales to each other. Provide a copy of all research performed by or on behalf of the Company to determine the Commission precedent on this issue. If none, please explain why the Company did not research this issue.
- d. Refer to page 10 lines 5-13 of Ms. Munsey's Direct Testimony wherein she states that the Company is proposing to use the "same methodology" authorized by the Commission in Case Nos. 2000-00107 and 2010-00318, and filed by the Company in Case No. 2011-00031. If the Company believes that this testimony is correct with respect to including an allocation of the sales to associated utilities in the retail jurisdictional, then please demonstrate that the "same methodology" was used in each of the cases cited by Ms. Munsey. Provide relevant pages of Commission orders, copies of pages from the Company Applications, copies of pages from the Company's filings and all other documents that the Company believes demonstrate that the "same methodology" was used.

#### RESPONSE

a-d. Please see response to KPSC 1-20.

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 24 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

# REQUEST

Please provide a two year history of the Company's monthly average daily balances of each type of short-term debt and the cost of that short-term debt in a format similar to that of the schedule provided in response to Staff First Set Item 16 in Case No. 2011-00031.

### RESPONSE

Please see attachment to KIUC 1-6 response.

.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 25 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

## REQUEST

Please provide a two year history of the Company's monthly average daily balances of shortterm investments, including amounts on deposit and loaned to other AEP companies through the utility money pool, and the return on those investments in a format similar to that of the short term debt schedule provided in response to Staff First Set Item 16 in Case No. 2011-00031.

### RESPONSE

Please see attachment to KIUC 1-6 response.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 26 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

# REQUEST

Refer to Exhibit RLW-1. Please provide a more detailed timeline for Phase IIa and Phase IIb, showing all major activities in each phase.

## RESPONSE

A more detailed timeline for Phase IIa and Phase IIb specific to the Big Sandy DFGD project is being developed as part of the activities under Phase I.

WITNESS: Robert L Walton

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 27 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Refer to page 23 lines 18-21 of Mr. Walton's Direct Testimony.

- a. Please provide a copy of the Consent Decree.
- b. Please explain how the completion of the proposed Big Sandy 2 DFGD and tie-in by the end of the second quarter of 2016 complies with the requirement "to retrofit a FGD on Big Sandy Unit 2 by December 31, 2015."

### RESPONSE

- a. Please refer to the response provided by the Company in the Kentucky Commission Staff's First Request for Information, No. 3(b).
- b. Please see KPCo's response to Staff 1-41.

### WITNESS: John M McManus

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Please provide a copy of all analyses, emails, and all other documents that support, source, and/or otherwise address the assumptions used in the analyses presented by Mr. Weaver in his Direct Testimony. This includes, but is not limited to, any alternative assumptions that were considered but not used in the analyses.

#### RESPONSE

Please see KPSC 1-48 and the attachments to this response. Confidential protection is being sought for attachments 2 and 3.

WITNESS: Scott C Weaver

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 1 of 77

Cost in MM 2011 USD	Opt 1 - 2x2 N	AHI 501GAC	Opt 2 - 2x2	GE 7FA.05
	745 MW Net Ou Duct 1	tput (780 MW w/ Firing)	602 MW F	Net Output
NGCC EPC Subtotal (from S&L)	655.3	**	603.2	
AEP Owners Costs (per EP&FS)	56.7		53.7	
Total NGCC (2011 \$)	712.0	913 \$/kW	656.9	1,091 \$/kW
Interconnections				
Natural Gas Supply (per FEL)	47.4		47.4	
Transmission/SWYD (per EP&FS)	6.5		6.5	
Total Interconn (2011 \$)	53.9		53.9	
Project Total (2011 \$)	765.9	982 \$/kW 710.8 1.181 \$/kW		1,181 \$/kW
S&L Escalation	62.2		58.0	
Project Total (As Spent)	828.1	1,062 \$/kW	768.8	1,277 \$/kW

# BS1 Repower Cost Estimates - Preliminary \*

\* Costs exclude AFUDC, AEP Corp overhead allocations and AEP Contingency adder.

\*\* Served as basis for Strategist modeling... Subsequently, S&L EPC est. slighted modified to \$664.5M (+1.4%)

# BS (Brownfield) NGCC Cost Estimates - Preliminary \*

Cost in MM 2011 USD	Opt 2 -	G Class	Opt 2 -	F Class
	762 MW Net Ou	tput (904 MW w/		
	Duct	Firing)	606 MW I	Net Output
NGCC EPC Subtotal (from S&L)	790.2	**	715.2	
AEP Owners Costs (per EP&FS)	53.8		50.5	
Total NGCC (2011 \$)	844.0	934 \$/kW	765.7	1,264 \$/kW
Interconnections				
Natural Gas Supply (per FEL)	47.4		47.4	
Transmission/SWYD (per EP&FS)	4.4		4.4	
Total Interconn (2011 \$)	51.8		51.8	
Project Total (2011 \$)	895.8	\$ 8 991 \$/kW 817 5 1 32		1.349 \$/kW
S&L Escalation	73.2		67.2	
Project Total (As Spent)	969.0	1,072 \$/kW	884.7	1,460 \$/kW

\* Costs exclude AFUDC, AEP Corp overhead allocations and AEP Contingency adder.

\*\* Served as basis for Strategist modeling... Subsequently, S&L EPC est. slighted modified to \$786.1M (<0.5%>)

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 2 of 77

Cost in MM USD	<u> Opt 1 - M</u>	IHI 501	GAC	Opt 2 -	GE 7FA.05
	745 MW Net w/ Du	Output (7 ct Firing)	80 MW	602 MW Ne	et Output
NGCC EPC (per S&L Study)					
Equipment	295.4			258.9	
Material & Construction	177.8			170.5	
Constr Indirects	63.1			60.5	
Prof. Services & Spares	42.8			42.1	
Base Contingency	76.2			71.1	
Subtotal - EPC	655.3 *			603.2	
AEP Owner's Cost Est.					
PME&C + Plant	38.8			35.8	
Startup/Comm	15.3			15.3	
BAR Insurance	2.6			2.6	
Total NGCC (2011 \$)	712.0	913	\$/kW	656.9	1,091 \$/kW
Interconnections					
Natural Gas Supply	47.4			47.4	
Transmission/SWYD	6.5			6.5	
Total Intercon (2011 \$)	53.9	69	\$/kW	53.9	90 \$/kW
Project Total (2011 \$)	765.9	982	\$/kW	710.8	1,181 \$/kW
S&L-Assumed Escalation	62.2			58.0	
Project Total (As Spent)	828.1	1,062	\$/kW	768.8	1,277 \$/kW

# BS1 Repower Cost Estimates - Preliminary

Note: Above costs excluded AFUDC , Corp OH allocations and AEP contingency adder.

\* Subsequently, slightly revised by S&L to \$664.5M, (+1.4%)

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 3 of 77

Cost in MM USD	Opt 2	Opt 2 - G Class		- F Class
	762 MW Net w/ DL	Output (904 MW uct Firing)	606 MW 1	Net Output
NGCC EPC (per S&L Study)				
Equipment	360.6		309.7	
Material & Construction	218.7		208.3	
Constr Indirects	79.8		75.4	
Prof. Services & Spares	43.2		42.2	
Base Contingency	87.9		79.6	
Subtotal - EPC	790.2 *	:	715.2	
AEP Owner's Cost Est.				
PME&C + Plant	35.9		32.6	
Startup/Comm	15.3		15.3	
BAR Insurance	2.6		2.6	
Total NGCC (2011 \$)	844.0	1,108 \$/kW	765.7	1,264 \$/kW
Interconnections				
Natural Gas Supply	47.4		47.4	
Transmission/SWYD	4.4		4.4	
Total Intercon (2011 \$)	51.8	68 \$/kW	51.8	86 \$/kW
Project Total (2011 \$)	895.8	1,176 \$/kW	817.5	1,349 \$/kW
S&L-Assumed Escalation	73.2		67.2	
Project Total (As Spent)	969.0	1,272 \$/kW	884.7	1,460 \$/kW

# Brownfield CC Cost Estimates - Preliminary

Note: Above costs excluded AFUDC , Corp OH allocations and AEP contingency adder.

\*\* Subsequently, slightly revised by S&L to \$786.1M, (<0.5%>)
KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 4 of 77

Big Sandy Pipeline Information: ALL COSTS ARE ASSOCIATED

WITH A ~600MW	CC UNIT
Distance from plant/site	8.21 miles
Proposes lateral size (inches)	20"
	2 mainlines: 1 (24"), 1 (26");
	Discharge of station 114;
Mainline Size (inches)	Mainline MAOP = 910#
	Firm model - approx 875#;
Current pipeline pressure	Historical average - 800#
Pressure & Volume (psi)	550 psig @ 4,200 mmBtu/hr
Infrastructure upgrades, including compression, required to support	utilize TGP mainline pressure
pressure and volume	
Indicative cost estimate for required	
infrastructure upgrades plus tax	\$47.4MM
and AEP to pay all cost up front)	
How will the capital investment be	Flexible: upfront capital subject
handled (AEP to pay up front, or	transportation contract
Mainline Compression type: gas or	Ore 8 Fleeter (monorth
electric	המא מ בופכתור (ובחמוחמווי)
Is there backup (diesel) in place for	оц
compression?	
	100 mmsfcd forward haul. Ability to serve from
Available supply for delivery point	Marcellus/Rex.
	High
Operational Flexibility	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 5 of 77

**TABLE 2** 

Estimated "Alternative" Capital Expenditures Utilized in Strategist Modeling (TOTAL Project Costs, <u>Excluding AFUDC</u>) (a)

						a) CCR-related (20115 cost/kW)	corrected from filed version of TABLE 2 however. est. dollar values unchanged)											
(g)	L COST g AFUDC)	\$/kW Installed	(2011 \$)		948	(a) (	53	1,001		\$/kW Installed	(\$ 1102)		1,169		\$/kW Installed	(\$ 1102)		1,263
(f)	TOTA (Excludin	Millions	('As-Spent' \$)		\$839		<u>\$48</u>	\$888		Millions	('As-Spent' \$)		\$1,141		Millions	('As-Spent' \$)		\$1,063
(e)	Add'I Owner's Cost/OH Alloc	Millions	('As-Spent' \$)		\$70		\$4	\$74		Millions	('As-Spent' \$)	1	\$75		Millions	('As-Spent' \$)		\$70
(p)	Cost	\$/kW Installed	(\$ 1102)		869	(a)	48	212		\$/kW Installed	(\$ 1102)		1,092		\$/kW Installed	(\$ 1102)		1,180
(c)	EPC	Millions	('As-Spent' \$)		\$769		<u>\$44</u>	\$814		Millions	('As-Spent' \$)		\$1,066		Millions	('As-Spent' \$)		\$994
(q)		Unit Capacity	MM					800	Unit Capacity	(w/ Duct-Firing)	MM		904	Unit Capacity	(w/ Duct-Firing)	MM		780
(a)			Option #1: Big Sandy Unit 2	RETROFIT Option	Dry (NID <sup>TM</sup> ) FGD	Plus: Add'l Costs included in Modeling	CCR-Related (thru 2017)	TOTAL All Projects			Option #2: Big Sandy Unit 2	REPLACEMENT Option	New-Build CC (@ BS SITE)			Option #3: Big Sandy Unit 2	<u><b>REPLACEMENT</b></u> Option	BS1 CC Repowering
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)

DFGD and Alternative Project Cost Estimates

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	TOTAL	Nomi	Cost per nal	kW 2011 \$
								1		ads-sv,)	nt')\$	
III	2,280	3,347	7,853	17,014	21,495	10,568	143	0	62,700	ŝ	5 46	86
00 100	a c	4,000	12,000 67,000	107,000	36,000	102,100 80 200	00	0 0	172,100 005 200	in u	258 \$	229
3	2,280	27,347	81,853	137,014	199,495	192,968	143	л о 1	641,100	~~~~	962 5	698
oer EP&FS)	456	5,469	16,371	27,403	39,899	38,594	29	0	128,220			
ncy-Adj. %}	2,736 249	32,816 2,936	98,224 8,938	164,417 14,962	239,394	231,562	172	00	769,320			
	2 485	35 803	107 162	179 379	761 179	757 630	187	c	875 958		v	070
			4045104		C171707	100/mm		5	070'ren		2	
Ash Relíne				C	889	12121	4245		9.755			
T System			0	1.747	8,735	17,471	6,938	0	34,941			
	0	0	0	1.747	9,624	21,592	11,233	0	44,196			Santon Santon Santon
	Escalation	Factor)	2.8%									
justad)												
Ital	2,736	3,907	8,917	18.794	23,097	11.046	145	0	68,642			
00	0 0	4,669	13,626	19,883	38,682	106,719	0 0	0 0	183,579		Cost per	- kW
191	<u>7</u> 2,736	<u>31,923</u>	92,946	151,345 151,345	214,359	<u>201,698</u>	<u>2</u> 145	0 10	<u>442,930</u> 695,151		5	\$1707 \$1707
Ach Contraction					202	2 500	2 607		C00 2			
T System			' '  	1,608	7,822	15,218	5.921	' '  	30.568		Ŀ	
	5	0	5	1,608	8,618	18,807	81C,9	5	166,85		<u>^</u>	42
field @ BS site)											Cost per	-kw
Cash Flow	0.5%	5.5%	30.0%	46.0%	15.0%	3.0%			100.0%	Nomi	nal arit	'Z011 \$'/kW
											21	
(jewet Study)	4,845	53,295	290,700	445,740	145,350	29,070	0	0	969,000			
(Per EP&FS)	485	5,330	29,070	44,574	14,535	2,907	01 0	00	96,900	ı		
crem 7.0%)	373	4,104	22,384	34,322	11,192	2,238	0	0	74,613	n in	83 83	
	5,703	62,728	342,154	524,636	171,077	34,215	٥	0	1,140,513			
	5.330	57,028	302,588	451,331	143,164	27,853	0	0	987,293		ŝ	1,092
	<u>373</u> 5,703	<u>3,992</u> 61,020	<u>21,181</u> 323,769	<u>31,593</u> 482,924	<u>10,022</u> 153,186	<u>1,950</u> 29,803	0 0	0 0	<u>69,111</u> 1,056,404		L	1.169
powered CC	701.0			700 01	NUC L+	700 -	a na an a' su an a' su a' s				Cost per	- kW
1-GAC	a.c.o	e/1-1	5/1/DC	e/0"0#	50.CT	200			a/n'n/h	(As-5pg	nul)\$	ANY/ C 1707
iewet Study)	4,141	45,546	248,430	380,926	124,215	24,843	o	0	828.100			
Per EP&FS)	828	9,109 EA CCE	49,686 709 116	76,185	24,843 140 0EB	4 969 71 917	01 0	010	165,620	ť	1 274	
crem 7.0%}	348	3,826	20,868	31,998	10,434	2,087		0	69,560	<b>,</b> 0,	89	
	5,316	58,480	318,984	489,109	159,492	31,898	•	•	1,063,280			
	4,969	53,166	282,097	420.768	133,470	25,967	0	0	920,436		ιγ.	1,18(
	<u>348</u> 5,316	<u>3.722</u> 56.888	<u>19,747</u> 301,844	<u>29,454</u> 450,222	<u>9.343</u> 142,813	<u>1,818</u> 27.785	0 0	010	<u>64,431</u> 984,867		I	1,263
							1				Ţ	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 6 of 77

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 7 of 77

## Determination of (Unit-Specific) Relative Impacts on <u>CC-Build</u> Costs per \$100MM Change in CPW

Br	rownfield CC-Bu	ild			Repowered CC	
					(BS1)	
2011 Installed Cost	1,169	/kW (excl. AFUD	C)		1,262	/kW (excl. AFUDC)
In-Svc Date	2016	•			2016	
As-Spent \$	1,262	\$/kW (excl. AFUI	DC)		1,363	\$/kW (excl. AFUDC)
AFUDC \$	151	\$/kW	0.12		164	\$/kW
TOTAL \$	1,414	\$/kW	-		1,526	\$/kW
Carrying Charge	13.4%				13.4%	
WACC	8.58%				8.58%	
		1,141.00	-		1,063.00	
Replaced Unit		<u>BS2</u>			<u>BS2</u>	
		2x1 MHI-501GAC			2x1 MHI-GAC	
CC Size (MW)		<u>904</u>			<u>780</u>	
Annual Carrying Costs (\$MM)		171.6			159.9	
CPW of Carrying Costs (\$MM) (2011\$)						
(2016 thru 2040 only)		1,141.59	1141.58784		1,064	1,064
Therefore						
A +/% change in CC-Build Costs ('As-S	Spent' TOTAL \$)	that would equa	te to a <u>+/- <b>\$10</b></u>	<u>NM</u>	change in CPW	
		8.76%			9.40%	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 8 of 77

Determination of (Unit-Specific) Relative Impacts on <u>RETROFIT</u> Build Costs per \$100MM Change in CPW ("Retrofits" include: FGD only) BS2

		DDZ			
Installed Cost (2011 \$)		948	/kW (excl. AFUDC)		
In-Svc Date (6/1)		2016			
As-Spent \$	1048.75	1,049	\$/kW (excl. AFUDC)		
AFUDC \$	126.25	126	\$/kW		
TOTAL \$		1,175	\$/kW	0	
Carrying Charge (15-Year Rec	overy)	16.6%			
WACC		8.58%			
Unit Size (MW) Pre-Retrofit		800			
Annual Carrying Costs (\$MM)		155.8			
CPW of Carrying Costs (\$MM)	) (2011\$)				
(6/2016 thru 2040 only)		994	994.2717		
Therefore					
A +/% change in RETROI	FIT-Build Costs	s ('As-Spent'	TOTAL \$) that would equ	uate to a <u>_+/- <b>\$100</b> MM</u>	change in CPW
		10.06%			

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 9 of 77

"Break-Even" CPW Differentials (Cost / <Savings> vs. CC Builds) (\$Millions)

	КРСо	KPCo
	BS2	BS1
Relative CPW Economics (vs. Retrofit)	CC-Repl	Repower
Pricing Scenario		
"Higher Plausible Band"	437.1	458.1
"Fleet Transition" No Carbon	314.8	334 2
"Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base)	236.4	252.3
"Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017)	180.4	190 3
"Lower Plausible Band"	176.8	182.8
"Multiple" per		
100M of CPW Diff		
"Higher Plausible Band"	4.37	4.58
"Fleet Transition" No Carbon	3.15	3.34
"Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base)	2.36	2.52
"Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017)	1.80	1.90
"Lower Plausible Band"	1.77	1.83

## CC Breakeven Cost (per kW)

% Change in CC Cost (Total 'As Spent')

that would Equal \$100 MM CPW

(Assumes ALL OTHER Modeling Variables Remain Constant)

x -1 =

8 76% 9 40%

(Since the 'CPW Differential is a function of the Retrofit option)

<i>n</i> <b>±</b>
Total Change Required
to achieve Modeled CPW Differential
(Above)
AL

"Higher Plausible Band"	-38.3%		-43.1%
"Fleet Transition" No Carbon	-27.6%		-31.4%
"Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base)	-20.7%		-23.7%
"Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017)	-15.8%		-17.9%
"Lower Plausible Band"	-15.5%		-17.2%
"BASE" CC Cost per kW			
( <u>Excludina</u> AFUDC)			
('As Spent' \$)	\$ 1,262	\$	1,363
('2011' \$)	\$ 1,169	\$	1,262
BREAK-EVEN			
CC-BUILD COST ('2011' \$/kW)			
(Excluding AFUDC)			
"Higher Plausible Band"	\$ 721	\$	718
"Fleet Transition" No Carbon	\$ 847	\$	865
"Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base)	\$ 927	\$	963
"Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017)	\$ 1,063	\$	1,119
"Lower Plausible Band"	\$ 1,067	\$	1,129
NECESSARY CHANGE to (all else being equal)			
	<u>CC</u>	BS	1 Repwr
"Higher Band" (+2011\$/kW)	\$ (541)	\$	(644)
+ \$M ('As Spent')	(436.92)		(457.90)
"Fleet Transition" No Carbon (+2011\$/kW)	\$ (415)	\$	(497)
+ \$M ('As Spent')	(314.60)		(334.06)
"Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base) (+2011\$/kW)	\$ (335)	\$	(400)
+ \$M ('As Spent')	(236.30)		(252.17)
"Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) (+2011/kV	\$ (106)	\$	(143)
+ \$M ('As Spent')	(180.34)		(190-23)
"Lower Band" (+2011/kW)	\$ (102)	\$	(133)
+ \$M ('As Spent')	(176.73)		(182.67)

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 10 of 77

"Break-Even" CPW Differentials (Cost / <Savings> vs. Retrofit) (\$Millions)

	KP	Co	KP(	Co 2
Relative CPW Economics (vs. Retrofit)	CC	-Repl	Rei	power
Pricing Scenario				
"Higher Band"		437.1		458.1
"Fleet Transition" No Carbon	1	314.8		334.2
"Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base)		236.4		252.3
"Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017)		176.9		102.0
Lower pand		170.0		102-0
"Multiple" per				
"Higher Band"		4.37		4.58
"Fleet Transition" No Carbon	1	3.15		3.34
"Fleet Transition-USAPR" (Base)	1	2.36		2.52
"Lower Band"		1.77		1.50
("Retrofits" include: EGD only)				
% Change in RETROFIT Cost (Total 'As Spent')				
that would Equal \$100 MM CPW				
(Assumes ALL OTHER Modeling Variables Remain Constant)				
		10.06%		10.06%
Total Change Required				
"Higher Band"	<b></b>	44.0%		46.1%
"Fleet Transition" No Carbon		31.7%		33.6%
"Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base)		23.8%		25.4%
"Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017)		18.1%		19.1%
"Lower Band"		17.8%		18.4%
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIEIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW				
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW (Excluding AFUDC)				
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW <i>(Excluding AFUDC)</i> ('As Spent' \$)	\$	1,049	\$	1,049
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW <i>(Excluding AFUDC)</i> ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$)	\$ \$	1,049 948	\$	1,049 948
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW <i>(Excluding AFUDC)</i> ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$)	\$ \$	1,049 948	\$	1,049 948
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW (Excluding AFUDC) ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN DETROFIT COST ('2011' \$ (km)	\$ \$	1,049 948	\$	1,049 948
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW (Excluding AFUDC) ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW) (Excluding AEUPC)	\$ \$	1,049 948	\$	1,049 948
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW (Excluding AFUDC) ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW) (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band"	\$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,365	\$	1,049 948 1,385
<pre>"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW  (Excluding AFUDC)  ('As Spent' \$)  ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN  RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW)  (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon</pre>	\$ \$ <b>\$</b>	1,049 948 <b>1,365</b> 1,248	\$ \$ \$	1,049 948 <b>1,385</b> 1,267
<pre>"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW  (Excluding AFUDC)  ('As Spent' \$)  ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN  RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW)  (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base)</pre>	\$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b>	1,049 948 <b>1,365</b> 1,248 1,173	\$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,385 1,267 1,189
<pre>"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW  (Excluding AFUDC)  ('As Spent' \$)  ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN  RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW)  (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base) "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017)</pre>	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,365 1,248 1,173 1,120	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 <b>1,385</b> <b>1,267</b> <b>1,189</b> <b>1,129</b>
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW (Excluding AFUDC) ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW) (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base) "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) "Lower Band"	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,365 1,248 1,173 1,120 1,117	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,385 1,267 1,189 1,129 1,122
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW (Excluding AFUDC) ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW) (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition" CSAPR" (Base) "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) "Lower Band" NECESSARY CHANGE to BS2 RETROFIT Cost (all else being of the second	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,365 1,248 1,173 1,120 1,117 al)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,385 1,267 1,189 1,129 1,122
<pre>"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW   (Excluding AFUDC)   ('As Spent' \$)   ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN   RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW)   (Excluding AFUDC)   "Higher Band"   "Fleet Transition" No Carbon   "Fleet Transition" Carbon   "Fleet Transition" Carbon (2017)   "Lower Band" NECESSARY CHANGE to BS2 RETROFIT Cost (all else being o   "Higher Band" (+2011\$/kW)</pre>	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,365 1,248 1,173 1,120 1,117 al) 417	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,385 1,267 1,189 1,129 1,122 437
<pre>"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW   (Excluding AFUDC)  ('As Spent' \$)  ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN   RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW)   (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition" Carbon "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) "Lower Band" NECESSARY CHANGE to BS2 RETROFIT Cost (all else being a "Higher Band" (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent')</pre>	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,365 1,248 1,173 1,120 1,117 al) 417 368 9	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,385 1,267 1,189 1,129 1,122 437 386.6
<pre>"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW  (Excluding AFUDC)  ('As Spent' \$)  ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN  RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW)  (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition" CSAPR" (Base) "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) "Lower Band" NECESSARY CHANGE to BS2 RETROFIT Cost (all else being o "Higher Band" (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent')</pre>	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,365 1,248 1,173 1,120 1,117 al) 417 368 9	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,385 1,267 1,189 1,129 1,122 437 386.6
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW (Excluding AFUDC) ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW) (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition" CSAPR" (Base) "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) "Lower Band" NECESSARY CHANGE to BS2 RETROFIT Cost (all else being of "Higher Band" (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition" No Carbon (+2011\$/kW)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,365 1,248 1,173 1,120 1,117 al) 417 368 9 300	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,385 1,267 1,189 1,129 1,122 437 386.6 319
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW (Excluding AFUDC) ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW) (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition" CSAPR" (Base) "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) "Lower Band" NECESSARY CHANGE to BS2 RETROFIT Cost (all else being of "Higher Band" (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition" No Carbon (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent')	\$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b>	1,049 948 1,365 1,248 1,173 1,120 1,117 al) 417 368 9 300 265.61	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,385 1,267 1,189 1,129 1,122 437 386.6 319 282.03
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW (Excluding AFUDC) ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW) (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base) "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) "Lower Band" NECESSARY CHANGE to BS2 RETROFIT Cost (all else being of "Higher Band" (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition" No Carbon (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition" No Carbon (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition" No Carbon (+2011\$/kW) * \$M ('As Spent')	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,365 1,248 1,173 1,120 1,117 al) 417 368 9 300 265.61 225	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,385 1,267 1,189 1,129 1,122 437 386.6 319 282.03 241
<pre>"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW  (Excluding AFUDC) ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW)  (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition" SAPR" (Base) "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) "Lower Band" NECESSARY CHANGE to BS2 RETROFIT Cost (all else being of "Higher Band" (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition" No Carbon (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base) (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent')</pre>	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 <b>1,365</b> <b>1,248</b> <b>1,173</b> <b>1,120</b> <b>1,117</b> al) 417 368 9 300 265.61 225 199.50	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,385 1,267 1,189 1,129 1,122 437 386.6 319 282.03 241 212.90
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW (Excluding AFUDC) ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW) (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition" CSAPR" (Base) "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) "Lower Band" NECESSARY CHANGE to BS2 RETROFIT Cost (all else being of "Higher Band" (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition" No Carbon (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base) (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent')	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 <b>1,365</b> <b>1,248</b> <b>1,173</b> <b>1,120</b> <b>1,117</b> al) 417 368 9 300 265.61 225 199.50	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,385 1,267 1,189 1,129 1,122 437 386.6 319 282.03 241 212.90
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW (Excluding AFUDC) ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW) (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition" CSAPR" (Base) "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) "Lower Band" NECESSARY CHANGE to BS2 RETROFIT Cost (all else being of "Higher Band" (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition" No Carbon (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base) (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition" Carbon (2017) (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) (+2011\$/kW)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,365 1,248 1,173 1,120 1,117 al) 417 368.9 300 265.61 225 199.50 172	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,385 1,267 1,189 1,129 1,122 437 386.6 319 282.03 241 212.90 181
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW (Excluding AFUDC) ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW) (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition" CSAPR" (Base) "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) "Lower Band" NECESSARY CHANGE to BS2 RETROFIT Cost (all else being of "Higher Band" (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition" No Carbon (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent')	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,248 1,173 1,120 1,117 al) 417 368 9 300 265.61 225 199.50 172 152.25	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,385 1,267 1,189 1,129 1,122 437 386.6 319 282.03 241 212.90 181 160.60
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW (Excluding AFUDC) ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW) (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition" CSAPR" (Base) "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) "Lower Band" NECESSARY CHANGE to BS2 RETROFIT Cost (all else being of "Higher Band" (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base) (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base) (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base) (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) (+2011/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Lower Band" (+2011/kW)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,365 1,248 1,173 1,120 1,117 368.9 300 265.61 225 199.50 172 152.25 169	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,385 1,267 1,189 1,129 1,122 437 386.6 319 282.03 241 212.90 181 160.60 174
"BASE" (UNIT-SPECIFIC) RETROFIT Cost per kW (Excluding AFUDC) ('As Spent' \$) ('2011' \$) BREAK-EVEN RETROFIT COST ('2011' \$/kW) (Excluding AFUDC) "Higher Band" "Fleet Transition" No Carbon "Fleet Transition" CSAPR" (Base) "Fleet Transition" Early Carbon (2017) "Lower Band" NECESSARY CHANGE to BS2 RETROFIT Cost (all else being of "Higher Band" (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base) (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base) (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Fleet Transition-CSAPR" (Base) (+2011\$/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Lower Band" (+2011/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Lower Band" (+2011/kW) + \$M ('As Spent') "Lower Band" (+2011/kW) + \$M ('As Spent')	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,365 1,248 1,173 1,120 1,117 368 9 300 265.61 225 199.50 172 152.25 169 149.21	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,049 948 1,385 1,267 1,189 1,129 1,122 437 386.6 319 282.03 241 212.90 181 160.60 174 154.22

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 11 of 77

## 173,865 Total Ultimate Total Ultimate Other Ultimate Other Ultimate 391 713 <u>Industrial</u> <u>Industrial</u> 29,790 Commercial <u>Commercial</u> KPC June VTD 2011 Customers <u>Residential</u> 142,350 142,971 Residential KPC 2010 Customers **KPC** Total

173,380

413

708

29,909

**KPC** Total

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 12 of 77



KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 13 of 77

	Peak	1,388	
S	Hour	15	
Time Peak	Date	8/2/2006	
KPC AIL-		Summer	
	ak	1,543	1,431
WW) put	Pe	б	б
ik Dema	Hour		
011 Pea	Date	1/8/2010	2/1/2010
C 2010-2	loDate	Jan-10	Feb-10

1,808

თ

2/6/2007

Winter

Peak	1,543	1,431	1,348	1,036	1,110	1,205	1,245	1,310	1,191	266	1,208	1,596	1,445	1,522	1,171	1,114	1,208	1,189
Hour	თ	6	Ø	7	15	16	16	14	16	∞	00	6	6	9	cO	7	14	15
Date	1/8/2010	2/1/2010	3/5/2010	4/28/2010	5/27/2010	6/15/2010	7/23/2010	8/4/2010	9/2/2010	10/22/2010	11/29/2010	12/15/2010	1/14/2011	2/11/2011	3/2/2011	4/1/2011	5/31/2011	6/8/2011
MoDate	Jan-10	Feb-10	Mar-10	Apr-10	May-10	Jun-10	Jul-10	Aug-10	Sep-10	Oct-10	Nov-10	Dec-10	Jan-11	Feb-11	Mar-11	Apr-11	May-11	Jun-11

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 14 of 77

DIS JUILUY OZ OTTA	aome cab	'Modelled'	less.	'Net of CCR'
		Ongoing	2000.	Ongoing
		Capital	CCR-Related	Capital
		BS2		BS2
		(Excl. DFGD & Related)		(Excl. DFGD & Related)
		(\$000)		(\$000)
Per L/T Budget	2011	18,339		18,339
Per L/T Budget	2012	6,102		6,102
Per L/T Budget	2013	16,788	1,747	15,041
Per L/T Budget	2014	21,361	10,091	11,270
Per L/T Budget	2015	35,315	21,627	13,688
Per L/T Budget	2016	16,740	11,411	5,329
Per L/T Budget	2017	7,544	1,157	6,387
Per L/T Budget	2018	28,765		28,765
Per L/T Budget	2019	3,406		3,406
Per L/T Budget	2020	6,498		6,498
		16,086	Avg. Annual	11,482
Future Estimated	2021	13,346	Used Prior (5-Year	) Rolling Avg. + Inflator
Future Estimated	2022	12,627		
Future Estimated	2023	13,704		
Future Estimated	2024	10,511		
Future Estimated	2025	12,018		
Future Estimated	2026	13,188		
Future Estimated	2027	13,154		
Future Estimated	2028	13,266		
Future Estimated	2029	13,173		
Future Estimated	2030	13,737		
Future Estimated	2031	14,102		
Future Estimated	2032	14,295		
Future Estimated	2033	14,537		
Future Estimated	2034	14,807		
Future Estimated	2035	15,153		
Future Estimated	2036	15,454		
Future Estimated	2037	15,740		
Future Estimated	2038	16,047		
Future Estimated	2039	16,367		
Future Estimated	2040	16,697		
Avg. Annual	(2021-2040)	15,320		
TOTAL Re-investm	ient (All-Yrs)	450.000		c = 7.0 /11.4.
	(nominal \$)	458,866		<u>&gt;</u> 5/4 /kW

## Big Sandy U2 On-Going Capex assumed in Strategist Modelling

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 15 of 77

Sargent & Lundy,				Estimate No.:	31118A
1	AEP			Project No.:	12721-001
	Big Sandy Combined Cycle	<b>Brownfield Build Plar</b>	lî	1	
	Option 2 - BS Plar	it FGD Area			
	Conceptual Project	Cost Estimate			
	Cost Estimate (	Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction &	Total Projected Cost
				Erection Cost	
10.00	GENERAL SITE WORK				
10.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 1,357,500	\$ 5,676,853	\$ 8,530,324	\$ 15,564,677
	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, GENERAL SITE WORK				2221524

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 16 of 77

Sargent & Lundy	, LLC	a de la constante de la constan		Estimate No.:	31118A
, ,	AEP			Project No.:	12721-001
	Big Sandy Combined Cycle	Brownfield Build Plan	lî	8	
	Option 2 - BS Pla	nt FGD Area			
	Conceptual Project	t Cost Estimate			
	Cost Estimate	Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction &	Total Projected Cost
				Erection Cost	
11.00	UNDERGROUND				
11.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 270,375	\$ 7,504,657	\$ 22,215,963	\$ 29,990,995
	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, UNDERGROUND				
and the second se					

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 17 of 77

irgent & Lundy,	LLC			Estimate No.:	31118A
r 	AEP			Project No .:	12721-001
	Big Sandy Combined Cycle	Brownfield Build Plan	ìť	•	
	Option 2 - BS Pla	nt FGD Area t Cost Estimato			
	Cost Estimate	Loost Estimate Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
.00	CTG A				
00.	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND SUBCONTRACT COSTS, CTG A	\$ 72,203,250	\$ 584,201	\$ 6,927,195	\$ 79,714,645

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 18 of 77

Sargent & Lundy	LLC AEP			Estimate No.: 1	31118A 12721-001
	Big Sandy Combined Cycle Option 2 - BS Plai	Brownfield Build Plat nt FGD Area	τ	3	
	Conceptual Project Cost Estimate	. Cost Estimate Summary			
Account No.	ltem Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
22.00	CTG B				
22.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 72,203,250	\$ 584,201	\$ 6,923,089	\$ 79,710,540
	SUDVINIANT LOUD, CICO D				

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 19 of 77

Sargent & Lundy,	TLC	and a second	a de la companya de La companya de la comp	Estimate No.: 1	31118A
)	AEP			Project No.: 1	12721-001
	Big Sandy Combined Cycle	Brownfield Build Plai	lî	•	
	Option 2 - BS Plan	nt FGD Area			
	Conceptual Project	Cost Estimate			<u>erne</u>
	Cost Estimate:	Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
31.00	HRSG A				
31.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 29,263,520	\$ 1,062,987	\$ 16,689,676	\$ 47,016,183
	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, HRSG A				riez rei mi

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 20 of 77

Sargent & Lundy,	LLC			Estimate No.:	31118A
,	AEP			Project No.:	12721-001
	Big Sandy Combined Cycle	<b>Brownfield Build Plai</b>	nt	I	
	Option 2 - BS Plai	nt FGD Area			
	Conceptual Project	Cost Estimate			
	Cost Estimate	Summary			
Account No.	ltem Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
32.00	HRSG B				
32.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 29,149,120	\$ 1,062,987	\$ 16,667,514	\$ 46,879,621
	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, HRSG B				

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 21 of 77

Sargent & Lundy.	LC			Estimate No.: 3	31118A
	AEP			Project No.: 1	12721-001
	Big Sandy Combined Cycle I	Brownfield Build Plai	ЛÌ		
	Option 2 - BS Plar	nt FGD Area			22252722
	Conceptual Project	Cost Estimate			*****
	Cost Estimate :	Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
41.00	STEAM TURBINE				
41.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 70,730,556	\$ 1,172,926	\$ 10,525,775	\$ 82,429,256
	OUBLOWINAUT CUOTO, OTEAN TUNDINE				

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 22 of 77

Sargent & Lundy,	LLC			Estimate No.:	31118A
י	AEP			Project No.: '	12721-001
	Big Sandy Combined Cycle	Brownfield Build Plar	lî		
	Option 2 - BS Pla	nt FGD Area			
2007-220	Conceptual Project	Cost Estimate			
	Cost Estimate	Summary			
1					
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
50.00	COOLING TOWER				
50.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 11,139,950	\$ 2,135,933	\$ 4,233,801	\$ 17,509,684
200-101gr.	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, COOLING TOWER				

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 23 of 77

Sargent & Lundy,	LLC	ولوجه الأختاب والمنافقة والمنافقة ومتعاقبه فسيتاث فالمنافعة والمنافعة والمنافعة والمعالية والمتعالمة والمتعاصية	والمتعادية والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة	Estimate No.:	31118A
)	AEP			Project No.:	12721-001
	Big Sandy Combined Cycle	Brownfield Build Plar	lî	ı	
	Option 2 - BS Pla	nt FGD Area			
	Conceptual Project Cost Estimate	. Cost Estimate Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Frection Cost	Total Projected Cost
55.00	WATER TREATMENT				
55.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 4,240,230	\$ 2,337,720	\$ 2,782,897	\$ 9,360,847
	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, WATER TREATMENT				

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 24 of 77

Sargent & Lundy	V. LLC			Estimate No.:	31118A
	AEP			Project No.:	12721-001
	Big Sandy Combined Cycle Option 2 - BS Pla	Brownfield Build Plar nt FGD Area	ĩť		
	Conceptual Project Cost Estimate	Cost Estimate Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
56.00	PRE-TREATMENT				
56.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 11,702,868	\$ 5,404,764	\$ 6,168,527	\$ 23,276,160
	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, PRE-TREATMENT				

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 25 of 77

Sargent & Lundv,		a de server en la secta a secta de la secta de secta de la construction de la proprio de la proprio de la const La construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la proprio de la proprio de la const		Estimate No.: 1	31118A
	AEP			Project No.:	12721-001
	Big Sandy Combined Cycle	Brownfield Build Plai	nt		
800.712	Option 2 - BS Plar	nt FGD Area			
	Conceptual Project	Cost Estimate			2
	Cost Estimate :	Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
60.00	PIPE RACK				
60.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	• 6Э	\$ 2,127,683	\$ 2,170,928	\$ 4,298,611
	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, PIPE RACK				-

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 26 of 77

Sargent & Lundv	, LLC			Estimate No.:	31118A
2	AEP			Project No .:	12721-001
	Big Sandy Combined Cycle Option 2 - BS Pla	Brownfield Build Plan nt FGD Area	<i></i>	3	
	Conceptual Project Cost Estimate	Cost Estimate Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
70.00	ELECTRICAL POWER DISTRIBUTION				
70.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 29,854,672	\$ 8,517,597	\$ 34,135,430	\$ 72,507,699
	BUBCONTRACT COSTS, ELECTRICAL POWER				
	DISTRIBUTION				

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 27 of 77

-

Sargent & Lundy	, LLC AEP			Estimate No.: Project No.:	31118A 12721-001
	Big Sandy Combined Cycle Option 2 - BS Pla Conceptual Project	Brownfield Build Plar nt FGD Area Cost Estimate	tt		
					ander och der Beler bis Verbing and Society of Beler bis Society of Beler bis Society of Beler bis Society of B
Account No.	Item Description	Totaf Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
75.00	DCS				
75.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND SUBCONTRACT COSTS, DCS	\$ 5,809,860	\$ 3,305,906	\$ 9,784,731	\$ 18,900,497

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 28 of 77

Sargent & Lundv.	LLC			Estímate No.: 5	31118A
	AEP			Project No.: 1	12721-001
	Big Sandy Combined Cycle I	<b>Brownfield Build Plan</b>	lî	ı	
	Option 2 - BS Plar	nt FGD Area			
	Conceptual Project	Cost Estimate			
	Cost Estimate S	Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
80.00	BOP				
80.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 25,246,946	\$ 20,542,876	\$ 38,701,784	\$ 84,491,605
	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, BOP				

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 29 of 77

Sargent & Lund	y, LLC AEF Big Sandy Combined Cycle Option 2 - BS Pla Conceptual Projec	e Brownfield Build Plar ant FGD Area t Cost Estimate	ŧ	Estimate No.: Project No.:	31118A 12721-001
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Frection Cost	Total Projected Cost
<u>60.00</u>	COMMON			2000	
90.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 6,218,500	\$ 17,180,840	\$ 20,213,517	\$ 43,612,858
	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, COMMON				

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 30 of 77

Sargent & Lundy,	LLC		a sector de la constante en estate de la constante Estate estate	Estimate No.: 1	31118A
1	AEP			Project No.: '	12721-001
	Big Sandy Combined Cycle F Option 2 - BS Plan Conceptual Project t Cost Estimate S	rownfield Build Plar : FGD Area Sost Estimate ummary	ŧ		
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
	OVERALL PROJECT SUBTOTALS				
OP.89	DIRECT COSTS	\$ 339,120,341	\$ 64,009,822	\$ 146,562,493	\$ 549,692,655
06.90	CONSTRUCTION INDIRECTS	\$ 8,826,256	\$ 15,042,308	\$ 55,058,659	\$ 78,927,223
0P.99	SUBCONTRACTS	\$ 21,444,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 5,050,000	\$ 26,644,000
OP.00	SUBTOTAL PROJECT COSTS	\$ 369,390,597	\$ 79,202,130	\$ 206,671,152	\$ 655,263,878
					14 - 14
P1.00	OVERALL PROJECT INDIRECT COSTS				\$ 37,665,800
	Spare Parts				\$ 5,540,800
	S&L Base Contingency				\$ 87,601,700
	S&L Escalation				\$ 66,704,367
	Subtotal Project Cost				\$ 852,776,545
	Owner's Costs				•
	AFUDC (Interest During Construction)				•
	Total Project Cost				\$ 852,776,545
					(1) 出来が、「日本」の「日本」の「日本」の「日本」の「日本」の「日本」の「日本」の「日本」の
	Total Project Cost 2011\$ (Excl Owner's Cost, AFUDC, Escalation and AEP Contingency Adder)				\$ 786,072,178
- 					

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 31 of 77

Sargent & Lundy,	LLC		and a second	Estimate No.:	31238B
)	AEP			Project No.:	12756-002
	Big Sandy Plant Unit 1 Repower Option 1 - 2x2 MHI 501GAC	ring Cost Estimate S Combustion Turbine	tudy s		
	Conceptual Project	Cost Estimate			
	Cost Estimate S	Summary			<u>en en e</u>
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
10.00	GENERAL SITE WORK				
10.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	•	\$ 6,295,277	\$ 8,757,338	\$ 15,052,615
	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, GENERAL SITE WORK				

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 32 of 77

Sargent & Lundy	, LLC			Estimate No.:	31238B
>	AEP			Project No.:	12756-002
	Big Sandy Plant Unit 1 Repowe	ring Cost Estimate St	tudy		
	Option 1 - 2x2 MHI 501GAC	Combustion Turbine: Cost Estimate	(0)		
	Cost Estimate	Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
11.00					
11.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND SUBCONTRACT COSTS, UNDERGROUND	\$ 112,875	\$ 6,639,620	\$ 17,778,264	\$ 24,530,759

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 33 of 77

Sargent & Lundy.	LLC			Estimate No.:	31238B
1	AEP			Project No.:	12756-002
	Big Sandy Plant Unit 1 Repown Option 1 - 2x2 MHI 501GAC	rring Cost Estimate S Combustion Turbine	itudy S	,	
	Conceptual Project	Cost Estimate Summary	ı		
					<u>2270300 1000</u>
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
21.00	CTG A				
21.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 72,203,250	\$ 584,201	\$ 6,684,584	\$ 79,472,035
	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, CTG A				

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 34 of 77

Sargent & Lundy.	LLC			Estimate No.:	31238B
7	AEP			Project No.:	12756-002
	Big Sandy Plant Unit 1 Repower	ring Cost Estimate St	udy		<del></del>
	Option 1 - 2x2 MHI 501GAC	Combustion Turbines			
	Conceptual Project	Cost Estimate			
-200170-2015	Cost Estimate 5	Summary			internor et la res
				-	
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
22.00	CTG B				
22.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 72,203,250	\$ 584,201	\$ 6,679,721	\$ 79,467,172
CHEAN	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, CTG B				
		-			

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 35 of 77

Sargent & Lundy	LLC		ne of the second se	Estimate No.:	31238B
2	AEP			Project No.:	12756-002
	Big Sandy Plant Unit 1 Repowe	ering Cost Estimate St	tudy		
	Option 1 - 2x2 MHI 501GAC Conceptual Project	Combustion Lurbine: Cost Estimate	S		
	Cost Estimate	Summary			
A = = = = + N = =	litana Danariakina.	I Total Equinment Cont	Total Mataria	Tatal Carateriation 0	l Total Brandrad Cart
Account No.	rient Description	וטומו בקעוטווופווו רטאו		Erection Cost	
31.00	HRSG A				
31.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 28,988,960	\$ 1,062,987	\$ 16,135,854	\$ 46,187,800
874,545	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, HRSG A				

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 36 of 77

Sargent & Lundy,	LLC AEP Big Sandy Plant Unit 1 Repowe	ring Cost Estimate St	udy	Estimate No.: 3 Project No.: 7	31238B 12756-002
	Conceptual Project Conceptual Project Cost Estimate	Comparation rumites Cost Estimate Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
32.00	HRSG B				
32.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND SUBCONTRACT COSTS, HRSG B	\$ 28,874,560	\$ 1,062,987	\$ 16,115,880	\$ 46,053,426

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 37 of 77

Sargent & Lund	v, LLC	ne ne ne de la contra en en esta de la contra	A constraint of the matter of the second and the second second second second second second second second second	Estimate No.:	31238B
c.	AEP			Project No.:	12756-002
	Big Sandy Plant Unit 1 Repown Option 1 - 2x2 MHI 501GAC	ering Cost Estimate S Combustion Turbine	tudy S		
	Conceptual Project Cost Estimate	t Cost Estimate Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
41.00	STEAM TURBINE				
41.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND SUBCONTRACT COSTS, STEAM TURBINE	\$ 26,948,500	\$ 185,250	\$ 617,696	\$ 27,751,446

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 38 of 77

Sargent & Lunc	V, LLC			Estimate No.:	31238B
0	AEP			Project No.:	12756-002
	Big Sandy Plant Unit 1 Repow	Pring Cost Estimate Si	tudy		
	Conceptual Projec	Cost Estimate	0		
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
50.00	COOLING TOWER				
50.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND SUBCONTRACT COSTS, COOLING TOWER	\$ 11,934,000	•	\$ 304,877	\$ 12,238,877

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 39 of 77

Sargent & Lundy	, LLC AEP Big Sandy Plant Unit 1 Repowe Option 1 - 2x2 MHI 501GAC Conceptual Project Cost Estimate	ring Cost Estimate S Combustion Turbine Cost Estimate Summary	tudy	Estimate No.: Project No.:	31238B 12756-002
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction &	Total Projected Cost
55.00	WATER TREATMENT			Erection Lost	
55.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 3,336,480	\$ 2,741,429	\$ 2,737,197	\$ 8,815,107
	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, WATER TREATMENT				
KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 40 of 77

.: 31238B .: 12756-002	Total Projected Cost		2 \$ 13,608,992			9 \$ 8,344,038	
Estimate No. Project No.	Total Construction & Erection Cost		\$ 5,039,082			\$ 4,201,379	
udy	Total Material Cost		\$ 4,400,709			\$ 4,142,659	
ing Cost Estimate St Combustion Turbines Cost Estimate Summary	Total Equipment Cost		\$ 4,169,200	<sup>11</sup> AN ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY		۰ ب	
, LLC AEP Big Sandy Plant Unit 1 Repower Option 1 - 2x2 MHI 501GAC ( Option 1 - 2x2 MHI 501GAC ( Conceptual Project 1 Cost Estimate S	Item Description	PRE-TREATMENT	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND SUBCONTRACT COSTS, PRE-TREATMENT		PIPE RACK	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND SUBCONTRACT COSTS, PIPE RACK	
Sargent & Lundy	Account No.	56.00	56.00		60.00	60.00	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 41 of 77

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 42 of 77

Sargent & Lund	v, LLC			Estimate No.:	31238B
2	AEP			Project No.:	12756-002
	Big Sandy Plant Unit 1 Repowe Option 1 - 2x2 MHI 501GAC	ring Cost Estimate St Combustion Turbines	udy		
	Conceptual Project Cost Estimate 9	Cost Estimate Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction &	Total Projected Cost
				Erection Cost	
80.00	BOP				
80.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND	\$ 22,987,650	\$ 24,776,024	\$ 39,425,141	\$ 87,188,815
	SUBCONTRACT COSTS, BOP				

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 43 of 77

Sargent & Lundv	. LLC			Estimate No.:	31238B
	AEP			Project No.:	12756-002
	Big Sandy Plant Unit 1 Repowe Option 1 - 2x2 MHI 501GAC	ring Cost Estimate S Combustion Turbine	tudy s		200.000
	Conceptual Project Cost Estimate 9	Cost Estimate Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
90.00	COMMON				
90.00	TOTAL DIRECT, CONSTRUCTION INDIRECT, AND SUBCONTRACT COSTS, COMMON	\$ 6,083,000	\$ 9,103,206	\$ 11,721,794	\$ 26,908,001

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 44 of 77

Sargent & Lundy,	LLC AEP Big Sandy Plant Unit 1 Repower Option 1 - 2x2 MHI 501GAC ( Conceptual Project (	ing Cost Est Combustion Cost Estimat	timate St Turbines e	ndy .	Estimate No.: . Project No.: '	12756-002 12756-002
	Cost Estimate S	ummary				
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipme	ent Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction & Erection Cost	Total Projected Cost
	OVERALL PROJECT SUBTOTALS					
OP.89	DIRECT COSTS	\$ 262,1	05,109	\$ 56,873,217	\$ 117,955,509	\$ 436,933,835
OP.90	CONSTRUCTION INDIRECTS	\$ 5,6	60,875	\$ 13,365,206	\$ 44,553,930	\$ 63,580,011
OP.99	SUBCONTRACTS	\$ 39,7	54,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 4,300,000	\$ 44,204,000
OP.00	SUBTOTAL PROJECT COSTS	\$ 307,5	19,985	\$ 70,388,423	\$ 166,809,438	\$ 544,717,846
P1.00	OVERALL PROJECT INDIRECT COSTS					\$ 38,334,200
	Spare Parts					\$ 4,612,800
	Contingency					\$ 76,810,500
	Escalation					\$ 56,305,259
	Subtotal Project Cost					\$ 720,780,605
	Owner's Costs					•
	AFUDC (Interest During Construction)					۰ ج
	Total Project Cost					\$ 720,780,605

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 45 of 77

Sargent & Lundy	, LLC	a de la companya de s		Estimate No.:	31238B
ר סינניינייני	AEP			Project No.:	12756-002
	Big Sandy Plant Unit 1 Repowe. Option 1 - 2x2 MHI 501GAC (	ering Cost Estimate S Combustion Turbine	tudy s		
	Conceptual Project	Cost Estimate			
	Cost Estimate :	Summary			
Account No.	Item Description	Total Equipment Cost	Total Material Cost	Total Construction &	Total Projected Cost
				Erection Cost	
	Total Project Cost 2011\$ (Excl Owner's Cost, AFUDC,				¢ GEA ATE 2AG
	Escalation and AEP Contingency Adder)			_	0+0'01+1+000 +

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 46 of 77

Big Sandy Unit 2 DFGD Project Spend Estimated AFUDC Calculation <u>Utilized in Alternative Economic Evaluations</u> (i.e., Initially Assuming No CWIP Treatment)

								Used For Comparative Modeling Purposes Only	
				TOTAL	839	%001		101	940
			Year 6**	2016	253	30.1%	8.6%	28	281
			Year 5	2015	261	31.1%	8.6%	42	303
			Year 4	2014	179	21.4%	8.6%	21	200
			Year 3	2013	107	12.8%	8.6%	∞	115
þ			Year 2	2012	36	4.3%	8.6%	2	38
			Year 1	2011	m	0.4%	8.6%	0	3.11
					Cash Cost + Overhead Alloc	Annual CF %	(Avg) AFUDC Rate	AFUDC	Total w/ AFUDC
	illions	Total Project Cost	'As Spent' S	(Excl. AFUDC)	1,046				
	All Dollars in Mi				DFGD *				

\* includes DFGD, Associated (Boiler) Projects, FGD Landfill \*\* assumes 6/2016 In-service

Non	iencla	iture				puls Cap	ital Cost	Summal						081	M Summai	ry Net Aux			Dated Jan Hem-No-E Page 47 o	uary 13, 201 8 17 Key	~	
<sup>c</sup> uel Cost Estimate	ist Estimate						AEI		122.22				Fixed O&M	SO2 Cost	Other Var	Load	SO2 Rem		Сол	ditional Ite	ms	
										Total				-				Ē	ſ			Docto
lb/ MBtu		*****	Total FGD	Assoc Proj	Haul Road	Landfill	Sunk Cost	Control	LEP Alloc	AFUDC 0	Add'i Capital	Rmvl		\$/ton	S/MW-hrs*	MM	Eff(%)	Phase 2	BMODs	Fuel Blend	ESP	Fan
4.5 Wet Base AEP-1B	EP-18		727.3	222.1	25.5	49.7	1.5	1,026.0	92.8	,118.8	65.2	1.860	\$5,853,000	\$81.45	\$0.11	23	98.0	MEN				
1 7 Met AFP_2A			705.5	86.2	25.5	49.7	1.5	868.2	78.5	946.8	65.2	1.860	\$5,268,000	\$86.03	\$0.17	18	97.7	NTRI -	ALC: NOT STREET	NR.Y	(, 1, 1942) -	and the second
3 Wet AEP-3A			719,9	222.1	25.5	49.7	1.5	1,018.6	92.2	110.7	65.2	1.860	\$5,565,000	\$82.61	\$0.14	21	98.0	W.				(itely
3 Drv (FSP) Base AFP-	ase AEP.	1A 1	554.8	222.1	25.5	49.7	1.5	853.5	77.2	930.7	65.2	1.749	\$4,392,000	\$318.19	\$0.57	13	95.0	WWW.				
1 7 Drv (ESP) AFP-2A	EP-2A		526.8	86.2	25.5	49.7	1.5	689.6	62.3	751.9	65.2	1.749	\$4,292,000	\$270.05	\$0.57	12	95.0	Me.	N. S.	- WRE		1. July 1. 1999
3 Drv (N/FSP) Base AE	Base AE	P-1B	554.8	206.5	25.5	49.7	1.5	837.9	75.8	913.6	1	2.693	\$4,392,000	\$296.10	\$0.59	6	95.0	MAN			Distant in	
1 7 Drv (N/FSP) AEP-2B	AEP-2B		526.8	70.5	25.5	49.7	1.5	673.9	60.9	734.8		2.693	\$4,292,000	\$293.84	\$0.59	ß	95.0	2010	NAME:	S. West	Wists	1212
AID /ESP) Base AFP.	AFP.	1A	487 G	222.1	25.5	49.7	1.5	786.3	71.1	857.4	65.2	1.749	\$4,956,000	\$274.67	\$0.58	15	98.0	- WW				
1 7 NID (FSP) AFP-2A	EP_2A		460.4	86.2	25.5	49.7	1.5	623.2	56.3	679.6	65.2	1.749	\$4,846,000	\$272.02	\$0.58	14	97.7	1.10	1414	Sold S		
4.5 NID (ESP) AFP-3A	EP-3A		487.6	222.1	25.5	49.7	1.5	786.3	71.1	857.4	65.2	1.749	\$5,066,000	\$282.46	\$0.58	16	98.0	NIL SIL				
3 NID (N/FSP) Base Al	Bace Al	пр.4В	487.6	206.5	25.5	49.7	1.5	770.7	69.7	840.3	,	2.693	\$4,956,000	\$299.62	\$0.59	11	98,0	1.000			WHEN I	E
1 7 NID (N/FSP) AFP-2F	) AFP-2F		460.4	70.5	25.5	49.7	1.5	607.6	54.9	662.5	r	2.693	\$4,846,000	\$328.06	\$0.60	10	97.7	$\sim 10^{-1}$	N. ANA	(d)(2)	Webst	
4.5 NID (N/ESP) AEP-3B	) AEP-3B		487.6	206.5	25.5	49.7	1.5	770.7	69.7	840.3	,	2.693	\$5,066,000	\$298.04	\$0.60	12	98.0	- MIN			States :	
4.5 CDS4EF (N/FSP)	(dSH)		554 4	206.5	25.5	49.7	1.5	837.5	75.7	913.2	,	2.693	\$5,066,000	\$299.74	\$0.60	16	98.0	200 S			SHER	1
1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1																						

# 1 1.5 . 1000 1 ĺ ¢

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401

## Notes:

- MW-hrs based on 800 MW, 8760 hrs per year, 85% capacity factor.
   SO2 Vanable O&M cost based on FGD reagent and disposal cost
   All capital costs escalated to time of performance
   All O&M costs in 2010 S's

## Change Control

Rev 2 - Dignal Size Rev 2 - Updated IACS NEEP 45 EST-16 Free O&M Dollars JEZ Rev 2 - Updated IACS NEEP 45 EST-16 Free O&M Dollars JEZ Rev 3 - Updated IACS NEEP 45 EST-16 Free O&M Dollars JEZ Rev 4 - Updated IACS NEEP 45 EST-16 Free O&M Dollars JEZ Rev 5 - Corrected Case 10 + 14 to AP, move escalation and cont to AP, added Phase 1 only cases and incorporated regulatory view Rev 5 - Corrected Case 10 + 14 to AP, move escalation and cont to AP, added Phase 1 only cases and incorporated regulatory view Rev 5 - Corrected Case 7, 16, 17 & 19, added Phase 2 cases Rev 6 - Corrected Case 32 to 16 + 14 to AP, move escalation and cont to AP, added Phase 1 only cases and incorporated regulatory view Rev 6 - Corrected Case 7, 16, 17 & 19, added Phase 2 cases Rev 7 - Corrected Case 52 to 10 + 14 to AP, move escalation and cont to AP, added Phase 1 only cases and incorporated regulatory view Rev 6 - Corrected Case 52 to 10 + 14 to AP, move escalation and cont to AP, added Phase 1 only cases are 10, 11, 14&15 to reconcile differences in escalation & AFUDC Rev 9 - Corrected Case 6 owner costs and adjusted cashifow for P1 & P2 below the line cases 10, 11, 14&15 to reconcile differences in escalation & AFUDC Rev 9 - Corrected Case 6 owner costs and adjusted cashifow for P1 & P2 below the line cases 10, 11, 14&15 to reconcile differences in escalation & AFUDC Rev 10 - Revised regulatory view to updated Caw no wort ESP cases per PM direction Rev 12 - Adjusted cashimates and added new no wet ESP cases per PM direction Rev 13 - Added Case 28 (CDS+FF). Beginning with Case 23, revised FGD cost based on input from FGD Engineering.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 48 of 77 Exhibit SCW-1A

## Projected (Summer) Peak Demand and Internal Load KPCo and AEP-East (Sep-2011 Fcst)

	Peak Der	nand (MW)			Internal L	.oad (GWh)
	KPCo	AEP-East*			KPCo	AEP-East*
Year			1.0	Year		
2011	1,221	20,698		2011	7,667	125,470
2012	1,238	21,075	10.00	2012	7,729	127,318
2013	1,239	21,351		2013	7,727	128,689
2014	1,243	21,515		2014	7,752	129,445
2015	1,247	21,644		2015	7,772	129,976
2016	1,252	21,711		2016	7,806	130,552
2017	1,256	21,853		2017	7,842	131,173
2018	1,271	22,006		2018	7,883	131,944
2019	1,281	22,163		2019	7,926	132,798
2020	1,287	22,273		2020	7,967	133,593
2021	1,299	22,500	1	2021	8,013	134,489
2022	1,309	22,672		2022	8,062	135,372
2023	1,313	22,815		2023	8,113	136,258
2024	1,320	22,944		2024	8,168	137,223
2025	1,333	23,186		2025	8,216	138,146
2026	1,344	23,374		2026	8,267	139,105
2027	1,354	23,569		2027	8,319	140,108
2028	1,362	23,721		2028	8,373	141,157
2029	1,369	23,933		2029	8,419	142,128
2030	1,379	24,135		2030	8,470	143,160
10-Year (2011-2020):				10-Year (2011-2020):		
Total Growth	66	1,575		Total Growth	301	8,123
Compound Annual Growth Rate	0.59%	0.82%		Compound Annual Growth Rate	0.43%	0.70%
20-Year (2011-2030):				2011-2030.		
Total Growth	157	3,437		Total Growth	803	17,690
Compound Annual Growth Rate	0.64%	0.81%		Compound Annual Growth Rate	0.53%	0.70%

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 49 of 77 Exhibit SCW-1B

## Projected Demand Response (DR) and Energy Efficiency (EE) KPCo and AEP-East (Sep-2011 Fcst)

Image: constraint of the					+		÷		=
Peak Reduction (MW)           KPCo         AEP-East		(CUF PJM-AI INTERRUPT RES	RRENT) PPROVED IBLE DEMAND PONSE	(PROJECTED) DEMAND	"ACTIVE" RESPONSE	(PROJ "PAS DEMAND	ECTED) SSIVE'' RESPONSE	TOTAL RES	DEMAND PONSE
KPCo         AEP-East         KPCo         AEP-East         KPCo         AEP-East         KPCo         AEP-East         KPCo         AEP-East         KPCo         AEP-East           2011         0         445         2         47         2         76         4         568           2012         0         445         4         50         4         149         8         644           2013         0         445         4         50         7         252         10         747           2014         0         445         11         180         9         390         19         1,015           2015         0         445         18         300         10         523         28         1,268           2016         0         445         36         612         20         866         56         1,923           2017         0         445         36         612         20         866         56         1,923           2018         0         445         36         624         21         993         58         2,063           2020         0         445         39         676 </td <td></td> <td>Peak Red</td> <td>uction (MW)</td> <td>Peak Red</td> <td>uction (MW)</td> <td>Peak Red</td> <td>uction (MW)</td> <td>Peak Red</td> <td>uction (MW)</td>		Peak Red	uction (MW)	Peak Red	uction (MW)	Peak Red	uction (MW)	Peak Red	uction (MW)
KPCo         AEP-East         KPCo <td></td> <td></td> <td>( )</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			( )						
Year         2011         0         445         2         47         2         76         4         568           2012         0         445         4         50         4         149         8         644           2013         0         445         4         50         7         252         10         747           2014         0         445         11         180         9         390         19         1,015           2015         0         445         18         300         10         523         28         1,268           2016         0         445         26         450         15         650         41         1,545           2017         0         445         36         612         20         866         56         1,923           2018         0         445         36         624         21         993         58         2,063           2020         0         445         38         649         23         1,221         61         2,315           2021         0         445         39         676         23         1,350         63 <t< td=""><td></td><td>KPCo</td><td>AEP-East</td><td>KPCo</td><td>AEP-East</td><td>KPCo</td><td>AEP-East</td><td>КРСо</td><td>AEP-East</td></t<>		KPCo	AEP-East	KPCo	AEP-East	KPCo	AEP-East	КРСо	AEP-East
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Year			_		-	~~~~		500
2012044545041498644 $2013$ 0445450725210747 $2014$ 0445111809390191,015 $2015$ 04451830010523281,268 $2016$ 04452645015650411,545 $2017$ 04453560018765531,811 $2018$ 04453661220866561,923 $2019$ 04453662421993582,063 $2020$ 044537637231,128602,210 $2021$ 044539662241,293622,401 $2022$ 044539676231,350632,471 $2023$ 044541703231,439642,587 $2026$ 044541703231,439642,587 $2028$ 044541703231,439642,587 $2029$ 044541703231,439642,587 $2030$ 044541703231,439642,587	2011	0	445	2	47	2	/6	4	568
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2012	0	445	4	50	4	149	8	644
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2013	0	445	4	50	7	252	10	747
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2014	0	445	11	180	9	390	19	1,015
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2015	0	445	18	300	10	523	28	1,268
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2016	0:	445	26	450	15	650	41	1,545
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2017	0	445	35	600	18	765	53	1,811
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2018	0	445	36	612	20	866	56	1,923
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2019	0	445	36	624	21	993	58	2,063
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2020	0	445	37	637	23	1,128	60	2,210
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2021	0	445	38	649	23	1,221	61	2,315
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2022	0	445	39	662	24	1,293	62	2,401
2024         0         445         40         689         23         1,391         64         2,525           2025         0         445         41         703         23         1,427         64         2,575           2026         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587           2027         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587           2028         0         445         41         703         24         1,437         65         2,587           2029         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587           2029         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587           2030         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587	2023	0	445	39	676	23	1,350	63	2,471
2025         0         445         41         703         23         1,427         64         2,575           2026         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587           2027         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587           2028         0         445         41         703         24         1,437         65         2,585           2029         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587           2030         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587	2024	0	445	40	689	23	1,391	64	2,525
2026         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587           2027         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587           2028         0         445         41         703         24         1,437         65         2,585           2029         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587           2030         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587	2025	0	445	41	703	23	1,427	64	2,575
2027         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587           2028         0         445         41         703         24         1,437         65         2,585           2029         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587           2030         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587	2026	0	445	41	703	23	1,439	64	2,587
2028         0         445         41         703         24         1,437         65         2,585           2029         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587           2030         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587	2027	0	445	41	703	23	1,439	64	2,587
2029         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587           2030         0         445         41         703         23         1,439         64         2,587	2028	Ö	445	41	703	24	1,437	65	2,585
2030 0 445 41 703 23 1,439 64 2,587	2029	0	445	41	703	23	1,439	64	2,587
	2030	Ō	445	41	703	23	1,439	64	2,587

	(PROJ CUMU ENERGY E (G	ECTED) ILATIVE EFFICIENCY Wh)
	KPCo	AEP-East
Year		
2011	13	611
2012	31	988
2013	47	1,467
2014	60	2,232
2015	70	2,968
2016	95	3,699
2017	113	4,351
2018	122	4,927
2019	130	5,651
2020	136	6,419
2021	137	6,920
2022	138	7,325
2023	138	7,651
2024	137	7,904
2025	136	8,095
2026	135	8,162
2027	135	8,162
2028	135	8,162
2029	135	8,162
2030	135	8,162

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 50 of 77

	P.IM	tă:	onthly Peak	Demand (MW)		PJI	Maria and	Annual Ene	rgy (GWh)				Page	e 50 of 77		
		KPCo	KPCo	AEP-East	AEP-Eati	(AEP-Tra	dillonalj	1000-								
	Y621	(PJM) 1.218	(Internal) 1.236	(PJI.5) 20.515	(internal) 20,809	2011	ar	7.769	125 876							
	2012	1 253	1243	21 095	21.074	2012		7 540	128.105							
	2013	1,300	1,264	21,610	21,550	2014		7 935	130 257							
	2015	Charles and the second second	1.268		21 709	2015		7.943	130,856							
	2016	Contract Contract of State	1 272		22.013	2017		7 994	132 272							
fii	2018		1,283		22,194	2018		5,021	133,034							
	2019		1,295		22.560	2020		8 100	134,867							
∞	2021		1,310	25452523	22.823	2021		8.155	135 924 136.890							
	2023		1.327		23 185	2023		8 271	137.789							
	2024		1.334		23,324 23,573	2024 2025		8 322 8 376	138,678							
$  \langle   \rangle$	2026	197. (A. 199. (A. 199.)	1.357		23 763	2025		8,428	140,450							
$\sum$	2027		1 357 1 375		23.003	2028		8.545	142,501							
Ш	2029		1,385	12.1	24 354	2029		6 598 6 657	143,476 144,535							
	12030	distriction	1,355		23,070											
	2011 2030		1.236		20,809 24,573	2011 2030		7,769 8,657	125,576							
	Total Growth	an et historie	159	Dectors of the	3.764	Total Growth	with Rate	888	18,662							
	Compound Gravan Rate		0.0478	1.0.04	0.00.0	Composite C	ienta rune									
	r									L Anthen 1 tester		Monthly P	ask (1910)			
	Interruptible Demand Response	Monthly Pea	ik (MW)	Annual End	tgy (GWI)	Demand P	ive Response	biostiny #	eak (raw)	Demand Res	sponse	monthly r	can (nitr)			
	Yeat	KPCo .	AEP-East	KPCo	AEP-Eaci	Ye	31	KPCo	AEP-East	Year		KPCo	AEP-East			
	2011	0	445			2011		2	47 50	2011		2 4	492			
$\geq$	2012	o o	445		69855	2013		4	50	2013		4	495			
S S	2014	0	445 445	-510.1		2014		18	300	2014		18	745			
	2016	0	445		2. (2. (2. (2. (2. (2. (2. (2. (2. (2. (	2016		26	450	2016		26	895			
шс	2017	0	445			2018		36	612	2018		35	1.057			
$  \geq \Box  $	2019	0	445			2019		36	624 637	2019		25 37	1,070			
	2021	o	445			2021		38	649	2021		38	1,095			
10 1	2022	0	445 445	19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		2022		39	675	2022		39	1 121			
V I	2024	0	445		el, si vi del contribu Su trata del contribu	2024		40	689	2024		40	1 134			
	2025	0	445	Here the tag		2026		41	703	2025		41	1.148			
	2027	0	445	Sub Sector		2027		41	703 703	2027		41 41	1 148			
	2029	0	445	Sector Sector		2029		41	703	2029		41	1 145			
	2030	0	445	alte service à se	NU-1997-997-91	12030		41	703	2030		41	1,143			
	Forecasted	Monthly Pea	ak (MW)	Annual En	ergy (GWh)	RF	21.1	Monthly F	Peak (MW)	Delayed De	emand	Monthly P	ezk (MW)	(RPM Bid + Delayed Impact)	Monthly	Peak (MW)
	EE					Bid Ar	mount			Forecast in	mpact			- Forecasted		
	Year	КРСь	AEP-East	KPCo	AEP-East	Ye 2013	ar	KPCo 3	AEP-Enti 57	2011 Year		KPCo 0	AEP-East 0	2011 Year	(0)	(19)
	2012	4	149	31	933	2012		3	112	2012		0	0	2012	(1)	(37)
0	2013	7	252 390	47 60	1,467	2013		5	235	2013		2	75	2013	(2)	(75)
	2015	10	523	70	2,968	2015		5	280	2015	1	4	149	2015	(2)	(93)
In G	2016	15	650 765	95 113	4,351	2010		7	281	2017		9	390	2017	(2)	(94)
15 11	2018	20	866	122	4 927	2018		7	258	2018		10 15	523 650	2018	(2)	(85) (85)
	2019	21	1 128	130	5,651	2020		3	272	2020		18	765	2020	(1)	(91)
18 1	2021	23	1 221	137	5 920 7 325	2021		3	266 225	2021		20 21	855 993	2021 2022	(1)	(89) (75)
107	2023	23	1.350	138	7.651	2023		0	166	2023		23	1 128	2023	(0)	(55)
	2024	23 23	1 391	137	7 904 8 095	2024		o o	128	2024		23	1 293	2025	0	(32)
Indu	2026	23	1.439	135	8 162	2026		0	67	2025		23	1,350	2026	0	(22)
	2027	23	1.439	135	8 162	2028		ŏ	7	2028		23	1.427	2028	(0)	(2)
1	2020	23	1,439	135	8,162	2029		°	0	2029		23 23	1.439 1,439	2029	0 (0)	0 (0)
	2000	1	1,740	L		1		L		· ·····						

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 51 of 77

·1	[]		Monthly Peak	Demand (MW)		8.11	Aprual Er	stoy (GWB)			Pag	e 51 of 77		
	PJM	4905	4800	AFP-Farl	AFD.Fort	(AEP-Traditional)	7111100721	c1,17 ( a 11.17						
	Yeat	(PJM)	(internal)	(PJM)	(internal)	Year	APCo	AEP-East						
	2011	5.931	6,052	20 515	20.809	2011	37.566	125,676						
	2012	6 247	6 155	21,610	21.394	2013	36,077	129,628						
	2014	6,326	6.215	21,862	21,560	2014	35 333	130.287						
	2015		6 336		21,827	2016	38.949	131.584						
	2017	And the second sec	6 392		22,013	2017	39.192	132 272						
	2018		6.518	Charles Street	22 395	2019	39.732	133,912						
~~	2020		6.578		22 560	2020	40,075	134,857						
	2023	- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1-	6.744		23.022	2022	40,834	135,890						
	2023		6 797	1111	23 186	2023	41,191	137.789						
Z	2025	1	6.935	A DECEMBER OF LEASE	23,573	2025	41,877	139 559						
	2026		7,000		23 763 23 963	2025	42.198	140 450 141 438						
$\geq$	2028	1.202.00.00	7.131		24 129	2028	42 944	142 501						
	2029	and the second s	7 199 7,274		24,354 24,573	2020	43,679	144,538						
	0.044	in a constant of the	6.052	Landa and a second	20.809	2011	37.555	175 676	1					
	2030		7 274		24,573	2030	43,679	144,538						
	Total Growth Compound Growth Rain	CARACTER CONTRACTOR	1,222	الي 2014 مالية مالية 1920 م المرجع المرجع المرجع المرجع الم	3,754	Compound Growth Rate	0.80%	0.73%						
·	L			1										
				A named End	tou (Cillin)	Active	Monthly	Peak (MW)	Active + Interruptible	Monthly	Peak (MW)			
	Demand Response	Monunity Pe	eak (sorr)	Annuar Ene	idy (Ottin)	Demand Response	illouning		Demand Response					
	Year	APCo	AEP-East	APCo	AEP-East	Yeai	APCo	AEP-East	Year	APCo 178	AEP-East 492			
-	2011	128	445			2012	D	50	2012	128	495			
E I	2013	12B	445		<u> Marina (</u>	2013	D 55	50 160	2013	125	675			
	2015	128	445			2015	93	300	2015	220	745			
	2016	128	445 445			2016	139	450	2010	313	1.045			
ШЦ	2018	128	445			2018	189	612	2018	316	1.057			
ミ민	2019	128	445			2015	195	637	2020	324	1.032			
	2021	128	445			2021	200	649 652	2021 2022	326	1 105			
U I	2023	128	445	Contraction Construction		2023	203	676	2023	335	1 121			
	2024	128	445			2024	213	703	2025	344	1.148			
1 1	2025	128	445			2026	217	703	2026	344	1.148			
	2027	128	445 445			2027	217	703	2028	344	1 148			
	2029	128	445			2029	217	703	2029	344 344	1 148 1.148			
	12030	120	44,5	Loose and a second		12000						• •		
	Forecasted	Monthly P	eak (GW)	Annual End	ergy (GWh)	RPM	t3onthiy	Peak (MW)	Delayed Demand	Monthly	Peak (MW)	(RPM Bid + Delayed Impact)	Monthly	Peak (MW)
	EE					Bid Amount			Forecast Impact			- Forecasted	105-	ACD Fast
	Yeai	APCo	AEP-East	APCo	AEP-East	Year	APCo 2	AEP-East 57	Yea1 2011	0 0	AEP-basi 0	2011	(1)	(15)
$ \Sigma $	2012	10	149	79	988	2012	8	112	2012	0	0	2012	(3)	(37)
S I	2013	22	252 390	174	1,457	2013	23	235	2013	3	76	2014	(8)	(76)
	2015	43	523	326	2.958	2015	25	250	2015	10	149	2015	(6) (11)	(93) (100)
	2016	64 81	650 765	459	3 699	2016	36	261	2015	33	390	2017	(12)	(54)
	2018	93	866	641	4 927	2018	37	258	2018	43 64	523 650	2018	(12)	(85) (35)
$\leq \square$	2019	105	1 128	778	6.419	2020	25	272	2020	81	765	2020	(8)	(91)
0.0	2021	121	1 22 1	817	6 920 7 335	2021	21	266	2021	93 105	865 993	2021	(7) (G)	(C9) (75)
	2023	131	1.350	885	7,651	2023	12	165	2023	115	1.128	2023	(4)	(55)
	2024	135	1 39 1	916 944	7 904 8 095	2024	11	128	2024 2025	121	1.221	2024	(3)	(43) (33)
	2026	140	1 439	944	8 162	2026	7	67	2026	131	1 350	2026	(3)	(22)
	2027	141	1 439	944	8 162 8 162	2027 2028	4 D	30	2028	140	1,427	2028	0	(2)
	2029	140	1,439	944	8.162	2029	0	0	2029	140	1,439	2029	0	0 (0)
L	2030	140	1,439	<u>1 944</u>	B, 102	17030	1	······						

r-

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 52 of 77

I			Monthly Peak	Demand (MW)		PJM	Annual En	ergy (GWh)			Page	e 52 of 77		
	P JM	CSP	CSP	AEP-Easl	AEP-East	(AEP-Traditional)								
>	Year 2011	(PJM) 4.153	(internal) 4,250	(PJM) 20.515	(Internal) 20,609	2011	22.651	125.576						
0	2012	4 271	4 233 4 293	21,095	21,074 21.394	2012	23,006	128.105						
Ř	2014	4,430	4,354	21,682	21,569	2014	23,551	130.287						
Ш	2015		4,423		21,827	2016	23,869	131 564						
	2017 2018		4.467 4.517	A STATE OF CARDING	22,013	2018	24 240	133.034						
	2019 2020	210112	4 574 4,625		22.395 22.560	2019	24,473 24,715	133 512						
8	2021	- 1-1-T	4,681		22.623	2021	24 935 25,130	135.924 135,890						
	2023		4.764		23 185	2023	25.261 25,422	137.789 138.678						
Z	2025	(	4,852		23,573	2025	25 555	139.559 140.450						
4V	2026 2027		4,657		23,963	2027	25,874	141,438						
	2028 2029	Lo. 10 Obl Pol	4 977 5,027		24 129 24 354	2029	26.204	143.476						
	2030	TPL/PY/ENGINET	5,075	100 - 200 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100	24,573	2030	20,359	144,538						
	2011		4.250		20,809 24,573	2011 2030	22,881 26,359	125,876 144,538						
	Total Growth	-1.7274,7275 	825		3,764	Total Growth Compound Growth Rate	3,477	18,662						
	Compositio Cronin Indie			La de la constante de la const		1								
	Interruptible	Monthly P	eak (MW)	Annual Ene	ergy (GWh)	Active	Monthly	Peak (IAW)	Active + Interruptible	Monthly P	eak (MW)			
	Demand Response					Demand Response			Demand Response	059	AER-Earl			
	Year 2011	CSP 0	AEP-East 445	CSP	AEP-Easl	Year 2011	19	47	2011	19	492			
Σ	2012	0	445 445			2012 2013	19	50 50	2012 2013	19	495			
S 0	2014	0	445		S-1620	2014	38 63	160 300	2014 2015	38 63	625 745			
	2016	o	445			2016	94	450 500	2016	94 125	895 1,045			
ШЩ	2017	o	445			2018	128	512 674	2018	128 13D	1,057			
12 (1)	2019 2020	0	445			2020	133	637	2020	133	1.032			
	2021 2022	0	445 445			2021	135	662	2022	138	1.10B			
0	2023	0	445 445			2023	141	676	2023 2024	141	1 134			
4	2025	0	445 445	an carrie	1999 (1999) ( <u>1</u> 999)	2025 2026	145 146	703 703	2025	140 145	1 146			
	2027	0	445			2027	146 146	703 703	2027	146	1 148 1 148			
	2029	0	445			2029	146	703	2029	145	1.148 1,148			
	2030	T	415	1			T		1	1		(RPM Bid +		
	Forecasted	Monthly F	Peak (MW)	Annual En	ergy (GWh)	RPM Bid Ampunt	Monthly	Peak (MW)	Delayed Demand Forecast Impact	Monthly I	Peak (MW)	Delayed Impact) - Forecasted	ысыну	reax (ravy)
	Year	CSP	AEP-East	CSP	AEP-East	Усан	CSP	AEP-East	Year	CSP	AEP-East	Yeat	CSP	AEP-East
5	2011	33 50	76 149	275 354	611 938	2011 2012	25 42	57 112	2011	0	0	2012	(14)	(37)
5	2013	83	252	421	1,467	2013 2014	62 65	189 235	2013	33	0 76	2013	(21) (22)	(53) (78)
	2015	160	523	805	2 968	2015	7B 53	260 299	2015	55 83	149 252	2015	(26) (26)	(93) (103)
ШШ	2015	222	765	1 121	4 351	2017	75	261	2017	121 160	390 523	2017	(25)	(94) (86)
	2018 2019	247 283	565 993	1 245	5 651	2018	67	257	2019	193	650	2019	(22)	(86)
5	2020	33 t 368	1.128	1 678	6.419 6.920	2020	62 91	256	2021	247	655	2021	(30)	(09)
S	2022	397	1.293	2 014 2 127	7.325 7.651	2022 2023	65 66	225	2022	331	1.128	2023	(22)	(55)
	2024	434	1 391	2 210 2 268	7 904 8 895	2024 2025	49 37	128	2024 2025	368 397	1,221	2024	(10)	(33)
1-L-	2025	451	1,439	2 291	8 162	2026	24 12	67 35	2026	419 434	1.350	2026 2027	(5) (4)	(22) (12)
	2028	450	1,437	2.291	8 162	2028	3	7	2028	445 451	1.427	2028 2029	(1) (0)	(2) D
	2029 2030	451	1,439	2,291	8,162	2030	D.		2030	451	1,439	2030	D	(0)

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 53 of 77

	PJIA		Monthly Peak	Demand (MW)		PJM	Annua! Ent	rgy (GWh)				Page	e 53 0177		
[ ]		1614	1AM	AEP-East	AEP-East	(AEP-(Fadibonal)		ASD Sart							
$  \succ  $	Year	(PJM) 4.268	(internal) 4.224	(PJIA) 20.515	(internal) 20,809	2011	25 551	125.676							
línl	2012	4.389	4 327	21.098	21,074	2012	26 291	128,105							
	2013	4,496	4,453	21,662	21,560	2014	27.017	130 257							
	2015	a second	4,455	- Starling	21 709	2015	27 115	130,858							
	2016		4,499	18-7-27 AP45	22,013	2015	27.332	132 272							
1 111	2018		4.562		22 194	2018	27.435	133.034 133.912							
	2020	1.1.1.1.1	4 596		22 560	2020	27 615	134 867							
ം	2021		4,624		22,823	2021	27,692	135 524							
	2022	100 B	4,655		23 186	2023	27,872	137.789							
	2024		4,686	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	23 324 2 23 573	2024	28,029	139.569							
$  \prec  $	2026		4.768	1000	23 763	2025	28 360	140,450							
$\sum$	2027		4,831	No. and Article 1	24.129	2028	28 744	142.501							
Ш	2029	1.110.2017.00	4,877		24 354 24 573	2029	26.935	143,476 144,535							
	12030	1		L		100.14	76 661	125 875							
	2011	10,004110.5	4.224 4,917		20,809 24,573	2011	29,137	144,539							
	Total Growth	CONCERNE!	693	1000000000	3,764	Total Growth	3,576	10,662 0.73%							
	Compound Growin Rate	[Page Street ]	0.00 %		0.30 /4	Competing Greener Have	L								
·1	r	1				A	(Institute	look (2420)	Active + Intern	untible	Monthly P	eak (MW)			
	Interruptible Demand Response	Monthly Pe	eak (INV)	Annual En	ergy (Gwn)	Demand Response	uransiy i	C (1111)	Demand Resp	ponse		,			
	Year	IZIA	AEP-East	18 M	AEP-East	Yeat	18M	AEP-East	Year		16/4	AEP-East			
	2011	240	445 445	Transie and the second se		2011 2012	5	50	2011		246	495			
2	2013	240	445	and the Networks		2013	6	50	2013		245 273	495 625			
S I	2014	240	445	The Paralest of the Paralest o		2014	55	300	2015	- 1	295	745			
$ \Box \frown  $	2016	240	445			2016	63 110	450 600	2016	- 1	322 350	895			
ШШ	2018	240	445	Citizen etada		2018	112	612	2018	1	352	1 057			
$ > \Box $	2019	240	445 445			2019	117	637	2020		356	1 082			
	2021	240	445			2021	119	649 667	2021	-	359 361	1 095			
lo I	2022	240	445	Contraction of		2023	124	676	2023	1	364	1.121			
Ā	2024	240	445	<u>989-10-201-201</u>		2024	126	689 I 703	2024		369	1 148			
	2025	240	445			2026	129	703	2026	- 1	369	1 148			
	2027	240	445 445	And Carrier and Ca		2027	129	703	2028		369	1 145			
	2029	240	445			2029	129	703	2029		369 369	1 148			
L	2030	240	445	200409-0024-079	2 (************************************	2035									
	Forecasted	Monthly P	eak (MW)	Annual En	ergy (GWh)	RPM	Monthly	Peak (MW)	Delayed Den	nand	Monthly F	Peak (MW)	Delayed Impact)	Monthly	Peak (MW)
	EE					Bid Amount		ASD Evel	Porecastina		1224	AFP.Fart	- Forecasted	ISM.	AEP-East
	Year	1614	AEP-Eacl	61	AEP-East 611	2011 Year	6	57	2011		0	0	2011	(2)	(19)
$\geq$	2012	17	149	122	968	2012	13	112	2012		0	0	2012	(4)	(37) (63)
S S	2013	43	252 39D	517	2 232	2013	61	235	2014		Ð	76	2014	(20)	(76)
	2015	131	523	749	2.968	2015	85	280 299	2015		17 43	252	2015	(28)	(100)
I III m	2016	203	765	1 175	4.351	2017	85	261	2017		90	390	2017	(25)	(94)
$  \leq   $	2018	241	855	1.398	4 927	2018	82	258 257	2018		166	650	2019	(27)	(86)
	2020	291	1.128	1 708	6.419	2020	65	272	2020		203	765	2020	(22)	(91)
	2021	293 294	1 221	1 714	6 920 7 325	2021	14	225	2022		275	993	2022	(5)	(75)
	2023	294	1 350	1.720	7,651	2023	2	165	2023		291 293	1 128	2023	(1)	(43)
	2024	293	1.427	1 721	8,095	2025	ō	100	2025		294	1.293	2025	(0)	(33)
	2026	294	1.439	1 720	8 162 8 162	2025	0	67 35	2025		293	1.391	2027	(0)	(12)
	2028	293	1.437	1.720	8 162	2028	0	7	2028		294 294	1,427	2028	0	(2) 0
	2029 2030	294 294	1,439	1.720	8 162 8,162	2029 2030	0	0	2030		294	1,439	2030	(0)	(0)
L	I have been a second as a second s														

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 54 of 77

COLUMARY         Columnary         Columnary <th< th=""><th></th><th>PIN</th><th> </th><th>Monthly Peak</th><th>Demand (MW)</th><th></th><th>PJM</th><th>Annual End</th><th>ngy (GWh)</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Page</th><th>e 54 of 77</th><th></th><th></th></th<>		PIN		Monthly Peak	Demand (MW)		PJM	Annual End	ngy (GWh)				Page	e 54 of 77		
CLUC         Num         Organ         Point         Po			CPCo	OPCo	AEP-East	AEP-East	(AEP-Iraditional)		470 F							
CDL       Distribution       Distribu		Year	(PJI.5) 4.945	(Internai) 5.046	(PJM) 20.515	(internal) 20,609	2011	32,099	125,876							
CLINE         No.         No. </td <td>l ín l</td> <td>2012</td> <td>5,055</td> <td>5 180</td> <td>21.098</td> <td>21.074</td> <td>2012</td> <td>33,085</td> <td>128.105</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	l ín l	2012	5,055	5 180	21.098	21.074	2012	33,085	128.105							
Line       New product       <		2013	5 209 5.275	5 247 5.264	21.610	21 394 21,560	2013	33,453	130.287							
Large       Large <thlarge< th=""> <thlarge< th=""> <thla< td=""><td></td><td>2015</td><td>Chief and the state</td><td>5.278</td><td>grameri asi i</td><td>21.709</td><td>2015</td><td>33,465</td><td>130.658</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thla<></thlarge<></thlarge<>		2015	Chief and the state	5.278	grameri asi i	21.709	2015	33,465	130.658							
La CLIVE DATA BARANCE DOWN ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL AL		2016		5 297	1213	21,827	2016	33 582 33 720	131 594							
Line       Line <thline< th="">       Line       Line</thline<>		2018		5,379	A CARLES AND A	22 194	2018	33,891	133 034							
CS       List of the second seco		2019	ALL STREET	5.422	CONTRACTOR OF	22 395	2019	34,091	133 912 134,857							
Church         Image: State of the sta	ార	2020		5 537	Contraction of the second s	22,623	2021	34,671	135 924							
Mys       Normal Response       Normal Respo		2022	SALE OF SALE	5.590	Contraction of the	23.022	2022	34 950	136 890							
VPUID         Image: Construction of the second decomposition of the second decomposit of the second decomposit of the second decompositio		2023	Contraction of the	5.655		23,324	2024	35 377	138 678							
Mage		2025		5 705	1000	23,573	2025	35,555	139.569							
Line       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization         Line       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization         Line       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization       Normalization         Line       Normalization       Normalistranticity       Normalization <td></td> <td>2026</td> <td></td> <td>5 779</td> <td></td> <td>23.963</td> <td>2027</td> <td>35 950</td> <td>141.438</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		2026		5 779		23.963	2027	35 950	141.438							
Main	2	2028		5,614		24 129	2028	36.212	142 501							
L       The second final intervention of the second final field for energy (SVM) field for final intervention of the second final field for energy (SVM) field for final intervention of the second final field for energy (SVM) field for final intervention of the second final field for energy (SVM) field for final intervention of the second final field for energy (SVM) field for final intervention of the second final field for energy (SVM) field for final intervention of the second final field for final intervention of the second field for final interventintervention of the second fi		2029		5,511		24,573	2030	36,706	144,538							
Non- transference       1501 (1000)		10014		5.046	nama (mainte	20 809	[2011	32.099	125.676							
Normal Sector         Normal S		2030		5,911		24,573	2030	36,706	144,538							
MSG         Verturgible brand Response         Genetity Pesk (MW)         Active transf Response         Monthly Pesk (MW)         Active transf Response         Active transf Response         Monthly Pesk (MW)         Active transf Response         Active transf           VILUE         Vert         79         444         122         71         64         121         64         121         64         121         64         121         64         121         64         121         64         121         64         121         64         121         64         121         64         121         64         121         64         121         64         121         64         121         64         121         64         121         123         123         123         123         123         123         123         123		Total Growth	2019-00-07 	865 D 84%	and the second	3,764	Compound Growth Rold	0.71%	0.73%							
MSC ЦУСП         Monthly Pesk (MW)         Annual Energy (EV/h)         Active to therrupible Demand Response         Monthly Pesk (MV)         Active to therrupible Demand Response         Monthly Pesk (MV)           VMC 0         0°C 0         AEP East         0°C 0         AEP East <td>LJ</td> <td>Compound Cronin Plane</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>L. L. L</td> <td></td>	LJ	Compound Cronin Plane			L. L											
Normal fiele         Demand frequence         Demand frequence         Demand frequence         Demand frequence           Visit         OPCo         AEP East         OPCo         AEP East         OPCo         AEP East           Visit         OPCo         AEP East         OPCo         AEP East         OPCo         AEP East           Visit         OPCo         AEP East         OPCo         AEP East         OPCo         AEP East           Visit         OPCo         AEP East         OPCo         AEP East         OPCo         AEP East           Visit         OPCo         AEP East         OPCo         AEP East         OPCo         AEP East           Visit         OPCo         AEP East         OPCo         AEP East         OPCo         AEP East           Visit         To attal           Visit         To attal         To atta	·	<b></b>					<b></b>			[		Manthly P	ank (FIND			
New of the second sec		Interruptible	Monthly P	eak (MVV)	Annual Ene	rgy (GWh)	Active Demand Response	Monthly i	eax (MW)	Demand Re	esponse	monunity r	can (mor)			
$\begin{split} & \underset{\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		You	0200	AFP-Fast	DPCo	AEP-East	Year	OPCo	AEP-East	Yea	u	OPCo	AEP-East			
$\begin{split} & \underset{\bel{eq:second}{\bel{eq:second}} \\ & \underset{\bel{eq:second}} \\ & \underset{\bel{eq:second}} \\ & \be$		2011	78	445	1.0 Statelie	121212121212	2011	21	47	2011		99	492			
SG         To         446         To         2014         44         To         2014         To         2014         To         2014         To         2015         To		2012	78	445 445			2012	21	50	2012		99	495			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2014	78	445	Charles In Law 2	a contrato a	2014	44	180	2014		122	625 745			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Iñ I	2015	78	445			2015	109	450	2015		187	895			
Line         Data sing         To a 448 to the second secon	$\square \widehat{\sim}$	2017	78	445			2017	145	600	2017		223	1,045			
L         South         To         445         Control         South         South<	민땅	2018	78	445			2018	148	624	2019		229	1,070			
LD         2021 (2022)         78 (445)         445 (2023)         105 (2023)         69 (2023)         2023 (2023)         105 (2023)         2023 (2023)         2024 (1107)         1107 (2023)         2023 (2023)         2024 (1107)         1108 (2023)         2026         2023         2024         1148 (2023)         2023         2248         1148 (1400)         2023         2248         1148 (1400)         2023         2248         1148 (1400)         2023         2248         1148           V0203         78         445         78         2020         170         703         2023         2248         1148         2030         160         160         160         160         160         160         160         160         160         160         160         160         160         160         160         160         160         160<	그님	2020	78	445	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		2020	154	637	2020		232	1.052			
Ver         Processed         Manual Energy GWh         RPM         Monthly Pesk (MW)         Delayed Demand         Monthly Pesk (MW)         Delayed Impact)         Control (MM)         Contro (MM) <td></td> <td>2021</td> <td>78</td> <td>445</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2021</td> <td>157</td> <td>649</td> <td>2021</td> <td></td> <td>238</td> <td>1 108</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		2021	78	445			2021	157	649	2021		238	1 108			
V         2024 2025 2025 2027 2026 2027 2026 2027 2026 2027 2026 2027 2027		2023	78	445			2023	163	676	2023		241	1 121			
No.         No. <td><math> \langle  </math></td> <td>2024</td> <td>78</td> <td>445</td> <td>The second s</td> <td></td> <td>2024</td> <td>170</td> <td>703</td> <td>2025</td> <td></td> <td>248</td> <td>1.148</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	$ \langle  $	2024	78	445	The second s		2024	170	703	2025		248	1.148			
Visit         Visit <th< td=""><td></td><td>2025</td><td>78</td><td>445</td><td>A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A CONTRAC</td><td>and of the last of the second</td><td>2026</td><td>170</td><td>703</td><td>2026</td><td></td><td>248</td><td>1.148</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>		2025	78	445	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A CONTRAC	and of the last of the second	2026	170	703	2026		248	1.148			
Non-third processes         Transmit         Transmit </td <td></td> <td>2027</td> <td>78</td> <td>445 445</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2027</td> <td>170</td> <td>703</td> <td>2028</td> <td></td> <td>248</td> <td>1.148</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		2027	78	445 445			2027	170	703	2028		248	1.148			
Visit         Visit <th< td=""><td></td><td>2029</td><td>78</td><td>445</td><td></td><td></td><td>2029</td><td>170</td><td>703</td><td>2029</td><td></td><td>248</td><td>1 148</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>		2029	78	445			2029	170	703	2029		248	1 148			
Normalized bits         Identity Peak (MV)         Annual Energy (KV)         Monthly Peak (MV)         Delay C benand Foresal (MV)         Delay C benand Foresal (MV)         Delay C benand Foresal (MV)         Monthly Peak (MV)         Delay C benand Foresal (MV)         Delay C benan	L	2030	78	445	14 Configuration of the	Delle Herberger (	2030	L	105	2000				,		
EE         Conco         AEP-Ent         Bid Amount         Forecast Impact		Forecasted	Monthly P	eak (MW)	Annual Eng	ergy (GWh)	RPM	Monthly	Peak (MW)	Delayed D	Demand	Monthly I	Peak (MW)	(RPIA Bid + Delayed impact)	Monthly	Peak (MW)
Year         OPCo         AEP-Eatl         OPCo         AEP-Eatl         OPCo         AEP-Eatl         Year         OPCo		EE					Bid Ampunt			Forecast	Impact			- Forecasted		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Year	OPCo	AEP-East	DPCo	AEP-East	Year	OPCo	AEP-Eaul	Yea	11	OPCo	AEP-East 0	Year 2011	(8)	(19)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$  \ge  $	2011	63	76 149	393	938	2012	47	112	2012		0	0	2012	(16)	(37)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	170	2013	101	252	554	1.467	2013	76	189	2013		D 31	0 76	2013	(25) (25)	(63) (70)
$ \begin{matrix} \square \\ \square$	18 1	2014	143	39D 523	1 015	2 232 2.953	2014	92	280	2015		63	149	2015	(31)	(93)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2016	220	650	1.211	3.699	2016	90	299	2015		101	252	2016	(30)	(94)
Line         Social         Social <td>ШШ</td> <td>2017</td> <td>251</td> <td>765</td> <td>1 522</td> <td>4 351</td> <td>2018</td> <td>69</td> <td>258</td> <td>2018</td> <td></td> <td>165</td> <td>523</td> <td>2018</td> <td>(23)</td> <td>(86)</td>	ШШ	2017	251	765	1 522	4 351	2018	69	258	2018		165	523	2018	(23)	(86)
SO         2020         356         1128         2 118         6 4.10         2020         118         2.56         50211         778         866         3021         (29)         (69)           SO         2021         435         1232         2 345         6 322         113         252         222         324         693         2022         (33)         (75)           2023         2031         1350         2 764         7 263         2022         133         2023         203         (20)         (43)           2024         91         1352         2 722         223         2023         266         1224         (24)         (25)         <	≥ш	2019	324	993	1 777	5,651	2019	78	257	2019		220	650 765	2019	(26)	(30)
V         1002         475         1 293         2 004         7 235         2002         113         225         7022         324         993         3022         (33)         (17)           V         1023         507         1350         2 781         7651         2023         91         165         2023         345         1121         2033         (35)         (15)           1024         531         1 391         2 802         7 904         2024         72         138         1221         1233         (15)	0	2020	356 435	1 128	2 385	6.920	2021	118	265	2021		278	865	2021	(39)	(69)
↓         1203         1.00         7.411         / M01         40.23         91         1.00         40.24         1.21         2024         1.221         2024         1.231         2025         1.231         2024         1.231         2024         1.231         2025         1.231         2024         1.231         2025         1.231         2025         1.231         2025         1.231         2025         1.231         2025         1.231         2025         1.231         202	I Ó I	2022	475	1,293	2 604	7.325	2022	113	225	2022		324	993 1.128	2022	(33) (30)	(75) (55)
D_         2025 2030         551 559         1.427 1.427         2026 9.1429         2025 9.1429         577 9.162         100 2026         2025 567         475 1.2026         1293 567         2026 1.030         130 1.022         (13) 1.022         (13) 1.022         (13) 1.023         (13) 1.023         (13) 1.023         (14) 1.023         (13) 1.027         (14) 1.023         (13) 1.027         (14) 1.027         (12) 1.027         (13) 1.027         (13) 1.027         (14) 1.027         (12) 1.027         (12) 1.027         (13) 1.027         (14) 1.027         (12) 1.027         (12) 1.027         (13) 1.027         (14) 1.027         (14) 1.027         (12) 1.027         (14) 1.027		2023	507	1,350	2.781	7.904	2024	72	128	2024		435	1.221	2024	(24)	(43)
2020 559 (A29 5072 516 2027 21 55 2027 511 1391 2027 (7) (12) 2027 559 1A39 3072 8162 2027 21 55 2027 551 1391 2027 (7) (12) 2028 (2) 2028		2025	551	1.427	3 026	8,095	2025	57	100	2025		475	1 293	2025	(19)	(22)
1 10000 556 1.437 3.072 6.162 2028 5 7 2028 551 1.427 2028 (2) (2)		2026	559	1.439	3.072	8 162	2027	21	35	2027		531	1 391	2027	(7)	(12)
		2028	558	1.437	3 072	8 162	2028	5	7	2028		551 559	1,427	2028	(2) (0)	(2) D
2029 555 1,439 30/2 0,162 2020 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1	2029	550	1,439	3.072	8,162	203D	ő	0	2030		559	1,439	2030	(0)	(D)

)1 :quests /e (20)	=(18)-(10)	ion (MW) Net Position w/ New Capacity	(37) (37) (15) (279)	(225) (210) (210) (215) (216) (216) (237)	(240) (247) (260) (272) (284) (291) (299) (310)	2011/12 Tanners Ck 4 ad IMEA 2011/1: 36. 761) (MW IC 36. 761) (MW IC 41 be 13% ant 41 be 13% ant
2011-004( 51 Data Re 3, 2012 Perspectiv (19)	=((11)-(12) +(15)) *(1- (17)) -(10)	I&M Position Net Position w/o New Capacity	(37) (37) (15) (279)	(225) (210) (202) (215) (216) (216) (228) (228)	(240) (247) (260) (272) (284) (284) (291) (310)	of 315 MW in ' of 22 MW from MPO,ATSI, an MPO,ATSI, an MDO,ATSI, an MDC,ATSI, an MDC,ATSI, an MVC,ATSI, an MVC,ATSI, and MVC,ATSI, and MVC,ATS
Case No. 2 First Set of anuary 13 anuary 13 1 5 of 77 1) (18)	=(16)*(1- (17))	Available UCAP	1,280 1,311 1,367 1,113	1,101 1,109 1,110 1,110 1,113 1,115 1,115 1,115	1,114 1,113 1,113 1,113 1,113 1,113 1,113 1,113 1,113	pre-2014) of: constellation) ( Lyn Stale to A 2011/12 - 201 redits from St mations for P, 2011/12 - 201 redits from St metring auctit ar capacity ve ar capacity ve ar capacity ve AEP Zonal or AEP Zonal or MITS Planning the Fixed Resc
KPSC C KIUC's I Dated J Dated J Page 56 Page 56 Page 56 ts re: BS		AEP EFORd (j)	6.26% 7.15% 7.38% 7.09%	%60.7 %20.7 %20.7 %20.7 %20.7 %20.7 %20.7 %20.7 %20.7 %20.7	7.08% 7.08% 7.08% 7.08% 7.08% 7.08% 7.08% 7.08%	ALR Share, aen (from C aent (for FR ant) (for FR ant) (for FR capacity sci algo Sales capacity sci algo Sales and and sol of PJM's er ve under th ve, under th
۲")PJIV or Purv etiremen	=(11)-(12) + Sum(14) +(15)	Net ICAP	1,366 1,412 1,476	1,185 1,194 1,195 1,195 1,195 1,202 1,202 1,201	1,199 1,198 1,198 1,198 1,198 1,198 1,198 1,198	<ul> <li>Includes (f commit Ceredo/f Ceredo/f RM Au 3.6 MW Plus: Estimate as part as part as of twe as of twe as of twe as of twe thich attesit</li> </ul>
ns ("CLF <i>i</i> "CLF <i>i</i> "CLF <i>i</i> "CLF		Annual Purchases				5; (4) (5) (4) (4) (5) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7
NY e Margin orecast ng Years) <i>urce</i> Ac (14)		Resource Additions MM/ (i)				ATEDI" viev
WER COMPAI UCAP <u>R</u> eserv - 2011 Load Fc - 2011 Load Fc - 1 PJM Plannir <i>nermal Reso</i> naking "ACCEi		Planned Capacity				I MACT ACCELER
CKY PO d PJM L eptember 2030/203 New Th (12)		Net Capacity Sales (h)	104 58 (6)	<u>6699996</u> 0	Ê	MW BASE (EGL
KENTU Inds, an sed on S <i>11</i> 2012 - 3 <i>tion (No</i> EGU MAV		Existing Capacity & Planned Changes	1,470 1,470 1,470	1,180 1,187 1,187 1,187 1,193 1,198 1,198 1,198	1,198 1,198 1,198 1,198 1,198 1,198 1,198	TTES. Schont 1: 35 3 Sandy 2: 1 g Sandy 1 g Sandy 1
ak Dema Ba (201 <b>†y Posí</b> i oposed]	=(8)+(9)	Total UCAP Obligation	1,317 1,348 1,382 1,382	1,326 1,326 1,319 1,325 1,325 1,325 1,333 1,352	1,354 1,360 1,360 1,385 1,385 1,385 1,385 1,404 1,412 1,412	2001/11/11/2015/2015
oad/Pea , <i>Capac</i> i		Net UCAP Market Obligation (f)	0000			(6)
pacity, <u>L</u> <i>cing-In</i> " ming U.S	=((4)- ((5)*(6)))*( 7)	UCAP Obligation	1,317 1,348 1,382 1,382	1,326 1,319 1,312 1,325 1,333 1,333 1,333 1,352	1,354 1,360 1,360 1,385 1,385 1,404 1,412 1,423	ermination) ss DR
urce <u>C</u> al "G( <sup>(7)</sup>		Forecast Pool Req't (e)	1.083 1.080 1.080	1.081 1.081 1.081 1.081 1.081 1.081 1.081	1.081 1.081 1.081 1.081 1.081 1.081 1.081 1.081	lar) position del s lo represe scast proces asted "Active -2030)
ed Reso		n to PJM Demand Response Factor	0.955 0.950 0.957 0.956	0.956 0.956 0.956 0.956 0.956 0.956 0.956	0.956 0.956 0.956 0.956 0.956 0.956 0.956	reflected in reflected in a "three yea ited load for r plus forect Rd) GD derate)
Projectt		Obligatio Interruptible Demand Response (d)	044	18 26 36 37 38 39 39 39	86	mplied PJM =, and IVV and are not and are not and are not and are not planning yee planning yee 1 - PJM EFC2, offset to DF
(4)	=(1)+(3)	Net I Internal Demand	1,217 1,252 1,282 1,282	1,243 1,246 1,246 1,260 1,260 1,260 1,269 1,279 1,287	1,290 1,296 1,310 1,320 1,338 1,338 1,338 1,356	ecast (with : ecast (with : Passive: Ef through the in the prior i the prior i in the prior i in the prior i e upgrade) ( upgrade) (
<u>(3</u>		Projected DSM Impact (c)	EEES	(2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	(2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	(1) Load For d projected ' ag are for cer ag are for cer ag are for cer ag are for (IRN) = 15.5 (IRN) = 15.5 (IRN) = 15.5 (IRN) = 15.5 (IRN) (urbin) MW (urbin) MW (urbin) MW (urbin) MW (urbin)
ß		DSM (b)	6.466	(10) (15) (18) (21) (23) (23) (23) (24)		alember 20' alember 20' approved an ing purpose ing purpose approventa Requirement Requirement Requirement approve Second 1: 36 cicpord 2: 36 cicpord 2: 36 cicpord 2: 36
Ē		Internal Demand (a)	1,218 1,253 1,283	1,247 1,255 1,256 1,256 1,281 1,287 1,299 1,209	1,313 1,320 1,333 1,334 1,354 1,354 1,369 1,369	tsed on (Set disting plus a disting plus a ote: those vu those view plant imand Resp imand Resp ima
		Planning Year	2011 /12 (K) 2012 /13 (K) 2013 /14 (K) 2014 /15 (K)	2015 /16 2016 /17 2016 /17 2018 /19 2019 /20 2020 /21 2022 /23 2022 /23	2023 /24 2024 /25 2025 /26 2026 /27 2026 /27 2027 /28 2023 /29 2028 /30 2030 /31	Notes: (a) Bi (b) C (c) C (

2:Internal Regulatory Services/Army Elliot1/2011-00401/KIUC(1st Settlem 28/Ex SCW-1C (KPCo\_Puid Going-in Capacity Position\_REV-100411);xls,Tab: SCW 1-C\_KPCo PUM Position (Sep)



Ex SCW-2 (L-T Commodity Price Fcst).xls NG-HH

Filed Workpapers

## KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28

### Summary of Long-Term Commodity Price Forecast Scenarios (Source: AEP Fundamental Analysis) Annual Average (Nominal Dollars)

															Page 57	' of 77				
		NATURA	L GAS (Henry	/ Hub)	1			CO2					NAPP (6.0#)					CAPP (1.6#)		]
	haanno		(\$/IMMBtu)			harmonia eren annon	(5,	/Metric Tonne	)			(5	/Ton-FOB Mine	;}			(	Ton FOB Mine	7)	
	BASE		Alternative	Scenarios		'BASE		Alternative	Scenarios		BASE'		Alternative	Scenarios		BASE'		Alternative	Scenarios	
	Fleat	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	Fleet	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	FT-C5APR:	FT-CSAPR:	Fleet	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	Fleet	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:
	Transition:		LOWER	Early	No	Transition:		LOWER	Early	No	Transition		LOWER	Early	No	Transition;			Early	No
	CSAPR	HIGHER Band	Band	Carbon	Carbon	CSAPR	HIGHER Band	Band	Carbon	Carbon	CSAPR	HIGHER Band	Band	Carbon	Carbon	CSAPR	HIGHER Band	LOWER Band	Carbon	Carbon
	Carbon in 2027	Carbon in 2022	Carbon in 2022	Carbon in 2017		Carbon in 2022	Earbon in 2022	Carbon in 2022	Carbon in 2017		Cerbon in 202	Carbon in 2022	Carbon in 2932	Carbon in 2017		Carbon in 2022	Carbon in 2022	Calbon in 2022	Caroor in 2017	
2012	4.48	4,48	3 94	4 48	4 48	0 00	0.00	0 00	0 00	0.00	56 7	6 64.13	53 91	56.75	56 75	79 97	91.46	75.97	79 97	79.97
2013	4 94	5.43	4.35	4 94	4 94	0.00	0 00	D 00	0.00	0.00	58 0	0 66 70	53.36	58.00	58 00	83 46	97 95	75.11	83.46	83 46
2014	5 38	6 02	4.73	5 38	5 38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 00	60 D	D 69 0D	53.40	60 00	60 00	84.83	101 44	74.65	84 83	84 83
2015	5 52	6.29	4 85	5 52	5 52	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 00	62 3	5 7234	55.50	62 35	62 36	85 21	102 25	74.98	85.21	85 21
2016	5 99	6.94	5 27	5 99	5 99	0.00	0 00	0.00	0.00	0 00	64.7	2 75.08	57.60	64 72	64 72	85.52	102 62	75.26	85.52	85 52
2017	6 13	7 23	5 39	6 42	6 13	0.00	0.00	0 00	15 D8	0 00	65 9	2 76 47	58.67	64 00	65 9Z	85 31	102.37	75.07	82.83	85 31
2018	6.32	7 46	5 56	6 60	6 3 2	0 00	0 00	0.00	15 28	0.00	67 1	3 77.93	59 79	65 22	67 18	86 94	104 33	76.51	84.41	B6.94
2019	646	7.62	5 68	673	6 46	0 00	0 00	0.00	15 47	0.00	68 4	5 79.40	60 92	66.45	68 45	E8.58	105 30	77_95	86.00	88.58
2020	5.52	7.69	5 73	6.78	6 52	0.00	0 00	0.00	15 68	0.00	597	1 80.87	62 05	67 63	69 71	90.22	108.26	79 39	87 59	90 22
2021	6.75	7.97	5.94	7.05	6 60	0.00	0.00	0 00	15 88	0.00	71 1	3 82.57	63.35	69.10	71 18	92 07	110 43	81.02	89.38	92 07
2022	707	8 54	6 2 2	/ 22	6.65	15 05	15.48	15 48	16.08	0.00	70.9	3 82.24	63.10	70 55	72 67	91.66	109 99	80 66	91.21	93 95
2023	7 26	8.57	6 3 9	/ 35	6 85	15.28	15.67	15 57	16 29	0.00	72.3	/ 83.95	64.41	72 02	74 15	93.5Z	112 22	82.30	93.07	95 86
2024	7.51	8 86	6.61	/ 51	7 10	15 48	15.88	15 88	16 50	0.00	73 8	7 8569	65.74	73 51	75 71	95 41	114 49	83.95	94 94	97 79
2025	7.75	914	6.82	7 /5	7.52	15 67	16.05	15 08	10 /2	0.00	75.5	5 8/44	67.09	75 01	77 25	97 31	116.77	85.63	95 84	99.74
2026	/ 55	9.26	5.91	/ 85	7 42	15 88	16.29	16 29	16 94	0.00	76 9	1 89.22	68.45	75.54	78 84	99 24	119.69	87.33	98 76	101 72
2027	804	949	708	804	700	16 05	16.50	10.50	17 10	0.00	/8.4	91.02	69.83	78 08	80 43	101.19	121.45	89.05	100 70	103.72
2028	822	5.76	7.23	8 2 2 R 4 1	7.01	16 29	16.72	16.72	17 55	0.00	80 0	9285	71 24	/9.05	82.04	105.18	123 81	93 80	102.68	105.76
2029	241	10.08	7.40	6 6 6 7	205	16.30	10.94	10 94	17 60	0.00	61.0	05.50	72.00	61.25	03 09	103.19	120 23	92.37	104 68	107.82
2050	0.92	10,40	7.50	0.52	0.05	1072	17.12	1, 10	17.04	0.00	0.5 2	50.00	/4 11	62.07	03.50	107.24	128.05	24 57	108 72	109.92
		OH-Peak Ene	rgy (PJM-AEI	P Gen Hub)			OFF-Peak En	ergy (PJM-AE	P Gen Hub)			Capacity '	Value (PJM-R	O RPM)						
			(5/Mwh)					{\$/t4wh}					(\$/MW-Day)							
	'BASE'		Alternative	Scenarios		BASE		Alternotive	Scenarios		'BASE'		Alternative	Scenarios						
	Fleet	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	Fleet	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	Fleet	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:	FT-CSAPR:					
	Transition:		LOWER	Early	No	Transition:		LOWER	Early	No	Transition		LOWER	Early	No					
	CSAPR	HIGHER Band	Band	Carbon	Carbon	CSAPR	HIGHER Band	Band	Carbon	Carbon	CSAPR	HIGHER Band	Band	Carbon	Carbon					
	Carbon in 2022	Carbon in 2022	Carbon in 2022	Carbon in 2017		Carbon in 2022	Carbon in 2022	Carlion in 2022	Carbon in 2017		Carbon in 202	Carbon in 2022	Carbon lo 2022	Carbon in 2017						
2012	50 57	55.16	47 59	49 73	50.30	30 92	33 66	29.07	30.33	30 27	16.4	3 16.46	16.46	16.46	16.46					
2013	50 14	55.48	44 98	48 59	47 85	30 55	35.01	28 55	30.15	29 97	27.7	27.73	27.73	27.73	27.73 •					
2014	54 24	62.03	49 26	54 28	54 45	33.26	38.64	31 15	32.95	33 34	126 D	125.00	126.00	126.00	126.00					
2015	55.71	65.49	53 60	55.42	56.79	33.89	40.47	32 16	33 73	34 34	215 2	5 215 25	215.25	215.25	215 25					
2016	63.56	71.80	58 75	62.42	63 74	39.57	45.94	36 16	38 65	40 12	281 9	2 281 92	281.92	261.92	281 92					
2017	63.48	71 72	59 20	71 84	64.41	41 57	48.09	38 59	51 00	41.67	235 9	3 19963	230 85	210 98	240.98					
2018	64.18	73 15	60.06	72.73	65 25	42.57	49.48	39 25	52 03	42.70	200 3	9 166 43	179 76	180.39	205 39					
2019	65.44	74 08	60.90	73.21	66 31	43 60	50 18	40 01	52 82	43.47	224 5	7 211 40	185 64	214.57	230 57					
2020	65.33	75 16	60.86	73 82	66 55	44 18	51.40	40.52	53 54	44 35	253.4	253.86	212 57	243.47	261 47					
2021	67.64	77.00	62.38	75.75	67 28	45 76	53 01	41 76	55 14	45 2Z	280.0	5 293.65	238.70	265.05	295 05					
2022	76 79	85.88	72 64	77.34	66 31	55 93	63.44	52.41	56 56	46 22	304.1	3 330.64	264.71	289 18	322 18					
2023	78 33	87 97	74 25	78.43	70.32	56 84	65 25	53 42	57.35	47 67	325.7	3 364.68	288.14	310 73	345 73					
'024	80 34	89 7B	74 99	79.55	71 04	58 85	65.65	54 17	53 69	45.94	344.5	3 391.96	308.40	329.58	364 58					
025	82 18	92.27	76 25	81.48	73 07	60 37	68.79	55 93	60.33	50 72	360 5	405 21	325.58	345.58	380 58					
2026	83 23	93.67	77 73	82 70	73.94	51.05	70.11	56 67	61.28	51.59	373.6	411 25	340.04	358.61	394 61					
2027	84 57	95 54	79.22	84 24	75 28	62 64	72.07	58 15	62.85	53.19	383 5	417 45	350.60	363.50	405 50					
2028	85 25	98 14	80 55	85 25	76.51	64.05	74.03	59.05	64.56	54.40	390 1	423.72	358 23	370 13	413 13					
2029	87 64	100 30	81 53	87 32	77.70	65.66	76.20	60 20	65 80	55.78	392.9	430.07	362.96	372.94	416.94					
2030	89.34	103 70	8278	5K 75	78 95	6/49	/8 57	61 12	56 8Z	56.65	392 1	o 4≾6.27	351 29	372 16	418 16					

\* Represents PJM-RTO (i.e. "western" or "rest-of-market" PJM) Base Residual Auction UCAP clearing prices for those respective XXXX/(XXXX+1) forward PJM Planning Years

13, 2012	Consultant 2	Jelta Reí vs	UI Sen			\$0.15	50.15 20.15	50.19 	50.35	50.54	\$0.55	\$0.57	\$0.59	\$0.61	\$0.50					\$0.87					
1 January No. 28 58 of 77	Oct-11 Consultant 2					S4.14	S4.30	S4.55	S4.78	S5.11	<b>\$5.57</b>	\$6.05	\$6.54	S6.93	S7.46					\$10.29					
Dated Item   Page	Oct-11 Consultant 1		MONTHY			S4.11	\$3.95	\$4.62	S5.03	\$5,08	S5.10	\$5.30	\$5.34	\$5.43	S5.39	S5.66	\$5.83	\$5.87	S6.01	\$6.26	SG.53	\$6.64	\$6.79	S6.97	\$6.89
		Consultant	Kange		\$0.00	S0.91	S1.18	S1.47	S1.16	\$1.24	S1.49	\$2.17	S2.90	\$3.02	\$2.98	\$3.33	\$3.65	\$4.36	\$5.71	S6.41	S3.70	S4.04	\$4.20	S4.33	\$4.56
		÷	Min \$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	S4.38	\$3.67	S3.47	S3.66	\$3.97	54.41	S4.63	S4,45	S4.23	\$4.52	\$4.98	\$5.18	\$5.43	\$5.29	\$4.76	\$4.75	\$4.51	\$4.52	S4.62	\$4.71	\$4.72
		:	Max \$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$4.38	S4.58	S4.65	\$5.13	\$5.13	\$5.65	\$6.12	\$6.62	\$7.13	S7.54	\$7.96	\$8.51	\$9.08	\$9.65	\$10.47	\$11.16	\$8.21	\$8.56	SB.82	\$9.04	\$9.28
	May-11 Consultant 2	High	(016)		\$4.38	\$5.19	\$5.68	\$6,55	\$8.03	S9.43	\$11.22	\$12.35	\$13.52	\$15.00	S16.54	\$17.27	\$17.90	\$19.67	S19.78	S19.73					
	May-11 Consultant 2		Reference		S4.3B	\$4.29	\$4.45	S4.74	\$5.13	\$5.65	\$6.12	\$6.62	\$7.13	S7.54	S7.96	\$8.51	\$9.08	\$9.65	\$10.47	\$11.16					
	May-11 Consultant 2		Lower		S4.3B	\$3.67	\$3.47	\$3.66	S3.97	\$4.41	54.74	\$4.97	\$5.20	S5.44	S5.69	\$5.80	\$6.18	\$6.51	\$6.98	\$7.40					
	Mar-11 Consultant 1_C	Metamorp	nosis		S4.38	\$4.95	S6.20	S7.47	S7.59	\$8.12	\$8.81	\$9.04	\$9.25	\$9,34	\$9.64	\$9.21	\$8.96	\$8.79	S8.60	S8.41	\$8.27	\$8.29	<b>\$8.31</b>	<b>SB.63</b>	\$8.15
	Jun-11 Consultant 1	Giobal	Redesign f		\$4.38	S4.23	\$4.5B	\$5.13	\$5.04	\$5.17	\$5.47	\$5.68	\$5.39	S5.49	\$5.42	\$5.63	\$5.79	S5.7G	<b>\$5.92</b>	<b>\$6.21</b>	\$6.51	\$6.61	\$6.74	\$6,98	\$6.89
	Mar-11 Consultant 1		Vortex		S4.38	\$4.06	\$4,56	\$4.43	S4.78	\$4.96	\$4.63	\$4.45	\$4.23	\$4.52	\$4.98	\$5.18	\$5.43	\$5.29	\$4.76	S4.75	\$4.51	S4.52	\$4,62	S4.71	S4 72
	AEO 2011	I EIA AEO	2011		S4.38	S4.58	\$4.65	\$4.79	\$4.89	\$5.09	\$5.27	S5.41	S5.58	\$5.77	\$6.10	\$6.45	\$6.76	S7.12	S7.53	S7.90	\$8.21	\$8.56	S8.82	S9.04	S9.28
		AEP Fleet Transition (CO <sub>2</sub> II	2017)		\$4.38	\$4.13	\$4.19	\$4.70	\$5.06	\$5.20	S5.41	S5.56	S6.07	\$6.29	S6.45	56.68	\$6.81	S6.99	S7.22	\$7.43	S7.55	S7.71	S7.87	SB.06	S8.16
		AEP (Reference): Fleet	Transition-		S4.38	\$4.13	S4.48	54.94	\$5.38	\$5.52	S5.99	56.13	S6.32	S6.46	S6.52	S6.75	57.07	S7.26	S7.51	S7.75	S7.85	S8.04	S8 22	SB.41	\$8.52
		AEP FT- CSAPR: LOWER	Band		S4.38	\$3.92	\$3.94	\$4.35	S4.73	S4.86	55.27	\$5.39	55.56	S5.68	S5.73	\$5.94	S6.22	S6,39	S6.61	\$6.82	56.91	S7.08	S7 23	S7 40	\$7.50
			History 2006 \$ 6.74 2007 \$ 6.95	2008 \$ 8.85 2009 \$ 3.92	2010 S 4.38	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2020	2030

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests



Filed Workpapers

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Item No. 28 Page 59 of 77

3

Relative Economic (<COST> / SAVINGS) vs. Lowest Cost Case Ranked in order of "Relative 10-Yr IRR" (as well as other objective risk factors)

Relative Payba (Ms.)	ı	0.2	0.5	0.5	5.3	0.7	5.8	3.6	0.8	0.4	5.8	5.3	2.4	
alative 20yr IRR	ı	-0.2%	-0.7%	-0.7%	-1.8%	-0.9%	-1.9%	-1.5%	-1.0%	-0.6%	-2.5%	-1.7%	-1.3%	
Relative 20yr NPV (\$000s) Re	r	(\$8,255)	(\$52,307)	(\$44,669)	(\$182,078)	(\$51,397)	(\$183,767)	(\$102,801)	(\$5,199)	\$31,016	(\$151,536)	(\$49,772)	(\$8,001)	
Relative 10vr IRR	  1	-0.7%	-1-4%	-3.7%	-4.0%	4.3%	4.5%	-5.4%	-7.4%	-7.6%	-10.8%	-11.7%	-11.7%	3d *
Relative 10yr NPV (\$000s)	ł	(\$1,661)	(\$54,330)	(\$48,371)	(\$218,049)	(\$49,352)	(\$213,530)	(\$105,270)	\$38,234	\$85,581	(\$137,733)	(\$10,791)	\$39,289	not initially screene
DESCRIPTION	BS2 FGD Case 23 NID EST-3B [4.5 lb/Mmbtu]	BS2 FGD Case 21 NID Base EST-1B [3.0 lb/Mmbtu]	BS2 FGD Case 7 Dry Base EST-1B [3.0 lb/Mmbtu]	BS2 FGD Case 19 NID EST-3A [4.5 lb/Mmbtu]	BS2 FGD Case 1 Wet Base EST-1A [4.5 lb/Mmbtu]	BS2 FGD Case 17 NID Base EST-1A [3.0 lb/Mmbtu]	BS2 FGD Case 3 Wet EST-3A [3.0 lb/Mmbtu]	BS2 FGD Case 5 Dry Base EST-1A [3.0 lb/Mmbtu]	BS2 FGD Case 8 Dry Est-2B [1.7 lb/Mmbtu]	BS2 FGD Case 22 NID EST-2B [1.7 lb/Mmbtu]	BS2 FGD Case 2 Wet EST-2A [1.7 lb/Mmbtu]	BS2 FGD Case 6 Dry EST-2A [1.7 lb/Mmbtu]	BS2 FGD Case 18 NID EST-2A [1.7 lb/Mmbtu]	BS2 FGD Case 28 Dry CDS w/FF [4.5 lb/Mmbtu]
CASE#	Case 23	Case 21	Case 7	Case 19	Case 1	Case 17	Case 3	Case 5	Case 8	Case 22	Case 2	Case 6	Case 18	Case 28
	7	2	ო	4	S	9	7	00	0	10	11	12	13	14

SC Case No. 2011-00401	JC's First Set of Data Requests	ted January 13, 2012	n No. 28	ge 60 of 77
KPSC	KIUC!	Dated	Item N	Page (

Preliminary

# Kentucky Power Company Big Sandy 2 Technology/Fuel Screening Analysis

Strategist-Based Screening of "Best of Technology-Types"

BS1 FGD <sup>(1)</sup>	BS1 FGD BS2 FGD		1- 407 MW CC,	<b>BS1 FGD</b> \$7,545,951 <u>\$141,015</u> \$7,404,936	(\$67,920) <u>\$37,515</u> (\$30,404)
CASE 1 "BEST" Wet Tech w/ 4.5#	BS2 FGD (1)	BS1 Retirement 1- 407 MW CC,	1- 407 MW CC.	CASE 1 \$7,632,485 <u>\$95,742</u> \$7,536,743	(\$154,454) (\$7.757) (\$162,211)
Case 28 "BEST" Dry CDS-FF Tech w/ 4.5#	BS2 FGD (1)	BS1 Retirement 1- 407 MW CC,	1- 407 MW CC,	Case 28 \$7,576,725 <u>\$100.679</u> \$7,476,046	(\$98,693) (\$2,821) (\$101,514)
CASE 5 "BEST" Dry SDA-FF Tech w/ 3.0#	BS2 FGD (5)	BS1 Retirement 1- 407 MW CC,	1- 407 MW CC,	<b>CASE 5</b> \$7,589,736 <u>\$102,794</u> \$7,486,942	(\$111,705) ( <u>\$705)</u> (\$112,410)
CASE 23 "BEST" Dry NID Tech w/ 4.5#	BS2 FGD (23)	BS1 Retirement 1- 407 MW CC,	1- 407 MW CC,	ssts (\$000): CASE 23 \$7,478,031 \$7,374,532 \$7,374,532	
	2015 2015 2016	2017 2018 2019 2019	2025 2025 2026 2027 2027	2040 Cumulative Present Worth (CPW) of Cc (2011-2040) CPW Less: ICAP Revenue Total	Savings/(Cost) vs. Case 23 (\$000) CPW Less: ICAP Revenue Total

Note: (1) "Big Sandy 1 FGD" does <u>NOT</u> include estimates for required SCR as well as CCR-related costs Ex SCW-3A (Strategist FGD Tech-Fuel 'Family' Screening Results Summary).xls Expansion Plan Summary KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 61 of 77

DRAF

				ICAP	Vatce	Shurrant	255	202	6	3 2				671 T	h	1147	1.012	10272	2,161	2,348	2,501	2.641	2,767	2,605	2,841	2,875	0167	0107	1011	1000	544.6				1075	J. 24U				
					Surptur	1072		•	- f	3 1	::	4 7	5 5	a :	3 :	5	3 :	Ş	ę	8	5	F	5	52	8	8	8	31	2	17	1	2	5 2		21	Ŗ				
							0102	1102				507		1			22	2021	2022	1202	202	2022	2026	2027	2028	6707		ā i	101		2002	2000	CAUL .		807	2022				
				Capital	Expendition	Į.	0	0	•			136.623	100.001	117,101	+97'631	102,401	974 774	204,955	210,426	216,044	221,616	127,741	211,154	318,487	225,963	333,626	141,400	200,040	and and	100,000					400,614	243,124				
7					20	(2)	190,052	169,424	100		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Day'ory't	1267/001	2104,070	2410,192	27.69,520	3,112,672	146,612,6	3,702,633	3,973,003	4,229,289	4,471,603	4,033,741	4,913,274	5,116,446	5.310,037	5,493,192	0,640,070		CED'000'0		100 100 100 10	0,020,010		6,631,664	6CF 187'9				
s Include				Grand	[ci]	(Y)-(2)-(Y)	150,052	154,670	277.546	10.02		110,000	100,000	700'024	614,643	106,060	104,017	747,705	162,167	764,009	517,675	103,034	059,303	873,556	002.931	934,883	V60,400	951.235		100,000			2007.00V		105.741	1,710,057		6.781,433	203 613	
fled Cost				falue of	5	8	0	0	-	3	5	5		164.0		51	110.5	111.7	4,B55	4,335	2,702	1,454	56,383	106'15	57,726	55,803	22,687	25,031	500130	00070			007'04		(X6.44	192'02		141,015	0 141 145	
ith CCR Rel:				Grand	1991	()+(+i)-(r)	150,052	194,670	277,345	010.975	100'100	409,016	200,010	676,128	618,637	CES, 884	222,046	752,416	786,617	728,345	620.467	842,071	916,601	031,465	900,707	1651, 765	1,016,182	1,016,269	Citrana's	1, 100, 144		C 10'071'1	757 041 1		1,192,943	\$er0'019'1		6,032,454	101 101	
iY alion Y D Case 22 w		Market	Value of	Allowances	Consumed	(ł)	7,502	5,884	105'27	100,21	20.00	10100	100000	767'971	0D2,211	166,312	7/2'661	162,231	127,451	165,491	192,750	202,522	211,150	227,005	225,442	219,762	100,722	244,657		276,167			465°167		269,296	209,633		1,522.522		
ER COMPAN arce Optimiz ons Summar , Path A, FG				Tetal	Cost	01+(0)=(0)	182,549	160,555	279.242	222,022	100.001	121.182	240,024	441,205	542,929	490,672	525,572	\$57,525	613,336	CO2,653	C27,717	639,543	705,551	704,482	735,265	772,024	789,145	12,212	100000	115/2/0		100 100	100 000		873,348	1.540,542,1		5,409,532		
TUCKY POW apacity Resol s and Emissi addity Pricing	(0005		15		Tetal	(G)=(E)•(F)	D	0	0	51		218,025	Dan'ner	Dit inst	729,052	206,092	201,050	315,936	321,870	225,128	342,305	356.261	459,578	475,602	485,159	102,794	514,473	525,630	100'7*0				C1 4/602		675,783	1,232,460		2,662,722	C13 457	0.2,012,0
KECo C KPCo C Cosi	Victimus 120		ste Rate Impac	laterer of the	100	E	D	0	c		2	212,05	20,011	219,615	38,553	101,055	107,424	110,631	111,444	119,084	120,430	128,520	148,334	216,721	162,195	163,631	172,003	179,305	000,001	14.001	100,000	101,101	D34'C07		213,917	510,789		640,703		
Reference i	iptimal Plan C		0	Carrying	Charges	(1)	D	0			5	137,721	000,001	153,274	183,384	101,037	153,625	704,655	210,426	216,044	221,016	227,745	111,194	316,457	325,543	333,626	341,460	349,533	101,100	957,920	*****	100,000		101 Y 111	211, 255	421,652		1,722,019		
y 152 Retrofil,	U			Fuch	<b>Fransactions</b>	(D)=(Y)+(B)+(C)	162,549	168,566	212,212	248,923	icr'ng-	203,635	9CF'/17	134,147	214,677	232,780	218,622	241.163	231,465	207,725	285,411	283,228	245,983	229,650	247,105	274,517	274,672	745,692	101,101	202,010		240,207	102,002	004'000	237,565	338,332		2,746,810		
Big Sand				Lasket	Revenue/(Cost)	0	20,673	79,638	(5,023	600'50	121'00	100,208	110,310	153,718	E1C,921	1629,5231	179,542	155,689	162,57	1<0,034	117,055	143,320	248,455	246,322	101,084	254,353	161,031	214,707	117,150	200,002			012°670	101,101	320,346	315,020		1,505,333		
				Centraet	Berenue	(8)	(055/21)	(205)12)	(123,827)	(101-101)	(1777) · · · · · ·	(450,455)	(46.510)	(315)	(10°-01)	(463,651)	(513)(542)	(55,472)	(70,115)	103,037	(69,756)	(100/12)	(629,623)	(20,635)	(00,035)	(51,030)	(320,945)	(052'15)	614-012-02	102/102	(ana)aa	In The		1211 121	163.1651	(022/02)		(115,212)		
				Fuel	Cost	£	206,672	247,622	243,457	276,558	101, 101	245,710	197.154	201,551	255,709	769,025	E22, MCC	201,105	256,332	326,151	222,522	712,555	434,935	200,001	468,454	167,731	617,975	516,164	100,010	010.413	500,020	0.4.0	109.625	015,040	553,602	557,845		3,770,685		0+07-11
						Annual Costs	1102	2362	2013	102	710.2	2016	2012	2010	6102	2020	2021	2022	202	2024	1202	2025	2027	2029	6202	2020	1002	2002	55D.	100		5005	2037	D2 77	2010	0102	011 Het Present Value	Period of 2011-20-20	Base Case 02M 2011-2040	TO BEER WEEKLASSEL TOOL AND

Ex SCX:3A (Sustep:1FGD Tech-Fuel Famil/ Sureenay Results Surmary) Als BS1 FGD





DRAF



CCs & PCs

122





KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 66 of 77

Resource Flansing Created on: January 28, 2011

KDSC Case No. 2011 00101	KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012	ltem No. 28	Dada 67 of 77	raye or urr																																
					de la	2DAVADA	929	388	5	35	450	175	1,174	102":	114.1	2.001	2.181.5	670,5	2,501	1232	197.5	Tar.	227	2,910	2,945	2,560	3,016	3,052	2003							
					Press of	aurpus 1021	0	0	с; л.	8 F	3 E) 5 6)	69	G		88		5	163	5 176	5 2 2 2	33	2 8	13	1 116	2 10	8	81	20	35	;;	1 =	20				
							Ř	Ř	23	ŝź	102	2011	22	in a	100	202	ŝ	202	202	č,	202	202	102	6	202	a i	31	1	5 F		1¢	1 de				
				1	Capital	Thereases	0	G	0 0		126,002	\$37,463	141,054	246,358	120,202	263,616	263,928	275,191	282,611	269,150	200,044 A		217, 192	324,635	332,256	340,063	342,108	111111	101,400	034-646	CFL 500	400,655				
					u 11-12-	4 13	250,022	53,424	N 211	21110	11150	621,62	50,432		179'00	20.603	E07,E5	99,284	20,051	CH1.10		1000	0720	101,23	34,201	92°20	7,174	10.2	214.2	100	1010	3446				
	pa			1	p. 1	0015	1 250	029	99		1	A85 1,7	199 2.0	22 22 22 22	77 85	21 210	9:0 3.7	9.E EVE.	526 4,2	51 683		10	767 5,3	218 5.5	1,520 5,7	1.A33 5.6	0'0 004'0	210 0.2	0.144		2.7 0201			5,445 197	招	
	sts Includ			1	202	19	0	0 61	0 21		223 45	356 584	776 508	ចំដ ខ្ល	22 029	629 706	454 786	243 E05	100 500		151 601	101 101	000 000	530 bec	415 1.60	CO1 000	10,1 504					100		784 5,87	BELL FELL	
	slated Co:			. Martine and a second	n a late	eeee	625	019	200	F04	674 3.	242 3,	974 3.	41 C 20	1 1	654 30	394	CZ 155	ផ្ល		16	000	202	246 17	2.016 16		24 1022		1014	10	12	1997		101 61215 107		
	th CCR R		et.	1	5	1 42	190	78	5 P 7 0	19	3	26 558	5	35	20	25 766	518 34	15 632	631	22	10	276 57	016 UI	55 51	19] 16]	5.		29 8 6	12		511 5	1 1 1 1 1 1		62 6,97 613	120	
	ANY Jizalion Tary Case 6 wi		NSC 1	Value Affection	Century	6	20572	5.68	12,5% 13,7%	10.12	20,05	16.0.031	5 191	11011	161.72	179.50	162,67	178,01	176.60	100	100 001	188.61	152.73	10,461	202,10	10.101	17761 17761		02.812	210.0	22.622	122.34		1,366.3		
	ER COMP. Irce Oplin ons Summ			Telef		(H)=(D)+(G)	102,549	188.955	142,422	260,767	010,404	428,215	111111	50,000	560.964	607,269	615,316	654,542	ES0,053	COL/202	2123	759,086	206, 125	604,720	81.018	102,000	1410-000	112 175	525.275	865.956	872,038	1,463,142		5,579,657		
AF	JCKY POW acity Resot and Emissi ricing, Pat	(00			Teta	<u>-</u>	0				CQ2'C03	52/12	01.5	1015	12673	50,342	85,135	199,652	128,820	20002	11,284	51,671	191,12	74,520	69,250	514/0A	100,00	11 665	102.04	54,109	109'29	037,672		765,096 A3 447	19.194	
DR	KPCo Cap Costs : mmodity F	03) Victuation		ate Impacts mental		€ e	<b>a</b>	~ ~	5 13			12	5		010	524	E E	192		12	100	200	226	593	ş	1 1	12	18	122	650	262	017 1.		769	'e	
	- Prime Ca	Plan Cost 5		HINGER INCO		1-					22	8	32	: = : =	2	15 110	231 232	5		32	12	171	5	원 달 :	3 9 8 9			20	12	171 53	22 120	22 C37		926 926		
	ระเอาอร	Optimal			Chen	10	0	00			125.0		0,141		257,0	3(53)5	5(6)2	276,1	9797	19505	322,0	5,000	1.71E	974,6	2700	1.61	1355	2.192	525	392.2	155	3007		1,845,		
	g Sandy 2, I			Fuel 2	Transaction	(D)=(A)-(B)-	102,540	220,227	240.922	200,757	220,623	107 102	500,001	191.447	162,002	226.948	272,183	Z54,990	705°C/7	202,562	209-925	307,416	178,850	002,026	170'tuc	CAN LEAD	370 623	162,481	379,714	107 852	404,434	425,470		2,613,763		
	Big			Matket	Reconstitionst	(c)	25,673	9E9'av	62,0,23	121,23	52.02	91,410	126,021	100,260	105,709	170,895	103,019	149,659	102,024	132,875	156,127	C12,0C1	103,028	135,554	607'101	CV2 101	125.667	129,129	526,621	126,795	P22'EE1	126,348		1,250,729		
				Contract	Beregue	(8)	55971	(200727)	122,023	(42,680)	(42,6081	(Enc. 12)	1 22 2	(074-04)	(67.76)	(510,63)	61,516	1927,141	(275,275)	(6.4° 1.25)	104,0551	(02:2:20)	(55 128)	(101/22)	120100	171 0750	16.14	(75,700)	112,271	(78.195)	1207321	(172,03)		(DEC 105)		
				Fact	Cost	£	200,672	230.622	276.558	203,795	260,672	274.070	017.759	672,825	E12,72C	333.605	318,685	100,040	265 245	822,250	331,131	37B, tG3	17/030	120.123	204 205	417.144	191,162	434,503	120,025	125,034	102,205	471,247		C11,055,6	0707	
		I				Annual Costs	102	202	2014	2015	2016	alor.	6107	2020	1207	2252	2023	\$707	100	1202	2028	2020	0707	15.02	100	HCC2	202	2602	102	fico:	0102	20:02	2011 Net Present Value	Period of 7011-2040 1 Base Case OSM 2011-2040	Uidly Cost Present Value 2011	



KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 69 of 77



		Option #1	Option #2	Option #3	Option #4B			
	Cumul. Distribution Percentile	BS2 Retrofit	NGCC Replacement	BS1 CC- Repower	Market Repl to 2025	Delta Retrofit -	<i>Delta</i> Retrofit -	Delta Retrofit -
CPW (\$000)	50	6,907,015	7,492,590	7,433,656	7,469,125	(585,575)	(526,641) -7.6%	(562,110)
	95	7,722,158	8,666,036	8,508,691	8,647,851	(943,877) -12.2%	(786,532) -10.2%	(925,693) <i>-12.0%</i>
Relative Rank:	CPW	i	4	2	3			
DD-D (\$000)								
ккак (\$000)	95th vs. 50th	815,143	1,173,446	1,075,034	1,178,726	(358,303) <i>-44.0%</i>	(259,891) <i>-31.9%</i>	(363,583) -44.6%
Relative Rank.	RRaR	1	3	2	4			

Simulated Outcomes Big Sandy 2 Retrofit (Option #1)								
K. Disk Franker	All Outcomes	Vear						
Key Risk Factor	Mean	Mean	Difference	%Diff	1041			
Coal prices (nominal S/MMBtu)	2.59	3.03	0.43	16.7%	2020			
Natural Gas Prices (nominal S/MMBtu)	8.62	10.22	1.59	18.5%	2025			
Power Prices (nominal \$/Mwh - All Hrs)	54.06	67.38	13.32	24.6%	2020			
CO2 Emission Price/Tax (\$/Tonne)	13.97	17.23	3.26	23.3%	2022			
Load (Gwh)	9,208	11,284	2,076	22.5%	2020			
FOM, Constr Costs / MW	4.99	5.44	0.45	9.0%	2025			

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 70 of 77



## 

		Option #1	Option #2	Option #3	Option #4			
CPW (\$000)	Cumul. Distribution Percentile	BS2 Retrofit	NGCC Replacement	BS1 Repower	BS 1 2 Retirement	<i>Delta</i> Retrofit - NGCC	<i>Delta</i> Retrofit - Repower	<i>Delta</i> Repower - NGCC
	50	6,907,015	7,492,590	7,433,656	7,469,125	(585,575) -8.5%	(526,641) -7.6%	(58,934) -0.8%
	68	7,051,550	7,720,241	7,603,446	7,718,014	(668,691) <i>-9.5%</i>	(551,896) -7.8%	(116,795) <i>-1.5%</i>
	95	7,722,158	8,666,036	8,508,691	8,647,851	(943,877) <i>-12.2%</i>	(786,532) -10.2%	(157,345) -1.8%
Relative Rank.	CPW	1	Ą.	2	3			
RRaR (\$000)								
+1.0 S.D.	68th vs. 50th	144,535	227,651	169,790	248,889	(83,116) -57.5%	(25,254) -17.5%	(57,861) <i>-34.1%</i>
+2.0 S.D.	95th vs. 50th	815,143	1,173,446	1,075,034	1,178,726	(358,303) <i>-44.0%</i>	(259,891) -31.9%	(98,411) -9.2%
Relative Rank:	RRaR	1	3	2	d <u>.</u>			

1	Sir	nulated outcomes -	Market Plan		
Kan Diele Franken	All Outcomes	RRaR-	Voor		
Key Risk Factor	Mean	Mean	Difference	%Diff	Tear
Coal prices	2.59	3.03	0.43	16.7%	2020
Natural Gas Prices	8.62	10.22	1.59	18.5%	2025
Power Prices	54.06	67.38	13.32	24.6%	2020
CO2 Emission Price/Ta	13.97	17.23	3.26	23.3%	2022
Load	9,208	11,284	2,076	22.5%	2020
FOM, Constr Costs / MV	4.99	5.44	0.45	9.0%	2035

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 71 of 77



CC ReplaBS 12 Retirement

0





KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 72 of 77

-	
Bin	Frequency
5,955,414	0
6,300,600	1
6,645,785	6
6,990,971	9
7,336,157	19
7,681,342	31
8,026,528	15
8,371,714	10
8,716,900	4
9,062,085	4
9,407,271	1
More	0

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 73 of 77 5,955,414 9,407,271

Min max

Risk Iteratic B	S1 Repower	BS2 Retrofit	NGCC Replac	BS 1 2 Retirement
1	6,156,864	5,955,414	6,179,873	6,125,168
2	6,400,740	6,126,525	6,367,733	6,318,149
3	6,407,011	6,138,910	6,428,533	6,362,318
4	6.525.033	6.238.722	6.587.683	6.495.187
5	6 606 511	6 277 660	6 618 075	6 556 595
6	6 640 185	6 289 590	6 663 753	6 630 983
7	6 680 681	6 338 066	6 699 442	6 631 584
1	0,000,001	6 2 4 9 2 2 6	6 712 506	6,001,004
0	0,090,931	0,340,230	6 707 104	0,000,030 6 680 777
9	0,704,292	0,370,570	0,727,194	0,000,777
10	6,744,282	6,379,051	6,733,432	0,097,100
11	6,779,057	6,416,669	6,792,944	6,755,735
12	6,808,668	6,433,221	6,838,044	6,797,702
13	6,850,711	6,468,217	6,913,406	6,844,447
14	6,864,180	6,477,849	6,918,265	6,872,909
15	6,867,778	6,489,518	6,922,716	6,883,505
16	6,871,439	6,500,350	6,929,690	6,913,345
17	7,041,537	6,569,547	7,051,236	7,019,035
18	7,041,695	6,591,125	7,069,746	7,038,542
19	7.043.956	6,602,533	7.077.237	7,051,749
20	7 046 948	6 6 15 0 14	7,105,705	7.069.737
21	7 048 162	6 628 853	7 107 158	7 075 095
27	7,040,102	6 640 443	7 135 057	7,070,000
22	7,000,000	6 651 368	7 162 725	7 128 721
23	7,003,229	0,051,500	7,102,723	7 151 207
24	7,069,700	0,009,009	7,107,000	7,101,007
25	7,153,773	0,097,881	7,260,483	7,220,430
26	7,190,597	6,743,028	7,260,987	7,233,319
27	7,201,726	6,745,598	7,269,419	7,236,569
28	7,202,855	6,752,590	7,290,907	7,255,885
29	7,207,909	6,757,035	7,294,244	7,259,266
30	7,216,858	6,765,485	7,294,900	7,264,941
31	7,219,564	6,770,187	7,312,802	7,268,804
32	7,254,320	6,777,351	7,332,749	7,281,323
33	7,260,537	6,786,621	7,338,850	7,311,524
34	7,265,757	6,788,967	7,346,834	7,323,630
35	7,266,871	6,791,940	7,358,616	7,324,204
36	7.273.163	6,794,923	7.377.373	7.342.264
37	7 281 593	6,816,987	7.378.999	7.345.172
38	7 283 372	6 828 229	7 379 150	7.351.538
39	7 314 671	6 835 656	7 385 865	7 355 849
40	7 327 827	6 841 740	7 401 886	7 361 046
-40	7 226 848	6 850 051	7 407 000	7 367 036
41	7,000,040	6 951 271	7,407,555	7,307,030
42	7,343,001	6 956 100	7,410,014	7,302,001
43	7,350,003	0,000,109	7,410,904	7,00,190
44	7,369,496	0,004,425	7,442,002	7,409,100
45	7,369,658	0,809,695	7,449,798	7,427,201
46	7,383,879	6,880,161	7,475,064	7,433,731
47	7,391,727	6,882,467	7,482,030	7,437,714
48	7,409,637	6,891,684	7,484,516	7,462,866
49	7,429,353	6,903,046	7,484,539	7,463,243
50	7,433,656	6,907,015	7,492,590	7,469,125
51	7,439,916	6,909,004	7,498,834	7,475,448
52	7,444,402	6,918,171	7,536,120	7,479,340
53	7,459,406	6,924,581	7,536,479	7,510,074
54	7,459.653	6,929.764	7,554.994	7,516,660
55	7,469,667	6,949,606	7.562.647	7,528,518
56	7 469 729	6,959,445	7,566,336	7.532.633
57	7 470 201	6 973 279	7 582 433	7 560 747
52	7 472 802	6 976 212	7 596 921	7 564 729
50	7 12,002	6 070 0/1	7 507 120	7 584 163
29	7 404 261	6 094 250	7 612 925	7 584 440
60	7,494,201	0,904,309	7,012,020	7 504,442
61	1,501,203	0,907,101	7,010,701	1,094,000

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 74 of 77

62	7,514,592	6,996,505	7,619,326	7,598,452
63	7,535,659	7,010,406	7,637,312	7,599,755
64	7,547,660	7,012,661	7,641,972	7,611,724
65	7,552,973	7,026,709	7,656,616	7,615,255
66	7,556,832	7,038,962	7,677,158	7,637,759
67	7,603,201	7,044,548	7,689,966	7,697,314
68	7,603,446	7,051,550	7,720,241	7,718,014
69	7,628,801	7,075,665	7,751,044	7,724,889
70	7,653,658	7,102,068	7,755,841	7,731,858
71	7,676,444	7,110,356	7,760,696	7,738,561
72	7,696,211	7,139,442	7,788,918	7,755,262
73	7,708,858	7,188,200	7,853,651	7,852,981
74	7,725,121	7,190,097	7,862,650	7,861,575
75	7,733,110	7,190,842	7,882,630	7,862,638
76	7,762,711	7,202,705	7,900,240	7,876,755
77	7,782,683	7,205,480	7,906,227	7,885,153
78	7,805,250	7,207,599	7,907,271	7,885,616
79	7,820,739	7,208,465	7,911,507	7,907,569
80	7,864,631	7,238,027	7,956,226	7,932,595
81	7,906,588	7,276,147	8,004,716	7,970,918
82	7,915,645	7,295,896	8,067,996	8,058,480
83	8,004,239	7,358,710	8,201,248	8,175,053
84	8,031,461	7,412,707	8,216,973	8,228,303
85	8,064,299	7,429,219	8,251,058	8,237,890
86	8,111,549	7,472,982	8,254,162	8,240,193
87	8,137,140	7,481,480	8,270,115	8,240,222
88	8,154,080	7,496,785	8,281,931	8,270,074
89	8,159,196	7,541,843	8,292,797	8,299,512
90	8,164,899	7,543,772	8,320,844	8,299,722
91	8,223,897	7,548,510	8,330,129	8,301,316
92	8,238,317	7,555,993	8,414,448	8,413,866
93	8,344,479	7,620,217	8,518,933	8,538,579
94	8,472,426	7,716,211	8,627,551	8,638,597
95	8,508,691	7,722,158	8,666,036	8,647,851
96	8,508,781	7,825,515	8,764,219	8,755,017
97	8,685,239	7,916,821	8,874,044	8,845,978
98	8,728,888	7,938,995	8,894,892	8,892,371
99	8,729,088	7,951,560	8,941,865	8,936,579
100	9,157,780	8,320,094	9,336,663	9,407,271

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 75 of 77

Bin 10 5,955,414 6300599.58 6645785.29 6990971.01 7336156.73 7681342.44 8026528.16 8371713.88 8716899.6 9062085.31 9407271.03
KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 76 of 77

#### Kentucky Power Annual Investment Carrying Charges For Economic Analyses As of 12/31/2010

	Investn	nent Life (	Years)
	15	20	30
Return (WACC) (1)	8.58	8.58	8.58
Depreciation (2)	4.51	3.01	1.67
FIT (3) (4)	1.70	1.77	1.40
Property Taxes, General & Admin Expenses	1.78	1.78	1.78
	16.57	15.14	13.43

(1) Based on a 100% (as of 12/31/2010) and 0% incremental weighting of capital costs

(2) Sinking Fund annuity with R1 Dispersion of Retirements

(3) Assuming MACRS Tax Depreciation

(4) @ 35% Federal Income Tax Rate

Total Thermal Oniv							823.2																	
Total	19.0	476.3	1.027.7	2,332.5	1,108.0	1,320.2	911.0	47.0	131.0	0.0	170.7	181.0	0.0	0.0	75.7	536.0	500.1	796.0	577.8	1,062.8	785.5	417.3	667.9	12 568 6
Wind	5	00.1	141.4	75.2	75.1	245.7	86.2								0.0			16.5		8.7	56.8	39.6	17.4	811 2 2
Solar				1.1		9.5	1.5								0.0								0.0	10.1
Nuclear							0.0								0.0	92.0	38.4	197.4	160.3	292.1	126.0	47.0	136.2	953.2
Steam		53.0	1	704.8	621.3	25.0	200.6	47.0	131.0			101.0			39.9	235.6	196.0	61.4	89.2	186.8	193.0	215.0	168.1	3 100 5
Hydro	0.3						0.0								0.0	80.0	105.5	162.5	48.0				56.6	396.3
Diesel	18.7 27.0	23.8	23.0		7.8	6.0	15.2				10.7				1.5	13.9	18.0			57.4	14.2	0.3	14.8	237.5
Combined Cycle			580.0	1,135.0		705.0	345.7								0.0		34.0	206.0	163.0	148.6	164.3	59.0	110.7	3.540.6
CT/GT		399.5	283.3	416.4	403.8	329.0	261.7				160.0	80.0			34.3	114.5	108.2	152.2	117.3	369.2	231.2	56.4	164.1	3.517.0
Delivery Year	2007/2008 2008/2009	2009/2010	2010/2011	2011/2012	2012/2013	2013/2014	Average-Annual	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	2010/2011	2011/2012	2012/2013	2013/2014	Average-Annual	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	2010/2011	2011/2012	2012/2013	2013/2014	Average-Annual	
(MM)		New	Capacity	Units (ICAP	(VVIM				Capacity	from	Reactivated	Units (ICAP	MW)				Uprates to	Existing	Capacity	Resources	(ICAP MW)			Total

Source: http://www.pjm.com/markets-and-operations/rpm/~/media/markets-ops/rpm/rpm-auction-info/2013-2014-base-residual-auction-report.ashx PJM DOCS #592585

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Page 77 of 77

ICAP Additions Reflected in PJW BRAs

PJM new-build capacity offered to RPM (2007-2013).xls PJM BRA Capacity Add

Filed Workpapers

**Big Sandy Generating Unit Disposition Analysis** Life-Cycle (30-Year, 2011-2040) Economics Kentucky Power Co. SUMMARY

COMPARATIVE Cumulative Present Worth (CPW) of Relative KPCo "G" Revenue Requirements (2011 \$) (COST / <SAVINGS> .... vs. Option #1-'BASE')

KPCo Unit	UNIT DISPOSITION ALTERNATIVES       BASE       BASE     Coption #2 construction #2 construction #3 construction #4 construction #5 construction
Big Sandy 2	Retrofit (2015) <sup>(M)</sup> Retrofit (2015)     Retire (2015)     Retire (2015)       (D-FGD/CCR)     Replace w/ ~800-MW CC     Replace w/ 8S1 Repower
Big Sandy 1	Retire (2019)         Retire (2019)         Retire (2019)         Repower as CC (2015)           Replace w/ CC in 2019         Replace w/ CC in 2019         Replace w/ CC in 2019         W/ Additional CC in 2020

Commodi	ity Pricing Scenario				and the second	a a state i de la seconda de la seconda La seconda de la seconda de
	のために知道に知道になる。		SMIIIons Welling and			
				69	81	6
CO2 Sensitivity	Jan 11 Forecast	FAIL A ( NO COL FUILY )				
	100 A			(115)	30	(269)
HIGH-Side Sensitivity	214	Path "B" (All Retire/Retroit @1/2010)				(196)
		Dath "A"	195	(36)	50	(oci)
BASE (URIG)		rau A			(479)	(442)
RASF (REV)	Mar '11 Forecast <sup>(c)</sup>	Path "A" ("Fleet Transition")		(040)		
	i.		いたる時間の時間を見てたためにはずまたという	(498)	(369)	(71.0)
LOW-Side Sensitivity	\$	Path "A" ("Lower Band")		1000		

% (2016-2040)

Path "A" ("Fleet Transition")	Path "A" 3.1% -1.5% 0.4% -2.2%	Path "B" (All Retire/Retrofit @1/2016)
	ath "A" ("Fleet Transition")	ath "B" (All Retire/Retrofit @1/2016)
	Mar '11 Forecast '	Mar '11 Forecast <sup>(c)</sup>
	BASE (REV) OW-Side Sensitivity	IIGH-Side Sensitivity BASE (ORIG) BASE (REV) OW-Side Sensitivity

No. 28 1 of 25 (9.1) (12.6) (3.5) (7.6) (10.2) (10.2) Path "A" ("Fleet Transition") Path "A" ("Lower Band") Path "A" Mar '11 Forecast <sup>(c)</sup> > LOW-Side Sensitivity BASE (ORIG) BASE (REV)

<sup>(A)</sup> For purpose of addressing future environmental-driven recovery risk, "Retrofit" option recovery period was accelerated to <u>10 Years</u>; recovery penod for CC options remain at 30 Years

<sup>(B)</sup> (Modified) "H2-10" AEP Fundamentals L/T commodity pricing forecast

(c) Updated "H2-10" AEP Fundamentals commodily pricing forecast to reflect emerging shale gas impacts

Add'l Notes

o "Retirement" options exclude costs associated w/ socio-economic impacts to the region

o "G" Revenue Requirements established on a KPCo "stand-alone" (vs. AEP Pool) basis and is reflective of a 'cost-optimized' resource plan necessary to achieve PJM minimum reserve margin criterion (summer peak)... Such costs inclusive of: 1) <u>All</u> KPCo (company-dispatched) Fuel, VOM and Emission Costs (inci. CO2); 2) on-going plant FOM and Capital (carrying charges); and 3) FOM and Capital (carrying charges) on *incremental* investments (e.g. environmental retrofits and/or new-build or repowered NG-CCs)

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012

Big Sandy Generating Unit Disposition Analysis Kentucky Power Co. SUMMARY

Life-Cycle (30-Year, 2011-2040) Economics

COMPARATIVE Cumulative Present Worth (CPW) of Relative KPCo "G" Revenue Requirements (2011 \$) UNIT DISPOSITION ALTERNAT (COST / <SAVINGS> .... vs. Option #1-'BASE')

C Replace w/ BS1 Repower Repower as CC (2015) w/ Additional CC in 2020	9 (269) (136) (442) (6(17) (6(17) (330)
Simulation         Retire (2015)           then CC         Replace w/ ~800-MW C           then CC         Replace w/ ~2019)           3)         Retire (2019)           1 2019         Replace w/ CC in 201	81 30 26 (172) (172) (152)
ofit (2015) Retire (2014 cGD/CCR) Repl w/Mt to 2020. ofit (2015) Replace w/ CC ti FGD/CCR)	lions (115) 195 (115) 195 (296) (198)
Option #1 Op Retrofit (2015) <sup>[A]</sup> Retr (D-FGD/CCR) (D-F Retire (2019) Retr Replace w/ CC in 2019 (D-1	CO2 Policy'i) contraction (CO2 Policy'i) et Transition'') ver Band'')
<u>KPCo Unit</u> Big Sandy 2 Big Sandy 1	Commodity Pricing Scenario Path "A" ('No.0 Commodity Pricing Scenario Commod

<sup>(A)</sup> For purpose of addressing future environmental-driven recovery risk, "Retrofit" option recovery period was accelerated to <u>10 Years</u>; recovery period for CC options remain at 30 Years

BASE (REV)

 $^{(8)}$  (Modified) "H2-10" AEP Fundamentals L/T commodity pricing forecast

<sup>(c)</sup> Updated "H2-10" AEP Fundamentals commodity pricing forecast to reflect emerging shale gas impacts

o "G" Revenue Requirements established on a KPCo "stand-alone" (vs. AEP Pool) basis and is reflective of a 'cost-optimized' resource plan necessary to achieve PJM minimum reserve margin criterion (summer peak)... Such 1) All KPCo (company-dispatched) Fuel, VOM and Emission Costs (incl. CO2); 2) on-going plant FOM and Capital (carrying charges); and 3) FOM and Capital (carrying charges) on incremental investments (e.g. environmental retrofits and/or new-build or repowered NG-CCs) Add'l Notes

Option #4 Option #3 **Option #2** 

Option #5

BASE

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated ganuary 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachiment 1 Page gof 25

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 3 of 25



KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY Projected Summer Peak Demands, Generating Capabilities, and Margins (UCAP) Based on (March 2011) Load Forecast; WPCo Remains w/ OPCo (2007/2008 - 2030/2031)

		(00)		=(18)-(10)		(MM) uo	Net Position   w/ New	Capacity		4	(40)	(12)	(54)	(18)	5	0	11	9	(251)	(255)	(Lenz)	(286)	(294)	(309)	(320)	(331)	(340)	(350)	(362)	
		1017	1011	=((11)-(12) +(15)) *(1-	(17)) - (10)	KPCo Posit	Wet Position	Capacity			(JAD)	12	(54)	(18)	5	6	=	9	(251)	(255)	(502)	(286)	(294)	(308)	(320)	(331)	(340)	(350)	(362)	
		14 01	int	=(16)*(1- (17))			Available				080 1	1 313	1,320	1.363	1 339	1.342	1,343	1,343	1,089	1,088	190,1	1 085	1.084	1.083	1,083	1,083	1,083	1,083	1,083	
		111	111				AEP			2 2 2 2 2 2	2010°C	7 1501	7.38%	7.66%	7.67%	7.67%	7.72%	7.72%	7.28%	7.28%	7.28%	7 28%	7 28%	7.35%	7.35%	7.35%	7.35%	7,35%	7.35%	
		1012	(101)	=(11)-(12) + Sum(14)	+(15)		Net ICAP				1,364	144	1,414	1 476	1 450	1 454	1 455	1.455	1,174	1,173	1,172	021	1 169	1 169	1,169	1,169	1,169	1,169	1,169	
140		allie	(c1)				Annual	ruciases																						
Drocd			(14)			es				(I) MM																				
' noct	puse	Ketro				Resource			ity Additions						ALM															
100 No	all del	ary) o	(13)						anned Capac	Units					A CONTRACTOR OF															
Thound T		elimin							Pl						Here and the second															
IALOUS	Man	RF F	(12)				Net	apacity	ares (n)		81	104	56	60	(0)	£.	S	()	(0)	1	(6)	(2)	Ē							_
N OI	I.e., NG	LL.) S	(11)				Existing	apacity & C	changes	(6)	1,465	1,470	1,470	1,470	1,4/0	1,445	1,44/	1441	1,441	1.169	1,169	1,169	1,169	1,169	1,169	1,105	1,105	1,105	1 160	1,104
	) uonis	ement	(10)	(6)+(8			I UCAP	ligation C			309	,320	,350	,374	.381	334	,333	255,	1000	343	1.356	1,366	1,371	1,378	1,392	1,403	1,414	1423	1 445	
2	ity Po	: Retir	(6)	"			LUCAP Tota	larket Ob	ligation (D	~	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0.0						0	0					-
	Capac	" Onli	(8)	-((4)-	ر/((م)_ (		JCAP Ne	ligation N	ö		309	,320	350	374	,381	1,334	1,333	1,332	1,337	1 343	356	1,366	1,371	1,378	1,392	1,403	1,414	1.423	1,433	1,440
	(ui-bu	ASE-IN	(1)		(c))		recast l	al Req'l Ob	(e)		.083	083	080.1	080.1	1.081	1.081	1.081	1.081	1.081	1.001	1081	1.081	1.081	1.081	1.081	1.081	1.081	1.081	1.081	1.001
	: ("Gol	Hd" (	(9)				emand F(	osponse Po	Factor		0.955	0.955	0.950	0.957	0.956	0.956	0.956	0.956	0.956	0.456	0 956	0.956	0.956	0.956	0.956	0.956	0.956	0.956	0,956	0.956
	BASE	(HAPs	(5)				ruptible D	emand R	(p) asuoc		0	0	e	12	22	31	34	37	37	16	12	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37 1
			(4)	)+(3)			nternal Inte	mand De	Res		208	218	253	283	298	264	265	,268	,273	,276	000	300	304	310	,323	333	.344	,352	,361	,372
			(2)	1)#			lected Net I	ISM De	act (c)		0 1 1	1		1	(2)	(4) 1,	6	(6)	(10)	(15)	(01)	(21)	(23)	(23) 1	(24) 1	(23)	(23)	(23) 1	(23)	(23) 1 1
			(2)				(th) Pro		duij		(1)	(6)	(18)	(31)	(44)	(52)	(57)	(60)	(62)	(64)	(00)	(99)	(66)	(65)	(65)	(65)	(65)	(99)	(65)	(65)
			(1)				Prinal DS	mand	(a)		20R	218	253	283	300	.268	272	.276	,283	,291	967	1010	327	334	1,347	1,357	1,367	1,375	1,385	1,396
							-	ă			11	1	28	12	8	Ľ														
							50				144	112	13	14	115	/16	117	/18	61/	/20	2	12	40	125	/26	127	/28	/29	/30	/31
							Diana	Yea			2010	1111	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	1707	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2025	2030

Notes: (a) Based on (March 2011) Load Forecast: WPCo Remains w/ OPCo (with implied PJM diversity factor) Includes company MLR share of NCEMC

(b) Existing plus approved DR, EE, and IVV

(c) The impact of new DSM is delayed two years to represent either (1) its impact on actual load feeding through the PJM load forecast process or (2) verification prior to being offered into the PJM RPM auction.

Demand Response approved by PJM in the prior planning year Ð installed Reserve Margin (IRM) = 15.0%(2007-2009), 15.5%(2010-2011), 15.4%(2012), 15.3%(2013-2030) Forecast Pool Requirement (FPR) = (1 + IRM) \* (1 - PJM EFORd) (e)

Includes company MLR share of: FRR view of obligations only

(g) Reflects the members ownership ratio of following summer capability assumptions: Wind Farm PPAs (Winch Applicable) EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENTS: 2009/019, Rockyont 1: 20 MW (unbine) 2009/01 g) g Sandy 1: 0 MW (unbine) 2019/20: Rockyont 1: 35 MW (valve) (offset to FGD dente) 2019/20: Rockyont 2: 35 MW (valve) (offset to FGD dente)

(g) continued FEOJ DERATES: 2015/16: Big Sandy 2: 25 MW; Rockport 1: 35 MW 2019/20: Rockport 2: 35 MW 2019/20: Big Sandy 1: 2019/20: Big Sandy 1:

(h) Includes:

-Purchasse (team Constellation) of 315 MW/in 200310-2011/12 Sale of 22 MM (from Timenes CA: 41 0: 0111/12 and 1MEL 2010/11-2012/13 (45 MW CeretoD2arby/Glein Lym Sale to AMPO-ATSI, and IMEL 2010/11-2012/13 (45 MW PPIM Auditon Sales 2007/06 - 2013/14 (777, 1406, 1389, 1454, 1414, 688, 761) (h 28 MW experiment Same Size and Same Size an

(i) New wind and solar capacity value is assumed to be 13% and 38% of nameplate

tion ostim (i) Beginning 2008/09, based on 12-month avg. AEP EFORd in eCapacity as of twelve months ended 9/30 of the preview year... Forecast represents latest Gen

(k) PJM latest forecast of AEP Zonal coincident peak demande (allocated to Operating Co. LSEs)

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 4 of 25

Z-UniomaliRegulatory Services/PeggyIXUUC 28(B)g Sandy 2\_Unit Disposition Alt Economicp-REV8\_040611.Xis,Tab: (4)Going-in\_Base Cap Position

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 5 of 25



# Henry Hub Prices (nominal \$/mmBtu)

IsnimoN

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 6 of 25



CO2 Prices (per Metric Tonne)



ICAP Cost, \$/kW-year

				and shall be for \$1 and an only \$2 people started		a second a s	and a second				
	Bi	g Sandy Ui	nit 2 Major	Environme	ntal Capital	Expenditu	re Estimate	es			
(\$Millions)	All and a second se										
(post-Alloacted, excl. AFUDC)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Sum:
RETROFITS											
FGD (Per March LRP)											
000009633 BS2 FGD Phase 2	5.7	38.3	81.4	145.6	122.8	13.1	•	-	-	-	401.2
000008348 Big Sandy FGD Landfill	1.5	1.7	7.3	10.1	10.1	8.6	0.1	-	-	-	37.9
BS002ASSC BS U2 FGD Associated	-	16.4	34.9	62.5	52.7	5.6		-			172.3
Total-FGD	7.2	56.4	123.7	218.2	185.6	27.3	0.1	-	-	-	611.3
CCR (Per March LRP)											
000019878 BS U2 Dry Fly Ash Conversion				-	-	-	-				-
000020353 BS U2 Bottom Ash Conversion				-	5.0	12.0	4.0	0.3	-	-	21.3
000020354 BS U2 Bottom Ash Ancillary Equ					-	-	-				
000020356 BS U2 Ash WWT System			-	0.9	10.0	14.0	10.1				35.1
Total-CCR	-	-	-	0.9	15.0	26.1	14.1	0.3	•	-	56.4
	的自己的社会和特征的	137 CERT CAL	1999 (H. 1988) (H. 19	1995-914-18-191-1944-994 1995-914-18-191-1944-994	1-12-12-12-12-14-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-			8 2-1 4 - 0-3 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1	100 240 893-041 2014		
		(Generi	c) Combine	ed Cycle Exp	enditure Es	timates (76	58-MW)				
(\$Millions)											
(post-Alloscied excl AFUDC)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Sum:

(Greenfield) CC (per ERPI TAG) (2X1 GE-7G; 768-MW)	¥	16.8	69.1	354.0	453.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	- 893.5	
FOM VOM										/kW-Yr \$15 /Mwh \$3	).00 3.40
				an Da							

		Big Sandy U	nit 1 CC (2	x1; GE-7FA;	640-MW) F	lepowerii	ng Estimate	5				
(\$Millions) (post-Alloacled, exd AFUDC)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Sum:	
BS1 Repowering (per EP&FS prelim. est (2X1 GE-7FA; 640-MW)	limate)	8.4	34.4	175.3	223.5	-	-	-			441.6	
FOM VOM											/kW-Yr /Mwh	\$25.88 \$2.50

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 8 of 25

	Relates (Revertione 2x1 OEFA) +(Ove Purch (AM)	BS2 Relivement 1 -614 MM CCRoput -17	319	371 371 380 385 Varies	ReltBS1Rpwr+(CAP \$7.002.751 (\$286.613) \$0 \$7,209,264	9,28	BS1 Repower+ICAP	(\$405,144) (\$290,112) \$0 (\$50,641) (\$65,072) 40,9%	(0.03)	
1	RelABST Rpwrit 20-00) 241 GEFA Adul CC (2020) is TAM OPTION AS	BS2 Relientent 1-614 IAV BS1 RPVR, 329 IAV ICAP	320 KW ICAP 319 KW ICAP	1- 407 MAV CC, 1- 407 MAV CC,	Rei&BSI Rewri+ 20-CC) \$7,280,000 \$60,477 \$0 \$1 \$1 \$1,219,532	9,20	BS1 Repower w/ Add1 CC (20)	(\$127.865) (\$42.023) (\$42.024) (\$102.212 (\$132.104)	(71.0)	
	Reikes: Rowth 16-00 22-1 GEPA Madi CD (2010, for RM	BS2 Refisement 1-614 MW BS1 CCRepwr 1-407 MW CC		1-407 MAVICO	Ret&B31 Rpwr(+ 16-CC) \$1,278,258 \$1,05,688 \$10,688 \$10,688 \$1,269,671	9.26	BS1 Repower w/ Add'I CC (*15)	(120,625) 801,25 50 (150,041) 265,565) 27,1-	(0.11)	); and 12/2019 (JZ)
1917 - 1917 - 1947	TIRENEPLACE Alternative Retire wilcaptor 1 832 Retire wilcaptor 19 851 63 Repi wilcaptor 10 0 PTION 113	BS2 Retrement 687	675 678 BSI Reliement 3- 407 IAN CC		852 Redres ICAP Unu '19 \$7.34,455 \$93,235 \$12,523 \$17,589,743	3'72	ICAP + CC Replac (vs. Replace wf CC)	(165,285) (165,285) (161,285) (161,285) (161,285) (161,285)	(0.12)	åy≺sitefitted eft. 12/2015 (U
sis.	Big Sandy 2 RE Base Redre - ICAP BS2 & BS1 Redre w' E ICAP Purch (NW)	832 Relivement 657	675 678 BSI Relitement 933	873 873 885 894 1,000 1,023 1,023	BS2 Retires ICAP 56,07552 (57,712) 594,002 51,002	16,8	ICAP Replacement (vs. Replace vd CC)	(\$920,342) (\$820,925) \$94,802 (\$50,841) (\$45,457) \$,6,6	(0.05)	A ed of bound to be for
ding CCR-Related Co	Relire & Repi - C B52 Repian w CC Delay Relire by 4-Yr		BS2 Reditement 1-407 MW CC, BS1 Redirement	2-407 MW CC	Base - C \$7,330,943 \$57,752 \$12,523 \$12,523	328	Retira - C (Delay Retire 4-Yrs)	(\$8,857) (\$35,747) \$12,523 (\$11,523) (\$11,523) .0,2%	(10.0)	ind UZ (1904/W tala), w
n odity Pricing Inclu	Redre & Repl - B BS2 Replac W "Like-Sized" CC OPTION [14	BS2 Retirement 1 -768 MW CC	BS1 Ratirement 1-407 MW CC,		Base - B \$7,607,601 \$129,205 \$129,205 \$7,478,516	53,8	Reifre & Repl - B ('Like-Sized' CC)	\$129,907 \$25,765 \$0 \$123,260 \$123,260	0.16	af bath Rackport UI a
<sup>3</sup> ower Company <i>burco Optimizatio</i> 7Phase-in <sup>-</sup> ) Comm (\$000}	Rettre & Repl - A B52 Ropter w *Optimized* CO	B32 Relitement 1- 407 IAW CC, 1-645 MW CC	BS1 Relitement	1-407 MW CC	A - ased 725,218,78 615,0518 625,218 625,218 (537)	9,40	Retire & Rep! - A ('Optimized' GG)	\$104,433 \$107,953 \$12,523 \$126,401 \$26,401	£0.0	ata oničement hare
Kentucky F Capacly Roso teference' (Path A-	M BSI FOD	851 FGD 852 FGD (22)		1-407 MW CC	Big Sandy 1 FGD \$7,475,814 \$141,015 \$1,41,015 \$1,434,799 \$155,151 \$155,151 \$155,151	9,42	CASE Z2 w BS1 FGD	\$67,920 \$37,515 \$0 \$4,310 \$34,714 \$34,714	90'0	A AEG 195-MW purch
mmary for 2H10 F	CASE 1	BS2 FGD (1)	BS1 Reframent 1-407 IAN CC		CASE 1 57.548,321 595,742 595,742 51,65,79 517,346 517,346 517,346	8,58	CASE 1	\$140,427 (\$7,757) \$0 \$16,505 \$16,509 \$164,609 2.2%	0.21	ad with KPCo's currer
kpansion Plan Su	metives CASE 5* Dry 3.0 B	B\$2 FGD (5)	BS1 Rethorment (- 407 MW CC		CASE 5 \$7,519,599 \$102,794 \$102,794 \$105,599 \$56,332 \$56,332	25'6	CASE 5	207,1118 207,1118 201 109,7118 21118 202,1118	0.15	nemial costs associal
	AND 2 RETROFIT AIL CASE 23 * NICADY 4.5 & BASE' OPTION #1	B\$2 FGD (23)	BS1 Relicement 1-407 htw CC		CASE 23 \$7,407,694 \$103,499 \$103,499 \$103,499 \$104,305 \$50,841 \$50,841 \$50,841	76,8		1	1	m higher-sultur coals a embedded the inster
	Big Sar CASE 22 NID 1.7 B ICAP Purch (NW)	B\$2 FGD (22)	BS1 Relitement 195	196 239 251 259 259 265 265 2717 288 287 287	CASE 22 ICAP 57,111,090 (517,011) (517,01311) (517,01311) 51,111 51,2181,650 549,347 549,347	50,9	CASE 22 ICAP	\$295,795 \$273,80 (\$8,42) \$1,429 \$1,494 \$16,339 \$16,339		callent required to bu uds CCR related cest mics above have also
7	CASE 22 b NID/Dry 1.7 lb BS I Rolire Accel	BS2 FGD (22) BS1 Retrement 1-407 hMV CC			CASE 22 b 57,249,416 5117,082 5117,082 5117,082 51,212,304 51,002 51,072,803	9,27	CASE 22 b	(\$50,470) \$13,583 \$10 (\$10,272) (\$10,272) (\$10,272) (\$10,473) (\$11,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1	(0.11)	ittluge Boller mouffic GD cests do not brei KPCo relative econo
	CASE 22 NIDDY 1.7 Ib	BS2 FGD (22)	BS1 Retirement 1. 407 RAV CC		ramery: CASE 22 \$7,259,447 \$104,910 \$7,164,637 \$104,637 \$104,637 \$10,643	9.17	CASE 22	1 (\$140,447) 51,410 51,410 51,410 (\$160,272) (\$160,270) 2.2%	1 (0.20)	* These erson i ** All alternative *** All alternative
	 	2010 <i>0=</i> 2015 2016	2017 2018 2018	2020 trivu 2025 2027 2027 2028 2029 2029 2029 2029 2020 2040 2040	••• AEVENUE REQUIREMENT St (2011 5) Cumul Present Worth (CPV7)(A) EVENUE Flux: Cost to Relite BS 102 GRAIND TOTAL - CPW rem Cost to Relite BS 202 GRAIND TOTAL - CPW	red (Life-Cycle) Cost' (cents/kWh)	Cost / <savings></savings>	vs. Retroff w/ NID (4.64) CPW CPW Less: ICAR Revenu Plus: Cost to Refer ES 13. Start: Cost to Refer ES 14. GRAND TOTAL CPW – Ad. Vatiance	Ized (Life-Cycle) Cost' (cents/kWh	
· -	Techu				(Life-Cycle) Plus: Inc.	"Levell:	Variances;	Plus: Inc	llaval.	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 9 of 25

			Page 10
atkaBS1Rpwr+ICA P	2x1.0EFA HIGAP Purch (MW) BS2 Retirement 1-614 MW CCRepwr	Ret&BS1Rpwr+ICAP 57,570,563 5231,814 5231,814 81,802,377 81,442) (\$431,442) (\$431,442) (\$431,442) (\$431,442) (\$431,442) (\$431,442) (\$342,955) \$0 (\$50,841) (\$50,841) (\$50,841) (\$139,281) (	
EREPLACE Alternatives	Rect. Kuby Try Proving 1. July 1. String 1. String OPTION #5 BS2 Retirement 1. 514 MW CCRepwr, 317 MW ICAP 320 MW ICAP 1. 407 MW CC, 1. 407 MW CC,	5 Ret. & B51 Rpwr CC <sup>2</sup> 20 \$7,577,290 \$4,321 \$4,321 \$1,350 \$1,672,969 8.1 Repower w/ Add1 CC (2020) (\$524,715) \$106,821) \$106,821) \$106,821] \$1	
R Related Costs Bld Sandy 2 RETIR	att. 2. BS 1 Repower 2010 EFA +Add I Co. In 16 BS2 Relitement 1-614 MW CCRepwr, 1-407 MW CC	Ret. & BS1 Rpwr CC-'10 \$61,314 \$61,314 \$9,97 9,97 9,97 (\$117,445) (\$117,445) (\$117,445) (\$117,445) (\$10,469) (\$19,449) (\$19,449) (\$119,440) (\$1	
rated') Including CC	ettre & Repi - B BS2 Replac W Jrike-Sizet" CC OPTION #4 BS2 Retrement 1-768 MW CC, BS1 Retrement 1-407 MW CC,	Ratire & Repl - B \$7,933,579 \$1283,602 \$128,602 \$177 \$7,864,177 10.02 110.02 110.02 \$17,661 \$38,426 \$17,661 \$38,426 \$17,661 \$36,426 \$17,661 \$1,0% (0,10) (0,10)	
mpany timization ath B - EPA Accele	Rettre & Repl A BS2: Feplac W "Optimized" .C. 1. 407 MW CC. 1. 645 MWCC 1. 645 MWCC	Retire & Repl - A 85,041,953 882,423 882,423 51,472,033 51,472,033 10,16 Retire & Repl - / (optimized' CC (optimized' CC (550,441) 530,328 (550,441) 0,4%	
intucky Power Co city Resource Op mmodity Pricing (P (\$000)	CASE 1 . Wel 4.5 lb BS2 FGD (1). 1- 407 MW CC, BS1 Relirement	CASE 1 \$8,098,538 \$110,560 \$110,560 \$1,056 \$17,650 \$67,345 10,26 \$87,533 \$57,533 \$57,533 \$57,533 \$57,533 \$57,533 \$57,533 \$57,533 \$56,505 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$1,4% \$50 \$1,4%	
Ke Capa 2H10 Referencel Co	CFIT Alternatives CASE 5 - Dry 3.0 lb BS2 FGD (5), 1-407 MW CC, BS1 Retirement	CASE 5 86,156,883 \$10,6,883 \$10,6,883 \$20,583 \$2,125 \$166,321 \$16,387 \$166,787 \$5,491 \$166,767 \$5,491 \$5,491 \$5,491 \$5,491 \$166,767 \$2,116 \$2,	difications oals
plan Summary for "	Big Sandy 2 RETF CASE 23 NID/DY 4.5 Ib OPTION #1 BS2 FGD (23), 1-407 MW CC, BS1 Retirement	CASE 23 CASE 23 S11,141 S11,141 S102,005 S10,11,141 S50,841,00 10,12 10,12 10,12 10,12	51) ases <u>include</u> Boiler mo to burn higher-sulfur co
Expansion	CASE 22 CASE 22 NID/Dry 1.7 Ib 6 BS1 Feb (22), 6 BS1 Retiremen 18 19 19	229 229 230 230 240 241 241 241 241 241 241 241 241	nts/kWh) (0.3 • These c required
:	Type (Sulfur Content) 2010 thru 201 2017 + 20 2017 + 20 20	2021 thru 2 2022 thru 2 2022 thru 2 2022 thru 2 2025 thru 2 Present Worth (CPW Less: IOAP Reve Less: IOAP Reve AND TOTAL - CPW e-Cycle) Cost ' (cents) -Cycle) Cost ' (cents) -Cycle) Cost ' (cents) -Cycle) Cost ' (cents) -Cycle (cen	.ife-Cycle) Cost' (cen
	Technology / Fuel	(Life-Cycle) REVENUE Cumul: Plu Plus: Increm CP 'Levelized (Life Variances: Plus: Increm C	'Levelized (I

î

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 10 of 25

		Rat&BSSRpwi-JCAP - 221 GEFA +/OAP Plurch (MM)	BS2 Roliremont 1-614 AMV CCRepwr 1-407 ANV CC	319	Varies 371 385	Varios	BS1 Repower+ICAP \$6,233,290 \$204,278 \$0	\$5,437,576	0.20	BS1 Repower+ICAP	(\$334,040) (\$287,753) \$0	(121,728)	(0.12)	
		Reit & BS 1 Repuwer: 2x1 GEFA : +Audi CC (2020) fei (11/	BS2 Retirement 1- 614 MW BS1 RFWR, 329 MW ICAP	31/ MW ICAP 320 MW ICAP 319 MW ICAP	1= 407 NW CC	1-407 MW CC	Rel&BS1 Rpwr[+ 20-GG) \$6,571,617 \$27,629 \$0	\$6,643,908	9.34	BS1 Repower w/ Add1 GC (20)	\$4,479 (\$55,645) \$0	\$9,284 0.1%	0,01	
	5	Free, 6, 851 Papovers 2x1 OEFA +Add1 CC (2016) 141 RM	352 Relifement 1 - 614 MW CCRepwr 1-407 MW CC			1-407 MW CC	Rel&BS1 Rpwr(+ 16-CC) \$6,682,246 \$66,223 \$0	\$6,616,022	6.43	BS1 Repower wi Add'I CC ('16)	\$114,907 (\$17,252) \$0	1.2%	0.10	
and the second	IY 2 RETIREREPLACE AI	Base Relire - ICAP+CD I BS2 Relire w ICAP BS1 (19) Repl w CC OPTION #3	BS2 Retirement 6 607	675 678 BS1 Reteemant 3- 407 MW CC			Base Relire - ICAP+CC \$6,670,180 \$78,723 \$12,523	085'£05'9\$	8.41	Base Relice - JCAP+CC	\$102.841 (\$4,752) \$12,523	(190/06) \$63,275 1.1%	50°0	
Related Costs	Big Sand	Base Rolfre - ICAP BS2 Rolfre w' ICAP Purch (MW)	BS2 Rettermant 687	675 678 BS1 Rollrament 933	833 984 994	1,0,1	BS2 Relires ICAP \$5,660,676 4527,243 \$94,802	\$6,282,920	0.00	ICAP Replacement Savings/cCost> (va. Pacing w/CC)	(\$500,415) (\$510,718) \$94,802	(\$251,784) (\$251,784) -3.9%	(26.0)	
cing including CCR-F		Reilre & Repi - C BS2 Repleo w CC Delay Reilre by 4-Yr		BS2 Relitement 1-407 MW CC, BS1	2-407 MW CC		Base - C S6,716,396 \$122,169 \$12,523	\$6,600,749	0.42	Ratire - C (Delay Retire 4-Yrs)	\$149,057 \$38,694 \$12,523	(\$50,841) \$72,045 1,1%	0.03	
م با Commodity Pric		Retire & Replee W BS2 Replee W *Like-Stred" CC OPTION 84	BS2 Relifement 1 -768 MW CC	BS1 Retirement 1-407 MW CG,			Base - B \$6,913,605 \$102,368	162,11,237	0.60	Retire & Repl - B ("Like-Sized" GC)	\$348.267 \$18.893 \$0	(550,041) \$276,633 4.2%	0,35	
ower Company urce Optimizatio NO [CO2] Polic 5000]		Reilre & Repl - A BSZ Replac W "Optimited" CC	BS2 Relifement 1- 407 MW CC, 1-645 MW CC	BS1 Rotirement	1-407 MW CC		) Base - A \$6,055,164 \$90,929 \$12,523	56,776,758	5.63	Retire & Repl - A (Optimized' CC)	\$287,826 \$7,454 \$12,523	(550,041) \$242,054 3.7%	16,0	
Kentucky P <sup>4</sup> Capacity Reso Path A-"Phase-In" (\$		CASE 22 w/ <u>BS1</u> FGD **	BS1 FGD BS2 FGD (22)		i-407 MW CC		Big Sandy 1 PGD \$6,614,026 \$88,922 \$0	\$6,525,103 \$55,151 \$6,580,254	8.38	CASE 22 w/ BS1 FGD	\$46,607 \$5,447 \$0	\$4,310 \$45,549 0.7%	0,06	
2H10 Reference' (		CASE 1 * Wet 4.5 lb	852 FGD (1)	BS1 Relitoment 1-407 MW CC			CASE 1 \$6.722,856 \$77,769 \$0	\$6,645,000 \$67,346 \$6,712,432	0.55	CASE 1	\$155.517 (\$5.706) \$0	\$16,505 \$177,728 2.7%	62.0	
an Summary for "	rintives	CASE 6* Dry 3.0 fb	BS2 FGD (5)	BS1 Relifement 1-407 MW CC			CASE 5 \$6,588,324 \$52,956 \$0	\$6,505,368 \$56,332 \$6,551,700	8.49	CASES	\$120,906 (\$519) \$0	55,491 \$126,996 1.9%	0,16	
. Expansion Pl	NO DETROET AN	CASE 23 - CASE 23 - NID/Dry 4.5 lb - OPTION #1	B\$2 FGD (23)	BS1 Reliement 1- 407 MW CC			CASE 23 \$6,567,335 \$93,475	\$6,483,863 \$50,841 \$6,534,704	52.5				1	sigher-suitur coats
	10 611	CASE 22 NID 1.7 Ib ICAP Purch (AM)	8\$2 FGD (22)	851 Retirement 195	198 251 259 266	217	CASE 22 ICAP \$8,250,773 (\$117,475) \$8,545	\$6,376,391 \$49,348 \$ \$5,425,739	6,19	CASE 22 ICAP	(\$316,556) (\$200,951) \$8,142	(51.493) \$351,019 6.2%	ØREFI	ons required to burn a CCR related costs
		GASE 22 b NID/Dry 1.7 lb BST Rettre Accol	BS2 FGD (22) BS1 Relifement 1-407 MW CC				CASE 22 b \$6,548,621 \$93,614	\$6,453,008 \$40,469 \$6,493,476	5.27	CASE 22 b	(\$20,717) \$10,139 \$0	(\$10.372) (\$41,228) -0.67,	(50'0)	<u>ude</u> Boiler modélcalle D costs do not indud
		CASE 22 NID/Dry 1.7 Ib	B\$2 FGD (22)	BS1 Relifement 1-407 NW CC			n: CASE 22 \$6,446.250 \$64,512	\$6,361,738 \$40,469 \$6,402,206	0.16	CASE 22	(\$121,086) \$1,037 \$0	(510,372) (5132,458)	(0.17)	* These cases incl ** Big Sandy 1 FG
	_	Technology / Fuel Type (Sullur Content)	2010 fbu 2015 2016	2017 2018 2019	2020 lhtu 2026 2027 2028 2029	002 2031 2032 <i>unit</i> 2032	(Lilo-Cyalo) REVENUE REQUIREMENT Summa Cumul. Present Worth (CPW)(A) Lass : L(A)P Revenue	PIUS: CORT OF NEURO B2 104 GRAND TOTAL - CPW Plus: Increm CPW for <u>10-w</u> FGD Recevery GRAND TOTAL - CPW – Adj	'Levelized (Lie-Cycle) Cost' (cents/MVh)	Veriances: Cost / <savings></savings>	vs. Retrofit w/ NID (4.51) CPM Less; ICAP Revenue Pluer Cost to Retrof 85 462	Plus: Increm CPW for <u>10-yr</u> FGD Recovery GRAND TOTAL - CPW – Ad % Variance	'Levelized (Life-Cycle) Gost' (cents/KWh	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 11 of 25

			-								Din Sandu 2 RET	REREPLACE Alternat	Vet		
L			Big San	dy 2 RETROFIT Alter	nalive					10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Intra A Voite Line	Defermino and	Pelt Belt Browth 16-0011	RolkB51 Rowr(+)20-CC10	Rei&B51Rowrt ICAP
Technology / Fuel Type (Sullur Conlent) :	CASE 22 NID/Dry 1.7 lb	CASE 22 b NID/Dry 1.7 lb BS1 Redire Accel.	GASE 22 NID 1.7 Ib ICAP Purch (JAW)	CASE 23 * NID/D/Y 4,6 lb BASE DATION 41	CASE 5 - Dry 3.0 lb OPTION #5	CASE 1* Wel 4.6 lb OPTION #6	wi BS1 FGD *	Relira & Hepi - A BS2 Rapiso W "Optimized" CC OPTION #8	Roline & Ropi - B BS2 Ropiso W 'Uite-Stred' CC OPTION R4	BS2 Replac w CC Delay Relire by 4-Yr OPTION 810	BS2 & BS1 Relin W B ICAP Purch (MM) OPTION #11	S2 Refer w/CAP thru S2 Refer w/CAP thru BS153 Repl w CC OPTION 63	-Addi CC (2016) foi RM	ATTORNAL CONTRACT	+ICAP Purch (AIM)
2010 <i>Unu</i> 2015 2016	852 FGD (22)	BSZ FGD (22) BS1 Relivement 1-407 MW CC	BSZ FGD (22)	BS2 FGD (23)	BS2 FGD (5)	BS2 FGD (1)	BS1 FGD BS2 FGD (22)	BS2 Relirement 1-407 MW CC, 1-645 MW CC	BS2 Reliement 1 -768 MW CC		BS2 Ralitement 687	BS2 Relinament 657	BS2 Relitoment 1-614 MW CCRepvr 1-407 MW CC	BS2 Retirement 1-614 MW BS1 RPWR, 329 MW ICAP	B32 Rolformont 1 -614 MW CCRopur
2017 2018	BS1 Reliement		BS1 Retirement	BS1 Reliement	BS1 Relifement	BSI Relifermul 1. Art7 MAV CC		BS1 Relirement	BS1 Rotrement 1-407 NW CC.	BS2 Reliement 1-407 MW CC, BS1	675 678 BS1 Retirement 933	675 678 BS1 Relfrement 3- 407 AW CC		317 MWICAP 320 MWICAP 319 MWICAP	317 320 319
0002			a uat							Relirement 2-407 MW CC	Varies			1- 407 MW CC	319
2021 Unto 1202 2025 2025 2025 2020 2020 2020 2020			233 251 251 256 256 256 256 256 256 258 228 227 227 238				i-407 MW CC	1-407 MW CC		1-407 NW CC	973 985 994 1,000 1,002 1,1,022 1,1,022		1- 407 MW CC		373 371 371 300 005 Varios
lio-Cycle) "G" REVENUE REQUIREMENT Su (2011 3) Cumul. Present Worth (CPV)(A) Less! (CAP Revenue	mary: CASE 22 \$1,259,447 \$104,910	CASE 22 b \$7,349,416 \$117,082	CASE 22 ICAP \$7,111,098 (\$170,311)	CASE 23 \$7,465,412 \$102,000	CASE 6 \$7,519,599 \$102,794	CASE 1 \$7,540,321 \$95,742 \$0	Big Sandy 1 FGD \$7,475,014 \$141,015 \$0	Base - A \$7,512,327 \$143,213 \$0	Base - B \$7,370,903 \$127,823 \$0	Base - C \$7,399,943 \$67,752 \$0	B\$2 Relires ICAP \$6,487,552 (\$727,425) \$34,802	BS2 Ref ICAP thru '19 \$7,157,764 \$100,601 \$12,523	Ret&BS1 Rpwr(+ 16-GC) \$7,378,358 \$108,568 \$0	Ret&BS1 Rpwr(+ 20-CC) \$7,042,336 \$69,552 \$0	Ret&BS1Rpwr+ICAP \$7,002,751 (\$286,613) 50
Plus: Cost to Retire B5 152 PRAND TOTAL - CPW Plus: Increm CPW for <u>10-47</u> FGD Recovery GRAND TOTAL - CPW - Add	30 \$7,154,637 \$40,469 \$7,195,006	57,232,334 540,469 57,272,803	241,05 \$49,550 \$49,347 \$7,330,897	\$7,354,411 \$50,841 \$7,415,252	57,416,805 556,332 \$7,473,137	\$7,452,679 \$57,346 \$7,619,925	\$7,334,799 \$55,151 \$7,389,950	\$7,369,114	\$7,243,080	051,166,78	\$7,005,73	\$7,069,686	\$7,269,671	G0/77/5'98	+45'607'14
'Levelized (Life-Gycle) Cost' (cents/kVN)	51,2	9.27	3C,9	9,45	9,52	85,0	5,42	8,39	9,23	8,34	16.0	9,01	9.26	8,88	9.29
aiiances: Cast / <savings></savings>	CASE 22	CASE 22 b	CASE 22 ICAP		CASE 5	CASE 1	CASE 22 W BS1 FGD	Relire & Repl - A ("Optimized" GC}	Relire & Repi - B ("Like-Sizeo" CC)	Relire + C (Delay Relire 4-Yrs)	ICAP Replacement (vs. Replace w/ CC)	ICAP + CC Replac (vs. Replace w/ CC)	BS1 Repower w/ Add1 CC (2016)	BS1 Repower wd Add1 CC (2020)	BS1 Repower4ICAP
vs. Rafroff w/ NID (4.5/l) CPW CLOSA: ICAP Revenue Plus: Coal to Retire BS 452	(\$206.965) \$2,509 \$0	(\$116,998) \$15,082 \$0	\$355,314 \$272,311 (\$8,142)		\$53,187 \$794 \$0	\$01,909 (56,258) \$0	50,02 510,015 50 50	\$45,915 \$41,212 \$0	(\$95.509) \$25,823 \$0	(\$57.469) (\$34.240) \$0 (\$50.241)	(\$629.420) (\$629.420) \$94,802 (\$50,841)	(\$1,399) (\$1,399) \$12,523 (\$50,641)	(\$00.053) \$6,667 \$0 (\$50,641)	(\$424,075) (\$32,440) \$0 (\$50,841)	(\$403,661) (\$300,613) \$0 (\$50,641)
Plus: Inciem CPW for 10-yr FGD Recovery GRAND TOTAL - CPW - Adj	(\$10,372) (\$220,247)	(\$142,450)	\$1,494 \$76,355 1.0%	1	\$57,885	\$104,672 1,4%	(\$25,303)	(\$46,138)	(\$172,173)	(\$84,052) -4,1%	(\$105,474)	(\$345,667) 4.7%	(\$145,662) -2.0%	(\$442,468) -6.0%	(\$126,889)
"Levelized (Life-Cycle) Gost" (cerits/i/Wh)	(0.26)	(0.18)		1	0.07	0.13	(0.03)	(90'0)	(0.22)	(111)	(0.13)	(0.44)	(0.19)	(0.56)	(0.15)
	* Those cases <u>In</u> •• Big Sandy 1 FG ••• <u>Al</u> allemative Ki	c <u>lude</u> an Incremental ID costs do not inclu: PCo relative econom	<ul> <li>\$172 MM capital sp de CCR related costs vics above have also s</li> </ul>	rend associated with B I embedded the increme	loiler modilications re ontai costs associated	aquired to burn higher d with KPCo's current	•tulfur coals ( AEG 195-MW purc)	e endilement share c	f bolh Rockport U <b>1 s</b> no	d U2 (390-MW total), wh	ich was assumed to be fi	uliy-rolaattod olf: 12/201	is (V1); and 12/2019 (V2)		

.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 12 of 25

	RpwslgAP GEA	felirerment W CCRepwr	317 320 319 319	/arias 373 380 386 /arias	11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.	9.29	powertICAP	418,234) 384,477) 50 150,841) 84,598) 44,598	(0.11)
	CO), RCIABS	BS2 R VR, 1-614 MI		2	-CC) Rei&BS 37/ (32		0) BS1 Re	98 68	
	RetABS1 Hpwrit+ 20- The Arl GEFA +Ard DC (2020) for 1 OPTION 65	BS2 Relifermult 1-614 MWBS1 RPM 329 MWICAP	317 MIWICAP 320 MIWICAP 319 MIWICAP 1-407 MIWICC		Rei&BS1 Rpwrl+ 20. \$6,615.225 \$56,749 \$6,756,475 \$6,756,475	8.61	BS1 Repower w/ Add'I CC (2021	(\$605.760) (\$39.115) \$0 (\$50.841) (\$617.4961 -0.4%	(0.79)
res	Radk US1 Rpwr(+ 18-05) 2211 GEEA + Aadr CC (2018) for RM	BS2 Relitement 1 -614 ANV CCRepur 1-407 ANV CC		1-407 IAW CC	Rei&BS1 Rpwr(+ 16-CC) \$1,276.358 \$105.688 \$105.688 \$1,269.671	9.26	BS1 Repower w/ Addī CC (2016)	(\$42,627) \$10,023 \$0 (\$50,941) \$164,291) -1.4%	(0.13)
REREPLACE Allernativ	Retire w/ iGAP+GG IS2 Retre w/ IGAP true 2019 BS163 Repl w/ CC . OPTION #3	BS2 Relirement 687	675 678 BS1 Relitament 3- 407 IxW CC		B32 Ret ICAP thru '19 36,960.281 595.603 \$12,523 \$12,523 \$6,876,141	8.76	ICAP + CC Replac (vs. Replace vil CC)	(\$460,704) (\$1,202) \$12,523 (\$50,84)) [\$497,620] 4,629%	(0.63)
Big Sandy 2 RET	Base Reliro - ICAP BSZ & BSI Reliro w 1 ICAP Purch (ASM) OPTION #11	852 Relirement 607	675 678 933 Redrement 933 Vertes	973 985 994 1,000 1,000 1,023	BS2 Relices ICAP 55.407,552 (5727,425) 59.4002 \$1,209,779 \$1,209,779	16.9	ICAP Replacement (vs. Replace w/ CC)	(125),	(0.05)
	Relire & Repl C BS2 Replac wCC Delay Relire by 4-Yr OPTION 610		BS2 Reliement 1-407 MW CC, BS1 Reliement	1-407 MW CC	Base - C \$7,399,943 \$67,752 \$0 \$7,331,190	9.34	Relire - G (Delay Relire 4-Yrs)	(\$22,042) (\$30,112) \$0 (\$42,771] -0.6%	(30.05)
	Ralira B. Ropl - B BSZ Roplec IV "Like-Sizad" CC OPTION II4	BS2 Relirement 1 -768 MW CC	BS1 Relitement 1-407 MW CC,		Base - B 57,126,654 5121,618 5121,618 504,735 57,004,735	8,92	Retire & Repl - B ('Like-Sized' CC)	(\$294,054 \$24,054 \$0 (\$50,041) [\$369,226] \$769,226]	(0.47)
	Relira & Repl - A BS2 Replac W "Oplimized" CC OPTION 88	BS2 Retirement 1-407 MW CC, 1-645 MW CC	BS1 Relearnent	1- 407 MW CC	Base - A \$7,512.327 \$1,4323 \$1 \$1,213 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1	60.9	Retire & Repl - A (Optimized CC)	\$91,342 \$45,348 \$0 \$1 (\$50,841) (\$4,842) •0.475	(10.0)
	case 22 wi <u>est</u> FGD ** DPTION 87	BS1 FGD BS2 FGD (22)		1- 407 MW CC	Big Sandy 1 FGD 47.475.814 51.415.814 51.41.715 51.151 51.351 51.351 51.351	9,42	CASE 22 w/ BS1 FGD	\$54,829 \$43,150 \$0 \$4,310 \$16,908 \$16,908	0.02
	CASE 1 • Wel 4.5tb OPTION #5	BSZ FGD (1)	BS1 Reliement 1-407 ANV CC		CASE 1 \$7,548,321 \$95,742 \$0 \$7,452,679 \$7,619,325 \$7,619,325 \$7,619,325	9.50	CASE 1	\$127,336 (521,328) \$0 \$16,505 \$145,963 20,052	0.19
malive	CASE 6 Dry 3.0 lb OPTION #5	BS2 FGD (5)	BS1 Relirement 1-407 MW CC		CASE 5 \$7,519,599 \$102,794 \$10,005 \$10,005 \$16,005 \$1,473,137	9,52	CASES	\$90,614 \$4,928 \$0 \$5,491 \$39,176 1.3%	0.13
IY 2 RETROFIT Alle	CASE 22 * NIDUDY 4.5 Ib BASE OPTION #1	BS2 FGD (23)	BS1 Retirement 1-407 NW CC		CASE 23 \$7,420,985 \$87,065 \$1,223,120 \$7,223,120 \$7,323,1261	60.9			
Big Sand	CASE 22 NID 1.7 Ib ICAP Purch (NW)	BS2 FGD (22)	BS1 Retirement 195	198 251 251 266 266 277 285 277 285	CASE 22 ICAP 57,111,035 (5,170,31) 58,142 \$7,289,560 \$7,330,650 57,330,657 57,330,657	9.35	CASE 22 ICAP	\$309,007 \$260,175 (\$0,142) - (\$0,142) - \$1,494 \$31,064 \$35,064	
	GASE 22 b NID/Dry 1.7 lb BSf Retire Accel.	BS2 FGD (22) BS1 Relivement 1-407 MW CC			CASE 22 b \$7,349,416 \$117,002 \$10,002 \$40,409 \$40,409	9.27	CASE 22 b	(571,509) 515,217 50 (510,272) (510,115) 1,4%	(0.13)
	CASE 22 NIDDIY 1.7 Ib	BS2 FGD (22)	BS1 Rolirement 1- 407 MW CC		mary: CASE 22 \$7,259,447 \$104,910 \$104,910 \$1,154,537 \$10,469 \$2,195,606	9.17	CASE 22	(\$161,538) \$7,045 \$20 (\$10,272) (\$178,356) -2,4%	(0.23)
L	لے۔ Tactnology / Fuel Type (Sultur Canlon!)	2010 <i>linu</i> 2015 2016	2017 2018 2019	2021 Invu 2026 2025 2025 2027 2028 2028 2029 2029 2029 2029 2029 2029	Cjeloj "C" REVENUE REQUIREMENT Sum (2011 3) Cumul. Present Worth (PPW)(A) Cumul. Present (DPW) CH Revenue Plus: Control Reviews (142: Increm EW Int COD Revenue (142: Control COD Revenue) (142: Increm CW Int COD Revenue)	'Levelized (Life-Gycle) Goaf' (centa/kWh)	ances: Cast / <savlings></savlings>	V.S. Retroff w/ hID (4,50) OFW Less: IOAP Revenue Plus: Cost Io Relie 85 42. Plus: Increm 2PW 10:10-2PW - Adj GRAND TOTA CPW - Adj GRAND TOTA CPW - Adj	T. evellzed (Life-Cycle) Gost' (cents/)(Wh)

.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 13 of 25

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 14 of 25

#### Kentucky Power Company Big Sandy EPA Impact Analysis End Use Customer Rate Impact (\$M)

	Capital Investment	Annual O&M	Revenue Percent Increase
Rockport FGD & SCR	212	8	6.41%
Big Sandy U2 FGD	839	49	28.69%
Big Sandy FGD plus Rockport	1,051	57	35.10%
Gas CC Brownfield	1,141	28	32.34%
Brownfield plus Rockport	1,353	36	38.75%
Gas Repower BSU1	1,063	28	30.46%
Repower plus Rockport	1,275	36	36.87%

Notes: Pool Capacity payments could increase by \$50M for additonal shortfall of Kentucky Capacity in pool or market. Additonal revenue impact would be approximately 5%.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 15 of 25

From: Daniel M Duellman on 02/23/2011 07:07 AM

- To: Toby Thomas/AEPIN@AEPIN
- cc: "Aaron Sink" <amsink@aep.com>, "Ashley Weaver" <amweaver@aep.com>, "John Torpey" <jftorpey@aep.com>, "Mark Becker" <mabecker@aep.com>, "Michael Isenberg" <misenberg@aep.com>, "Michael Wilkinson" <mlwilkinson@aep.com>, scweaver@aep.com, trfecho@aep.com, Scott Fisher/AEPIN@AEPIN, Patrick M Malone/AEPIN@AEPIN, Timothy V Riordan/FW1/AEPIN@AEPIN, Michael W Durner/OR2/AEPIN@AEPIN, Daniel H Drew/OR4/AEPIN@AEPIN
- Subject: Re: Big Sandy Unit 1 CC Repower Cost and Performance Estimates

We made some minor revisions to the Powerpoint. Added a discussion about heat rate on slide 12, and updated plot plans on slides 7&8.

Dan Duellman W: 614.716.1753 C: 614.554.0457 dmduellman@aep.com

#### **Toby Thomas/AEPIN**

02/22/2011 08:46 PM Т n scweaver@aep.com, "John Torpey" <jftorpey@aep.com>, "Mark Becker" <mabecker@aep.com> С С dmdueilman@aep.com, trfecho@aep.com, "Ashley Weaver" <amweaver@aep.com>, "Aaron Sink" <amsink@aep.com>, "Michael Isenberg" <misenberg@aep.com>, "Michael Wilkinson" <mlwilkinson@aep.com> s u b i е С f Big Sandy Unit 1 CC Repower Cost and Performance Estimates

Scott/John/Mark:

Sorry for the late response as I missed by EOB commitment (technical difficulty with my BB).

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 16 of 25

The attached file contains the estimated capital repower costs and unit performance for two (2) options. The first option is a 1x1 MHI 501GAC at about 480 MW (nominal - about 450 MW summer) and the second is a 2x1 GE 7FA.05 at about 640 MW (nominal - about 600 MW summer) - both include duct firing capability in order to maximize plant capacity given the fixed STG size. Both options result is similar \$/kw costs. For this analysis, I would recommend using the 640 MW 2x1 option. In addition, the plant performance includes two modes: (1) full load without duct burners in operation and (2) with duct burners fully fired.

The cost and performance of converting the boilers to burn natural gas are included as well for your information.

The following O&M costs are based on our latest Dresden estimates and assume a stand alone CC plant (I made a couple of changes for items that would not apply at the BS site). If BS2 stays in operation, the incremental labor cost would be lower as we would only need the additional operations staff for the CC plant versus a full plant staff for the CC but this is a conservative approach. These costs are the same whether you use the 1x1 or

2x1 configuration mentioned above.

FOM: \$7.8 MM/yr (in 2011\$ - direct cost basis)

Annual Capital (PPB): \$1.0 MM/yr

VOM: \$2.50/MWh (this cost includes major outage costs for gas turbines and steam turbine) Note: The VOM costs will consist of about 40% capital and 60% O&M based on our outage cost breakdown experience

Per FEL:

Use Henry Hub forecast \* 1.05 (5% fuel retainage for the pipeline) + \$0.40 (for pipeline transport costs).

NOTE: This fuel delivery cost and the included pipeline interconnect cost assumes we connect to the Tennesse Gas pipeline that is about 9 miles from the Big Sandy plant.

I also want to thank all of those folks that helped pull this information together in such short order.

Please let me know if you need more information or clarification.

Thanks

Toby



[attachment "BS Studies.ppt" deleted by Daniel M Duellman/OR2/AEPIN] BS StudiesA.ppt

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 17 of 25

From: Scott C Weaver on 04/07/2011 12:00 AM

To: Charles R Patton/AEPIN@AEPIN, Gregory G Pauley/OR3/AEPIN@AEPIN, Chris Potter/AEPIN@AEPIN, Ranie K Wohnhas/OR3/AEPIN@AEPIN, Toby Thomas/AEPIN@AEPIN

CC:

Subject: KPCo-Big Sandy\_(Updated) Unit Disposition analysis

To offer some support to the modeling results just forwarded, this workbook contains some add'I supporting detail for the Big Sandy disposition analyses:

Big Sandy 2\_Unit Disposition Alt Economics-REV8\_040611.xls

I understand we have a progress review mtg set up for Fri AM. Perhaps we can take some time to go over some of these materials and address any questions you may have.

Scott C. Weaver AEP Audinet: 200-1373 Outside: (614) 716-1373

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 18 of 25

. . . . . .

and a subtract the

From: Christian T Beam on 06/09/2011 01:23 PM

To: Scott C Weaver/OR4/AEPIN@AEPIN

CC:

Subject: Re: question/request

Scott we will be complete with our estimate at the end of July. We will provide you the data when complete.

From:	Scott C Weaver
To:	Christian Beam
Cc:	
Date:	06/09/2011 12:10 PM CDT
Subject:	question/request

#### Chris,

1) What timeframe has been communicated to Kentucky Power for the completion of the preliminary design/cost estimation effort for the Big Sandy 1 CC Repowering project?

2) I know you will, but at such time those cost estimations have been completed and forwarded to KPCo, would you please forward same to me as well?...

thank you

Scott C. Weaver AEP Audinet: 200-1373 Outside: (614) 716-1373

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 19 of 25

والمراجع والمراجع والمتحرب المحارب والمراجع

From: Scott C Weaver on 08/03/2011 07:29 PM

To: Lonni L Dieck/OR2/AEPIN@AEPIN cc:

Subject: Fw: BS1 Repowering Data

Lonni,

We just got "updated" cost estimates in from Chris Beam for the BS1 repowering project. Data looks complete so we'll be running with these cost & performance parameter estimates in updating our KPCo-BS 'UD' analysis in Strategist. As you can see this latest estimate is either **\$828M** (\$1,111/kW based on a 745-MW 'Mitsubishi' design repowered unit); or **\$769M** (*but*, a higher, \$1,277/kW based on only a 602-MW ouput based on a "GE" design unit)...

As PART of that process however, I'm also trying to "manage expectations" here... and I think I'll start w/ you. Up till now the expectation is that the BS1 Repowering option was reasonably rooted as the "leastcost" option even though it was based on very, very preliminary "desk-top" estimates done by Engineering (further below). However, as you know, it was determined that we'd go with this lowercapex Repowering option for KPCo due, in large part, to our system capital constraints in that '13-'15 period... You can see that the earlier BS1 repowering projection was \$413M in 2011\$ (plus about \$60 for cost escalation and add'l gas tranportation)... for a total 'as-spent' figure of only **\$472M** (or, \$738/kW) <u>A</u> figure that we buried in our current L/T capital forecast. In other words, would appear this latest projection is in the range of 50%-70% HIGHER than the earlier estimate, on a \$/kW basis.

Point being, we obviously have to run the numbers in Strategist, but based on these new cost estimates and the revised life-cycle economics, this KPCo CC Repowering **may now wind up being very, very close (or perhaps now slightly more costly (??))** than the Dry ("NID" @ 4.5#) FGD option. If that turns out to be the case, how do you think we'd need to proceed?

I would like to recommend that perhaps McCullough be "primed" to this possibility... I have no clue if he's even seen these latest cost estimates from Chris, let alone has any appreciation of the relative increase it represents vs. the \$472M figure we've got in that L/T capex fcst now. May not be a bad idea if you were to contact him to discuss/confirm this.

Estimates just received from Chris Beam:

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 20 of 25

.....

### BS Repower Cost Estimates - Preliminary

and the second state

Cost in MM USD	Opt 1 - 2x2 M	HI 501GAC	Opt 2 - 2x2 GE 7FA.05			
	MW N	let Output	MW Net Output			
NGCC Subtotal	655.3		603.2			
AEP Owners Costs	56.7		53.7			
Total NGCC (2011 \$)	712.0	#DIV/0!	656.9	#DIV/0!		
Interconnections						
Natural Gas Supply	47.4		47.4			
Transmission/SWYD	6.5		6.5			
Total Interconn (2011 \$)	53.9		53.9			
Project Total (2011 \$)	765.9	#DIV/0!	710.8	#DIV/0!		
Escalation	62.2		58.0			
Project Total (As Spent)	828.1	#DIV/0!	768.8	#DIV/0!		

Notes: 1. Costs above exclude AFUDC and AEP Allocations.

aya di karabar si w

From: Greg G Pauley on 8/22/2011 12:06 PM

- To: Robert P Powers/BC1/AEPIN@AEPIN
- cc: Charles R Patton/AEPIN@AEPIN, Ranie K Wohnhas/OR3/AEPIN@AEPIN, Mark C McCullough/AEPIN@AEPIN, William L Sigmon/OR3/AEPIN@AEPIN, Toby Thomas/AEPIN@AEPIN, Lonni L Dieck/OR2/AEPIN@AEPIN, Scott C Weaver/OR4/AEPIN@AEPIN, Karl R Bletzacker/AEPIN@AEPIN, Timothy K Light/AEPIN@AEPIN
- Subject: Big Sandy Alternatives ---- CONFIDENTIAL

Bob: A meeting was held on Aug. 17 to review and discuss the alternatives for Big Sandy since the repowering information had been received from S&L. Scott Weaver presented information using the attached file as he reviewed and discussed the options of Retrofitting Unit 2, Re-powering Unit 1, Construction of a Gas CC facility and Market options.

<u>د</u>
Same a
0241
1232
1 11 11

PRELIMINARY\_Relative BS2 Unit Disposition Alt Economics\_081711.xls

With contingency adjustments included; the retrofit option is \$839M or \$1049/kW the gas cc option is \$1,141M or \$1,497/kW the re-power unit 1 is \$1,063M or \$1,427/kW

These figures included Carbon and a 15-year depreciation schedule.

Scott's analysis and review was very comprehensive and we included Tim Light and Karl Bletzacker to address the CAPP cost and Gas cost. Additional information in Scotts' packet shows where we were in March of this year and where we are now regarding our cost estimates. During that period Coal came back into play.

It was the consensus of the group that Retrofit was the appropriate action to pursue. Retrofit also allows for the expenses to flow through the Environmental Cost Recovery (ECR) surcharge available to Kentucky Power at the Kentucky PSC. The other alternatives would involve greater scrutiny on the part of the PSC and intervenors since it would not be eligible for the ECR process (ECR is Coal Only). Plus, it would be difficult to pursue gas in this coal state when coal shows to be the least cost.

Other issues discussed during the meeting were:

1. The cost of CAPP coal - I believe Tim Light and Karl Bletzacker are to review their information to make sure the analysis addresses where that cost would be in the future. I will forward their comments to you when I receive them. Karl was comfortable with the numbers, but Tim expressed some concern that I hope he will provide for us to review.

2. Karl Bletzacker felt comfortable (as one can be) the cost figures for gas were accurate.

3. The long tern financial plan had indicated a cost of \$472M for Big Sandy and these new figures are higher (\$699M or \$839w/adj for retrofit)

4. Substantial risk associated with going to the market

The last item we discussed was cost impact to the KY consumer. Attached is a report by Ranie that addresses this issue including the impact Rockport (line 13) would have on KY ratepayers.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 22 of 25

المراقبين ومعارية ومترجع ومترجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع

Big Sandy Rate Impact of Various Options.xls

There has been some discussion subsequent to the meeting regarding other generation in Ohio being dedicated to Kentucky. I'll defer to Lonni regarding that issue since it is preliminary at this point.

المتحر متحفظ فيقو المقاربين فالمراجع والمستقدة والمراجع المراجع المراجع

Contemport of a start state and state of the

We are available to you for a presentation if you would like. I have alerted Mark and Bill along with Scott and Charles and we will be available to you.

Thanks

Greg

the second second

Gregory G. Pauley President & COO AEP - Kentucky Power Co. 101A Enterprise Drive Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Office502-696-7007Audinet (AEP)605-7007Cell502-545-7007Fax502-696-7006

This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you should delete this message and are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 23 of 25

From: Robert L Walton on 9/22/2011 06:32 AM

To: Scott C Weaver/OR4/AEPIN@AEPIN

and the second second second second

Stephen J Hiebel/OR4/AEPIN@AEPIN CC:

Subject: Fw: BS2 2016 Scrubber Tie-in Outage Duration?

FYI. Hopefully Steve can advise us the slot he will select in the Spring, 2016 for your use in your modelling for the rate case.

----- Forwarded by Robert L Walton/OR4/AEPIN on 09/22/2011 06:26 AM -----

#### Robert L Walton/OR4/AEPIN

09/21/2011 03:56 PM

Т 0 Stephen J Hiebel/OR4/ AEPIN С С S u b e С t Re: BS2 2016 Scrubber Tie-in Outage Duration?

j

We are forecasting the scrubber tie-in for the Spring, 2016, hopefully as late in the Spring as you can get it.

> Stephen J Hiebel/OR4/AEPIN 09/21/2011 03:30 PM

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 24 of 25 Т 0 Robert L Walton/OR4/ 1 an est AEPIN@AE PIN С С S u b j е С t Re: BS2 2016 Scrubber Tie-in Outage Duration?

My last bit of information was 11 weeks in the fall of 2015.

Steve Hiebel Asset Planning and Fleet Optimization 614-583-7865 Audinet 220-7865 SJHiebel@aep.com

This transmission may contain information that is privileged, confidential, legally privileged, and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the information contained herein (including any reliance thereon) is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you received this transmission in error, please immediately contact the sender and destroy the material in its entirety, whether in electronic or hard copy format. Thank you.

Robert L Walton/OR4/AEPIN 09/21/2011 01:55 PM

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 1 Page 25 of 25 Т 0 Stephen J Hiebel/OR4/ . . ... ..... a contration of the contract of the second state of the second sta Sec. 20 AEPIN@AE PIN С С S u b j е с t BS2 2016 Scrubber Tie-in Outage Duration?

How long are you showing?

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 2 - Redacted Page 1 of 1

## THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REDACTE **MITS** ENTIRETY.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 28 Attachment 3 - Redacted Page 1 of 1

## THIS DCUMENT HAS BEEN REDACTED MITS ENTIRETY.

đ.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 29 Page 1 of 1

#### Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Please provide a copy of all analyses, emails, and all other documents that address the selection of supply side resource options in the analyses presented by Mr. Weaver in his Direct Testimony. This includes, but is not limited to, any alternative resources that were considered but not cited by Mr. Weaver in his Direct Testimony and/or not used in the analyses.

#### RESPONSE

No such formal documents exist that specifically isolate or choose the "selection" of the unit disposition options analyzed. Rather, these options that were analyzed have been viewed by AEP and KPCo management as being the most typical, rational and logical set of options available when considering such a coal unit disposition decision.

However, in January of 2012, subsequent to the filing of this case, KPCo management requested the performance on an additional analysis. Please see the response to Sierra Club Item No. 52 part a., First Set, for a description of that additional analysis.

WITNESS: Scott C Weaver

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 30 Page 1 of 1

#### Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Please provide a copy of all analyses, emails, and all other documents that address the results of the supply side resource options developed by the Company and all iterations of the analyses.

#### RESPONSE

Please see the response to KIUC 1-28 and KPSC 1-48.

#### WITNESS: Scott C Weaver

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 31 Page 1 of 1

#### Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Please provide a copy of all analyses that considers natural gas price alternatives at levels less than the lower band alternative scenario prices shown on Exhibit SCW-2 page 2 of 2. If the Company has not performed a sensitivity at prices less than the lower band alternative scenario, then please explain why it has not.

#### RESPONSE

No such analyses have been performed. The long-term forecast represents a fundamental view of the primary drivers to the energy market. Each primary driver (supply, demand, fuel, policy, etc) is developed by company experts and reflects public and non-public information. These industry views represent a sustainable outlook over the forecast period. The "base" forecast represents a sustainable view of key inputs. Upper and Lower Band forecasts measure the sensitivity of the "base" forecast to sustainable changes in fuel prices (coal and natural gas), emission prices (excluding carbon dioxide), and electricity demand.

WITNESS: Scott C Weaver
KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 32 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Please provide an economic analysis for a combined cycle alternative that relies on natural gas price starting at \$3.00 per mmBtu in 2012 escalated at the same % rate as the lower band alternative scenario prices shown on Exhibit SCW-2 page 2 of 2.

#### RESPONSE

The requested analysis has not been performed.

WITNESS: Scott C Weaver

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

## REQUEST

Please describe the steps that would be necessary to shutdown and temporarily mothball Big Sandy 2 beyond the 2015 Consent Decree date to delay the installation and cost of the DFGD and instead rely on purchases from PJM.

#### RESPONSE

Please see Attachment 1 which describes the general steps that would be necessary for a long-term lay-up of Big Sandy 2.

WITNESS: Robert L Walton

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 1 of 28



## ENGINEERING REPORT COVER SHEET

# Title: American Electric Power Guiding Principles for the Long Term Layup of Glide Path Units.

PROJECT:	American Electric Power Guiding Principles for the Long Term Layup of Glide Path Units.			
Document ID: REVISION:	AEP-FGDSCE-062510 0			
DATE:	August 30, 2010			
This document contains proprietary information of American Electric Power Service Corporation and is to be returned upon request. Its contents may not be used for other than the expressed purpose for which loaned without the written consent of American Electric Power Service Corporation.				
INTERNAL APPROV	VAL SIGNATURES			
		Original Issue	Rev. 1	Rev. 2
AUTHOR: D. E. Hubbard		Brand	/	
REVIEW: M. P. Beck		Mister Besh 8/10/2016		
APPROVAL: T. V. Riordan	90 1	1. K Sele 9/14/10		

Property and the second s	
Revision: 0 – 08/30/2010	Page 1 of 28

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 2 of 28

## **REVISION HISTORY**

REV.	SCOPE OF REVISION	APPROVAL
0	Initial Release	BEA THE
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

ι

Page 2 of 28

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 3 of 28

# American Electric Power Guiding Principles for the Long Term Layup of Glide Path Units.

For components in a power plant, corrosion can not take place if surfaces are kept free of moisture. The following curve shows the relationship between relative humidity and corrosion rate. For all practical purposes, a surface is considered dry when the relative humidity around the surface is at or below approximately 40%.



Figure 1: Corrosion Rate of Steel Relative to Humidity of Air

In certain cases the ability to dry a surface is not practical and the surfaces need to be protected using a wet layup procedure. In this case the water needs to be protected from becoming oxygenated. This is accomplished by using a nitrogen blanket. It is also important that the water used to fill a component is oxygen free. Unfortunately at AEP if this water is coming from our condensate storage tanks, the water will be saturated with dissolved oxygen. Our condensate storage tanks are vented to atmosphere. The only means we have at present to remove dissolved oxygen is to spray this water into the

A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNE	
Revision: 0 – 08/30/2010	Page 3 of 28

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 4 of 28

condenser steam side above the tube bundle to allow for removal of the oxygen. To accomplish this steam seals have to be on the turbine to allow for vacuum to be pulled on the condenser.

It also should be noted that corrosion (pitting) still can occur in a system that is full of deoxygenated water with a nitrogen blanket. Contaminants like chlorides and sulfates that have deposited over time can cause the initiation of a pit in an aqueous solution. Contaminants like chlorides and sulfates enter the cycle during condenser leaks. If drying the surfaces or nitrogen is not available and wet layup is being used, the oxygenated water needs to be kept moving such that the water never becomes stagnant.

The general guiding principles to be followed with water and steam touched surfaces are:

1. Completely remove and keep all water and moisture out of components and surfaces to be maintained with a dry layup procedure.

2. If water can not be completely removed and the surface dried, keep water from becoming oxygenated by the surrounding environment during a wet layup. Apply nitrogen blanket.

3. If not possible to keep water from becoming oxygenated during shutdown, then water must not be stagnant. Water must be kept moving.

The level of protection is not the same for the three guiding principles listed. The best protection is always to ensure ID tube surface remains dry. No water present; no corrosion.

Two scenarios for layup will be reviewed. The first scenario is long term layup (3 or more months) where the unit while in layup could be called at anytime to enter the market. The second scenario is long term layup (3 or more months) where the unit will be left out of service for the total period required. The use of vapor phase corrosion inhibitors is also included if mothballing of a unit is to be considered.

NOTE: The intent of this document is to provide guidance for protection of the unit during shutdown conditions. It is intended that this document be used as a guide to develop individual unit specific shutdown protection of the asset.

Page 4 of 28

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 5 of 28

Scenario 1: Long Term Layup (3 months or more) – Unit available to start up as market dictates.

Page 5 of 28

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 6 of 28

## Boiler

- 1. Remove all coal from bunkers. Any coal remaining in bunkers, coal valves, pipes, feeders, conveyors, etc. should be removed manually and all access doors, gates, valves, etc., left "open."
- 2. Unit needs to be deslaged.
- 3. Dry Layup (Preferred Method)
  - a.) Flash dry boilers by draining while temperatures are greater than 260F at the steam drum. It is important that pendant superheaters are completely dry. At present different flash drying procedures are being evaluated for success with this method. It is recommended that discussions between the plant and the Steam Generator Equipment Engineering section in Columbus be held to establish a site specific procedure to implement flash drying.
  - b.) Reheater needs to be dried following standard reheat drying procedure.
  - c.) Relative humidity as measured at steam drum, superheat, and reheat vents need to be maintained at 40% or less. This can be accomplished by leasing or purchasing dehumidification equipment; blowing instrument air thru the boiler superheat, and reheat; or filling circuits with nitrogen.
- 4. Wet Layup
  - a.) Boiler needs to remain full of water at a pH of between 9.5 to 10.0.
  - b.) Superheat needs to be drained and dried.
  - c.) Reheater needs to be dried following standard reheat drying procedure.
  - d.) Nitrogen blanket needs to be applied to boiler, superheater, and reheater.
  - e.) If wet layup selected, provisions need to be made to protect unit from freezing during cold months.

## Turbine

- 1. The turbine generator major systems should remain intact for the most part, but be left in a shutdown mode, such as at the start of a GBIR outage, for segmented periods of time during the layup. Oil lubricated systems from forced pressure sources should be operated occasionally to provide a corrosion protective film on the surface (lube oil, hydrogen seal oil, control/EHC fluid). The exception would be a unit with a water- cooled stator. This system should be drained and dried and then purged with a dry air source (from a dehunidification unit or other device) to minimize any crevice corrosion within the system.
- 2. The turbine steam path, including inlet/outlet piping and valve casings would benefit from a warm, dry air purge and supply during the layup period. Certain steps to provide a continuous path of air circulation thru the turbine components can and has been engineered in the past and should not pose any large problems. If a source of warm, dry air is not to be installed, air drying and then steps to minimize the formation of condensation on the components would be the next best choice.
- 3. The generator and exciter assemblies represent components that would not tolerate moisture for prolonged periods and include components (e.g. retaining rings) that could readily fail at rated speeds due to effects of corrosion. It is

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 7 of 28

recommended to keep the generator and exciter rotors in place and protect the windings inside the stators with a dry gas, preferably air or nitrogen, or even carbon dioxide. Using a dry air purge with leakage out the rotor end seals would be successful. Using N2 or CO2 will require some means of monitoring for leakage, especially at the shaft ends, and would result in some hazardous risk due to leaks. Supply equipment would be necessary in each case, and could be as simple as gas supply bottles and regulators or a branch connection from the dehumidified air supply. Keeping the H2 in the unit with the seal system in service is another option that can be considered.

4. The operating guidelines prior to removing the unit from service before a layup period should be followed to minimize the degree of deposits that will remain on the turbine blades. A "water wash" will benefit the inlet stages of the HP/IP turbines and the later stages of the LP turbines the most and thus reduce the area of corrosion potential during layup. Also, a periodic plan to operate equipment (oil and water pumps, turning gear, vapor extractors, etc.) should also be established.

### Condensate/Feedwater

The condensate and feedwater systems including the economizer needs to be drained and dried. The condenser hotwell needs to be also drained and dried along with the shell side of the low pressure and high pressure heaters and deaerator storage tank. Dried is defined as a relative humidity maintained below 40%.

#### Condenser

If the circulating water system is not needed to stay in service for cooling of auxiliary systems then it is recommended that the circulated water side of the condenser be drained opened and dried by blowing warm air thru waterbox and tubes. If circulating water valves leak thru, then the circulating water needs to be kept moving by operation of the circulating water pump. This is necessary to avoid stagnant water conditions that can lead to corrosion. If the circulating water pumps need to remain in service because of leaking valves then this may cause issues with being able to keep the steam side of the condenser dry. If the steam side of the condenser can not be kept dry while operating the circulating water system then it is recommended that the circulating water system be shut down and we will sacrifice pitting and corrosion of the circulating water side to ensure we can keep the steam side of the condenser dry.

#### Auxiliary systems

Any coolers on the low pressure service water, auxiliary cooling, or closed cycle cooling systems need to be either isolated and drained or they need to have flow on them all the time. Stagnant water in coolers has to be avoided.

#### Water Treatment Plant

In most cases the water plant will still be in operation providing water to operating units. For units that the water plant supplies filtered water only, and filtered water is not needed the following is recommended:

A. Coagulator needs to be drained and hosed out.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 8 of 28

- B. Clearwell to be left full, treated with bleach and used to backwash the downstream filters and softeners weekly to keep from having biological activity start to grow inside this equipment. Backwashing only needs to be long enough to displace water inside vessels.
- C. If clearwell level goes low, it should be refilled but not thru coagulator. If refilled, bleach needs to be added to clearwell.

#### **Condensate Storage Tanks**

It is not recommended that condensate storage tanks be drained during long term shutdown. Tank temperatures need to be monitored such that if temperatures approach 35 F, arrangements are made to periodically circulate storage tank water. This can be simply accomplished by moving water from out of service tanks to in service tanks.

#### **Chemical Feed Systems**

For boiler caustic feed systems it is recommended that all piping be flushed and drained. The boiler caustic feed tank can either be drained and flushed or left full as long as mixer stays in service and tank is protected from freezing. On the condensate ammonia and oxygen scavenger feed system it is recommended to drain piping but leave chemicals in tanks and ensure tanks do not freeze.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 9 of 28

.

# Scenario 2: Long Term Layup (3 months or more) – Unit Remains Out of Service for Total Period.

.

Page 9 of 28

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 10 of 28

#### Boiler

- 1. Remove all coal from bunkers. Any coal remaining in bunkers, coal valves, pipes, feeders, conveyors, etc. should be removed manually and all access doors, gates, valves, etc., left "open."
- 2. Remove ash and slag deposits from ash hoppers, and accumulations from breeching and dampers. Remove all ash from dead air spaces and inside convection pass areas. Ash accumulations allowed to stay in these areas will significantly increase externally corrosion.
- 3. Unit needs to be deslaged and external tube surface needs to be kept dry by blowing warm air thru unit or surface needs to be neutralized by spraying with a sodium carbonate solution to neutralize any acidic species on the OD of the tube to prevent external corrosion.

### 4. Dry Layup (Preferred Method)

a.) Flash dry boilers by draining while temperatures are greater than 260° F at the steam drum. It is important that pendant superheaters are completely dry. At present different flash drying procedures are being evaluated for success with this method. It is recommended that discussions between the plant and the Steam Generator Equipment Engineering section in Columbus be held to establish a site specific procedure to implement flash drying.

b.) Reheater needs to be dried following standard reheat drying procedure.

c.) Relative Humidity as measured at steam drum, superheat, and reheat vents need to be maintained at 40% or less. This can be accomplished by leasing or purchasing dehumidification equipment; blowing instrument air thru the boiler, superheat, and reheat; or filling circuits with nitrogen.

#### 5. Wet Layup

a.) Boiler needs to remain full of water at a pH of between 9.5 to 10.0

b.) Superheat needs to be drained and dried.

c.) Reheater needs to be dried following standard reheat drying procedure.

d.) Nitrogen blanket needs to be applied to boiler, superheater, and reheater.

e.) If wet layup selected, provisions need to be made to project unit from freezing during cold months.

#### Turbine

- 1. The turbine generator major systems should remain intact for the most part, but be left in a shutdown mode, such as at the start of a GBIR outage, for segmented periods of time during the layup. Oil lubricated systems from forced pressure sources should be operated occasionally to provide a corrosion protective film on the surface (lube oil, hydrogen seal oil, control/EHC fluid). The exception would be a unit with a water-cooled stator. This system should be drained and dried and then purged with a dry air source (from a HIT Skid or other device) to minimize any crevice corrosion within the system.
- 2. The turbine steam path, including inlet/outlet piping and valve casings would benefit from a warm, dry air purge and supply during the layup period. Certain steps

	again in the second with an approximate and a second s
Devisions 0 00/20/2010 1	D 10 000
1  Kevision:  0 - 08/30/2010 1	1 Page 10 of 7X
	1 1450100120

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 11 of 28

to provide a continuous path of air circulation thru the turbine components can and has been engineered in the past and should not pose any large problems. If a source of warm, dry air is not to be installed, air drying and then steps to minimize the formation of condensation on the components would be the next best choice.

- 3. The generator and exciter assemblies represent components that would not tolerate moisture for prolonged periods and include components (e.g. retaining rings) that could readily fail at rated speeds due to effects of corrosion. It is recommended to keep the generator and exciter rotors in place and protect the windings inside the stators with a dry gas, preferably air or nitrogen, or even carbon dioxide. Using a dry air purge with leakage out the rotor end seals would be successful. Using N2 or CO2 will require some means of monitoring for leakage, especially at the shaft ends, and would result in some hazardous risk due to leaks. Supply equipment would be necessary in each case, and could be as simple as gas supply bottles and regulators or a branch connection from the dehumidified air supply. Keeping the H2 in the unit with the seal system in service is anther option that can be considered.
- 4. The operating guidelines prior to removing the unit from service before a layup period should be followed to minimize the degree of deposits that will remain on the turbine blades. A "water wash" will benefit the inlet stages of the HP/IP turbines and the later stages of the LP turbines the most and thus reduce the area of corrosion potential during layup. Also, a periodic plan to operate equipment (oil and water pumps, turning gear, vapor extractors, etc.) should also be established.
- 5. Reheat drying needs to be initiated during shutdown of the unit.

#### Condensate/Feedwater

The condensate and feedwater systems including the economizer needs to be drained and dried. The condenser hotwell needs to be also drained and dried along with the shell side of the low pressure and high pressure heaters and deaerator storage tank. Dried is being defined as a relative humidity maintained below 40%.

#### Condenser

If the circulating water system is not needed to stay in service for cooling of auxiliary systems then it is recommended that the circulated water side of the condenser be drained opened and dried by blowing warm air thru waterbox and tubes. If circulating water valves leak thru, then the circulating water needs to be kept moving by operation of the circulating water pump. This is necessary to avoid stagnant water conditions that can lead to corrosion. If the circulating water pumps need to remain in service because of leaking valves then this may cause issues with being able to keep the steam side of the condenser dry. If the steam side of the condenser can not be kept dry while operating the circulating water system then it is recommended that the circulating water system be shut down and we will sacrifice pitting and corrosion of the circulating water side to ensure we can keep the steam side of the condenser dry.

#### Auxiliary Systems

Any coolers on the low pressure service water, auxiliary cooling, or closed cycle

	1 11 000
i = i + i + i + i + i + i + i + i + i +	
	0

cooling systems need to be either isolated and drained or they need to have flow on them all the time. Stagnant water in coolers has to be avoided.

### Water Treatment Plant

In most cases the water plant will still be in operation providing water to operating units. For units that the water plant supplies filtered water only, and filtered water is not needed the following is recommended:

- A. Coagulator needs to be drained and hosed out.
- B. Clearwell to be left full, treated with bleach and used to backwash the downstream filters and softeners weekly to keep from having biological activity start to grow inside this equipment. Backwashing only needs to be long enough to displace water inside vessels.
- C. If clearwell level goes low, it should be refilled but not thru coagulator. If refilled, bleach needs to be added to clearwell.

## **Condensate Storage Tanks**

It is not recommended that condensate storage tanks be drained during long term shutdown. Tank temperatures need to be monitored such that if temperatures approach 35 F, that arrangements are made to periodically circulate storage tank water. This can be simply accomplished by moving water from out of service tanks to in service tanks.

## **Chemical Feed Systems**

For boiler caustic feed systems it is recommended that all piping be flushed and drained. The boiler caustic feed tank can either be drained and flushed or left full as long as mixer stays in service and tank is protected from freezing. On the condensate ammonia and oxygen scavenger feed system it is recommended to drain piping but leave chemicals in tanks and ensure tanks do not freeze.

The remaining sections of this report covers general considerations for protection of electrical equipment, chemical instrumentation, air pollution control equipment and a section on using vapor phase inhibitors for mothballing units.

These sections again offer general guidelines that will need to be considered on a case by case basis as a unit specific layup document is developed.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 13 of 28

# **Electrical Equipment**

Revision: 0 – 08/30/2010

Page 13 of 28

`

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 14 of 28

#### Reserve Aux and Unit Aux Transformers

- 1. If transformer has conservator, make certain that oil level in conservator is at normal 25° C level. Also make certain that isolation valve from conservator to tank is open. Check oil level every three (3) months.
- 2. If conservator has a dehydrating breather make certain that desiccant is dry. Check desiccant every three (3) months.
- 3. If conservator is nitrogen blanketed make certain that nitrogen bottle is full and that the regulating valve is open and functioning correctly. Check nitrogen bottle every three (3) months.
- 4. If transformer is sealed tank design, make certain that nitrogen bottles are full and that the regulating valve is open and functioning properly. Make certain oil level is normal and check every three (3) months. Check nitrogen bottle every three (3) months
- 5. Collect an oil sample and have analysis done. Repeat every 6 months.
- 6. Inspect transformer for oil leaks and repair leaks before laying up.
- 7. Make certain that control panels have anti-condensation space heaters installed, are functional, and are energized.
- 8. Make certain that tank grounds are properly installed.
- 9. If transformer has pumps and fans it is recommeded running both for a few minutes during three (3) month inspection.
- 10. If lightning arrestors are installed make certain they are functional.
- 11. If abnormal oil analysis is observed consider having oil process done before returning to service.
- 12. Perform Doble test and TTR test before returning to service.

#### **GSU** Transformers

- 1. All of the above.
- 2. If GSU will not be required to be back fed for plant power source, disconnect leads from HV-bushings. Ground bushings.

#### **Bus Systems**

- 1. Non-seg phase bus
  - a. If bus has anti-condensation heaters make certain that they are functioning properly and are energized.
  - b. Bus should be relatively clean before laying up.
  - c. If bus has drain vents make certain that they are unobstructed and functioning properly.
  - d. Make certain that all inspection covers, access covers, etc. are installed. If any covers are missing the openings shall be sealed, for example, by placing tarpaulin over the opening and fixing cover material in place with

Revision: 0 – 08/30/2010	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 15 of 28

clamps, screws into existing holes (i.e. holes for original cover. New holes shall not be drilled.) etc.

- e. Any vermin guards shall be checked and repaired if necessary before laying up.
- f. Take a Polarization Index of the bus insulation with a DC megger and record the results. Repeat every three (3) months. Progressively lower values of PI readings indicate an ingress of moisture. Corrective action should be taken to avoid further lowering of insulation resistance.
- g. Just prior to return to service the bus shall have a Polarization Index done with DC megger.
- 2. Isolated phase bus
  - a. All of the above.
  - b. If the bus is forced-cooled, drain the air-to-water heat exchanger. Fill heat exchanger with glycol for freeze protection. Heat exchanger shall be flushed and filled with water prior to return to service.

#### Switchgear/MCCs

- 1. Make certain that cubicles and main bus compartment are clean and dry.
- 2. Rack out all breakers/starter buckets. Coat all primary contacts with a type "B" rust preventative material.
- 3. Make certain that all external covers/panels are installed.
- 4. If cubicles/starter compartments have space heaters make certain that they function correctly and are energized.
- 5. Take a Polarization Index of the main bus insulation with a DC megger and record the results. Repeat every three months. Progressively lower values of PI readings indicate an ingress of moisture. Corrective action should be taken to avoid further lowering of insulation resistance.
- 6. Breakers must go through complete preventative maintenance procedure (e.g., lubrication, contact wipe checked, etc.) before being placed back in service.
- 7. Breakers must be high current tested before being placed back in service.
- 8. Protective relays shall be tested and calibrated before placing switchgear back into service.

#### Medium Voltage Motors

- 1. If possible motor should be stored indoors in a clean dry area.
- 2. If indoor storage is not possible, the motor must be covered with a tarpaulin. This cover should extend to the base, however it should not tightly wrap the motor. This will allow the captive air space to breath, minimizing formation of condensation.
- 3. Precautions should be taken to prevent rodents, snakes, bird, or other small animals from nesting inside of the motors. In areas where they are prevalent, precautions must be taken to prevent insects, such as mud dauber wasp, from gaining access to the interior of the motor.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 16 of 28

- 4. Coat all exposed machined surfaces, such as shaft extensions, C-faces, etc. with solid film corrosion inhibitor such as Rust-Ban 326 or equivalent.
- 5. Insure that motor temperatures are maintained at five (5) degrees F minimal above ambient temperature. If motor is equipped with space heaters make certain that they are energized.
- 6. Make certain that the shaft lock bar is applied to shaft to prevent movement.
- 7. Motors with greased bearings must have lubricated cavities completely filled with lubricant during storage. Remove drain plug and fill cavity with grease until grease begins to purge from drain opening. Review motor's lubrication nameplate for correct lubricant.
- 8. Motors with oil lubricated bearings must have the oil reservoir drained and filled to maximum level with a properly selected oil containing rust and corrosion inhibitors such as Texaco Regal Marine #77, Mobil Vaprotec Light, or an equivalent. Oil should be inspected monthly for evidence of moisture or oxidation.
- 9. All motors must have shaft rotated 10 ¼ revolutions monthly. Remove the shaft lock bar to rotate and then re-install shaft lock bar.
- 10. Take Polarization Index readings with DC megger and record the results. Repeat every six (6) months. Progressively lower values of PI readings indicate an ingress of moisture. Corrective action should be taken to avoid further lowering of insulation resistance.
- 11. Before placing motor back into service make certain of the following:
  - a. Motors with oil bearings have correct lubricating oil installed in reservoir.
  - b. Motors with grease bearings should be filled with new grease per motor manufacturer's instructions.
  - c. Make certain that PI value is 2 or greater.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 17 of 28

# Chemical Instrumentation

Page 17 of 28

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 18 of 28

Analyzer Make	Parameter	Shut Down Procedure	Shut Down Procedure >3weeks	Start Up Procedure
Yokogawa	pH	Leave probes within the sample only with the sample. Starting up the analyzer might require a 3 hour flush down period before probes are responding correctly. It is imperative to keep the sensors wet at all times. The most problematic is the reference electrode with its KCL solution. There is a possibility for the KCL to salt out and have solids develop in the electrodes and in the tubing over time.	Remove the electrodes and store them in a pH storage solution (saturated KCL should be good). Power down instrument if easily accessible to protect electronics from surges.	Re-install the probes and check the status of the reference electrode electrolyte. Refill if necessary with the correct solution. Run probes for 3 hours in sample (after lines have been blown down to prevent fowling of the probes). If no alarms are present, particularly the 5 2 alarm, perform a normal buffer calibration.
Honcywell	pH	If possible valve in demineralized water to probes, Leave probes within the sample only with sample. Starting up the analyzer might require a 3 hour flush down period before probes are responding correctly.	Remove the electrodes and store them in a pH storage solution (saturated K.CL. should be good). Power down instrument if easily accessible to protect electronics from surges.	Re-install the probes and check the status of the reference electrode electrolyte. Refill if necessary with the correct solution. Run probes for 3 hours in sample (after lines have been blown down to prevent fowling of the probes) and then perform a normal buffer calibration (2 point)
Yokogawa	Conductivity	NOTHING	NOTHING ,	Flow cells might require a cleaning with a brush as well as the probe.
Honeywell	Conductivity	NOTHING	NOTHING	Flow cells might require a cleaning with a brush as well as the probe.
Waltron	Silica	Remove all reagents and dispose. Place all of the straws into bottle with DI water. Perform 5 consecutive primes to flush lines of any reagent. Remove DI bottle and perform 5 more primes to flush any sample left over. Power down the instrument.	Remove all reagents and dispose. Place all of the straws into bottle with DI water. Perform 5 consecutive primes to flush lines of any reagent. Remove DI bottle and perform 5 more primes to flush any sample left over. Power down the instrument.	Replace the pump tubing, re-install reagents and DI water, and perform 3 consecutive prime cycles followed by a calibration.
Hach	Silica	If possible, run DI water through analyzer through analyzer and keep in operation. If not, perform a weekly prime and calibration then power down the instrument. Inspect the status of the reagents to ensure no precipitation has formed.	Dispose of all reagents but keep the bottles. Rinse the bottles well with DI water. Fill bottles up with DI water. Re- install into the analyzer. Run 5 primes. Remove and dispose DI water. Re-install empty bottles to blow tubing out. Run 5 more primes. Empty sample cell, remove pinch valve tubing from valve, close off sample flow and air pressure, and power down the analyzer.	Re-establish sample and air flow to instrument, reinstall the pinch valve tube and perform 2 primes followed by a calibration. If calibration value isn't within (50ppb/500), then let analyzer run on sample for 2 hours and perform another calibration. Sample cell could require a cleaning if valves aren't within limits.
	Revision: 0 - 08/	80/2010		Page 18 of 28

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 19 of 28

Analyzer Make	Parameter	Shut Down Procedure	Shut Down Procedure	Start Up Procedure
Crion	Sodium	Power down instrument and turn off sample flow.	Remove electrodes and store in the electrode protective caps with DI water and a small amount of reference electrolyte (CSBr, CSCI, or NH4CI), remove buffer and diffusion tubing shut sample valve and power down the instrument. Reference electrode: Remove the reference electrode and fill completely with reference electrolyte and cap both the bottle of the electrolyte and cap both the bottle of the electrolyte and the side-arm of the reference electrode. If you do not have the original cap for the side-arm than you can alternatively use parafilm. Second, cap the sensing end of the reference electrode with the protective cap originally supplied or parafilm. Store in original electrode box/packaging or in a safe location. Depending on the age of the reference electrode and the amount of time the electrode and the amount of time the electrode is stored, it is possible to experience a shift. The electrode will need to be tested upon start up to see if the prolonged storage has had a significantly negative effect upon the EO. Be sure to remove the internal electrolyte upon start up and replace with fresh electrolyte. Also, be sure to hydrate the electrode prior to calibration for a couple of hours for optimal response. Reagent: Follow the procedure in the Sodium Analyzer User Guide for removal of the reagent. Be sure to turn off the airpump, prior to removal of the reagent to avoid splattering of the reagent. Cap the reagent and either store in a fume hood, or discard the reagent. Most reagent once exposed to the environment will have a limited life-span, so it may be best to discard if the shutdown is more than a few months. Discard the used diffusion tubing once you have removed the reagent. Install a new diffusion tube upon start-up and recalibration. Fluidics: Once the reagent is removed, then the fluidics are ready to be taken off- line. You do not need to run water to the analyzer during this period. The analyzer can remain dry. Upon start-up you will want to clean or change the bypass filter (60 micron stainles	Re-install electrodes, new buffer and diffusion tubing and let probes rinse down after establishing sample flow to the instrument for at least 3 hours. Perform a calibration.
		`		

Revision: 0 – 08/30/2010

Page 19 of 28

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 20 of 28

Analyzer Make-	Parameter	Shut Down Procedure	Shut Down Procedure	Start Up Procedure
Waltron	Sodium	Power down instrument and turn off sample flow.	Remove electrodes and store in the electrode protective caps with DI water and a small amount of reference electrolyte (NH4Cl or KCl), remove buffer, shut sample valve and power down the instrument.	Re-install electrodes, new buffer and let probes rinse down after establishing sample flow to the instrument for at least 3 hours. Perform a calibration.
SWAN	Sodium	Power down instrument and turn off sample flow.	Remove electrodes and store in the electrode protective caps with DI water and a small amount of reference electrolyte (NH4Cl or KCl), remove buffer, shut sample valve and power down the instrument.	Re-install electrodes, loosen reference electrode sleeve junction, install buffer and let probes rinse down after establishing sample flow to the instrument for at least 1 hour. Perform a calibration.
Waltron/ABB	DO	Remove probe, place in protective cap with DI water soaked on a sponge, store in refrigerator or cool place.	Remove probe, place in protective cap with DI water soaked in sponge, store in refrigerator or cool place.	Re-install probe and let probe's temperature to stabilize for at 30 minutes, perform calibration.
Hach	DO	Leave probe in flow cell, turn off sample flow and LEAVE POWER ON.	Remove probe and perform membrane removal and flush KOH procedure, store in cool/dry place.	Replace membrane and electrolyte and if oxide-precipitation perform a probe cleaning, let probe stabilize in sample for 3 hours, then perform a calibration.
Analyzer Make	Parameter	Shut Down Procedure	Shut Down Procedure >3weeks	Start Up Procedure
Orion	Chloride	Remove electrodes and store in the electrode protective caps with DI water and a small amount of reference electrolyte (CsBr, CsCl, or NH4Cl), remove buffer and diffusion tubing shut sample valve and power down the instrument.	Remove electrodes and store in the electrode protective caps with DI water and a small amount of reference electrolyte (CsBr, CsCl, or NH4Cl), remove buffer and diffusion tubing shut sample valve and power down the instrument. Reference Electrode: Remove the reference electrode and fill completely with reference electrode electrolyte. Once filled, remove the side-arm tube from the reference electrolyte and cap both the bottle of the electrolyte and the side-arm of the reference electrode. If you do not have the original cap for the side-arm than you can alternatively use parafilm. Second, cap the sensing end of the reference electrode box/packaging or in a safe location. Depending on the age of the reference electrode is stored, it is possible to experience a shift. The electrode will need to be tested upon start up to see if the prolonged storage has had a significantly negative effect upon the E0. Be sure to remove the internal electrolyte upon start up and replace with fresh electrolyte. Also, be sure to hydrate the electrode prior to calibration for a couple of hours for optimal response. Reagent: Follow the procedure in the Sodium Analyzer User Guide for removal of the reagent. Be sure to turn off the airpump, prior to removal of the reagent to avoid splattering of the reagent. Cap the reagent and either store in a fume hood, or discard the reagent. Most reagent once exposed to the environment will have a limited life-span, so it may be best to discard if the slutdown is more than a few months. Discard the used diffusion tubing once you have removed the reagent. Install a new diffusion tube upon start-up and recalibration.	Re-install electrodes, new buffer and let probes rinse down after establishing sample flow to the instrument for at least 3 hours. Perform a calibration.

.....

Page 20 of 28

		KPS KIUG Date Item Atta Pag	C Case No. 2011-00401 C First Set of Data Requests d January 13, 2012 No. 33 Chment 1 e 21 of 28
		Fluidics: Once the reagent is removed, then the fluidics are ready to be taken off- line. You do not need to run water to the analyzer during this period. The analyzer can remain dry. Upon start-up you will want to clean or change the bypass filter (60 micron stainless steel) to ensure proper flow. Also, continue to wash down the analyzer with fresh sample for a few hours prior to calibration. Depending on the age of the restrictor tube (located off the flowmeter/rotometer) you may want to check or replace to ensure there isn't any particles trapped causing low flow issues upon start-up.	
Í			1

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 22 of 28

# Air Pollution Control Equipment

Page 22 of 28

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 23 of 28

## Urea System

A. Layup is being defined as complete removal of the concentrated urea from the storage tanks and recirc system piping. This includes a final rinse, piping air purge, and removal of the waste diluted urea leaving the storage tanks and piping clean and empty.

B. The tank heaters will be off and the piping heat trace can be de-energized if it is felt the piping was purged sufficiently that freezing will not damage the piping.

C. Leave the heat tracing on the Urea Dilution Water Skid energized to protect its piping.

## Air Pollution Control Equipment

- 1. ESP
- a. Empty all hoppers
- b. Vacuum out excess ash in ESP & inlet and outlet nozzles
- c. Wash Clean the ESP for long term storage.
- d. Wash with a caustic injection into the water or a sodium bicarbonate rinse. Test the drain water for pH and not stop washing until it is neutral range (6.5 7.5)
- e. Repair known casing leaks especially on horizontal surfaces
- f. Run purge air system and hopper heater 48 hour after water cleaning to dry equipment
- g. On stacks with multiple units entering them, seal off the duct ahead of the stack breaching damper to minimizes air in-leakage and the formation of aerosols in the stack

## 2. Fabric Filters

- a. Empty all hoppers
- b. Vacuum out excess ash in FF & inlet and outlet nozzles
- c. Repair known casing leaks especially on horizontal surfaces

#### 3. TR Set

- a. Conventionals (nothing required)
- b. High Frequency Ensure the control cabinet heaters are in-service to minimize condensation inside the control
- 4. Rappers (nothing required)
- 5. Purge Air System (nothing required)

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 24 of 28

- 6. FARS
- Wet

a.

- i.Drain wet transport to prevent freezing
- ii.Isolate water supply to hydroveyor for freeze protection
- b. Dry
  - i.Empty all separators
  - ii.Isolate water supply to vacuum pumps for freeze protection
- 7. Flue Gas Conditioning Systems
  - a. Purge lines per OEM procedures & SCR ammonia system winterizing procedures
  - b. Neutralize any line carrying highly corrosive gases
  - c. Top off all liquid sulfur tanks and turn off heating and allow them to freeze. Heat should be returned to the tank 72 hours prior to start up.
  - d. Any system using Anhydrous Ammonia should have the tanks drained to minimize the potential for ammonia leak to atmosphere.

**Note:** The equipment should be inspected and any identified or previously known mechanical or electrical issues should be repaired at this time.

Revision: 008/30/2010	Page 24 of 28
1007151011.0 - 0075072010	1 480 24 01 20

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 25 of 28

# Vapor Phase Inhibitors Mothballing of Units

Page 25 of 28

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401

	KIUC	First Set of Data Requests
Description of Surfaces Being Protected	General Description and Class <sup>Bete</sup> the Vapor Corrosion Inhibitor <sup>Item</sup>	d Januero Per Product Trade No. 33 Inment 1 Name and Number
Protection of Interior Steel Surfaces, such as, boiler tubes, condenser (tubes, tubesheet and waterbox), heat exchangers, turbines, generators, pumps, tanks and piping. A key aspect of this VaporCorrosion Inhibitor (VpCI) is that it must be contained in relatively air tight or at least covered from weather intrusion.	Waterbased corrosion inhibitor for the protection of enclosed steel surfaces. System and/or surfaces must be empty. Protection is generally limited to 12 months.	26 o¥28 p C I 3 3 7
Protection of Exterior Steel Surfaces (i.e. exposed to weathering). These VCI materials are intended for surfaces that are bare (without a protective coating). Surfaces may include bare piping, electrostatic precipitator plates, structural steel, and other misc. equipment.	Solvent (mineral spirits) based forming a wax-like film containing the vapor corrosion inhibitor. Applied by brush or by spraying to achieve a minimum continuous film of 2-3 mils. Requires abrasive blast surface preparation. Removal requires mineral spirits dilution or strong hot detergent cleaning.	VpCI 368/369 VpCI 368 is a harder waxier film and provides longer term protection but is more difficult to remove. VpCI 369 is more like and thickened oil and is easier to remove
Electrical Equipment Emitter. For use on the interior of electrical boxes, cabinets, switch gear equipment and other enclosed electrical equipment. May also be used for enclosed electric motors and electrical instruments.	This is a self-contained VpCI emitter that attaches to the inside of any electrical enclosure and emits a corrosion inhibitive vapor	VpCI-111
Electrical Equipment Corrosion Inhibiting Spray. For use on electical and electronic conductors and connections, in sheltered applications and/or for enclosed electrical equipment. May also be safely used on low voltage circuits and relays without changing its conductivity. May be safely applied on plastics, elastomers and other non-metalic circuit boards.	This spray applied material (via hand held spray can) is intended for direct use on sheltered electric/electronic switches, circuit boards, electical contacts and other electical applications where it prevents the formation of metal oxides on the electrical surfaces. May be used on aluminum, copper, steel and other non-ferrous metal surfaces.	ElectriCorr VpCI -238 and VpCI 239 (Available in 9.45 oz spray cans)
Vapor Phase Corrosion Inhibiting Films and Bags for packaging fasteners, metal parts, and other equipment that can be easily packaged	This is a packaging film which contains vapor phase corrosion inhibitors. It is suitable for the protection from oxidation of ferrous and non-ferrous metals. Ideal for small parts, fasteners, pipe fittings, and other item that can be placed in a package. Provides up to 5 years corrosion protection.	VpCI-126 Blue This Is a transparent film
<u>Vapor Phase Corrosion Inhibiting Shrink</u> <u>Wrap Films</u> for packaging fasteners, metal parts, and other equipment that <u>can be easily packaged</u>	The high strength shrink composite film contains both VpCI and UV inhibitors. This fire retardant film provides corrosion protection ferrous and non-ferrous metals. May be used to protect large equipment and assemblies. Provides excellent protection for shipping equipment including overseas shipping.	MilCorr VpCI Shrink Film

Revision: 0 – 08/30/2010	Page 26 of 28
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

.

.....

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests

.

		First Set of Data Requests
Oil Additives for Lube Oil and Hydralic	This rust inhibitive additive provide at	d Januaw-529 HAd M-530 Oil
Oil Tanks and Systems. This viscous	excellent protection during operating	hment 1 Based Corrosion
liquid is intended to be added to the oil	conditions as well as shut down Page	27 of 28 Inhibitor
in operating and non-operating	conditions. It is effective with both	
<u>Iubricating and hydralic oil systems.</u>	mineral and synthetic oil systems.	
	Provides protection for both ferrous	
	and non-ferrous metals. It has low	
	toxicity and is thermally stable.	
Corrosion Inhibiting Grease- This	This grease is suitable for protecting	Corrlube Grease
product is a lithium complex grease for	non-coated surfaces such as flange	
both operating and lay-up conditions	faces, lubricating sleeves, ball and	
	roller bearings, and other non-coated	
	equipment surfaces that requires	
	lubrication as well as corrosion	
	protection. Suitable for exposure to	
	salt water and brine as well as normal	
	weathering.	
Closed Loop Water System Corrosion	This additive provides long term	VpCI-649 Water System
Additive- Also helps to prevent scale	protection for ferrous and non-terrous	Additive
build up.	metal water systems from oxidation in	
	fresh water, steam, condensate and	
	glycol closed loop systems. The	
	additive is readily water soluble. The	
	effective concentration level is 0.1-	
	0.2% (1000-2000ppm).	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 33 Attachment 1 Page 28 of 28

Page 28 of 28

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 34 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Please quantify the costs to shutdown and temporarily mothball Big Sandy 2 beyond the 2015 Consent Decree date while preserving the ability to subsequently restart the unit and comply with the relevant environmental requirements at a later date.

#### RESPONSE

The costs to shutdown and temporarily mothball Big Sandy 2, as stated in the question, have not been quantified but the Company would not delay the installation of the DFGD and rely upon purchases because any delay may result in market risk and significant increases in cost.

WITNESS: Robert L Walton

-

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 35 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

## REQUEST

Please provide a copy of all analyses, emails, and all other documents that address the availability and/or economics of purchasing existing natural gas generation either through an ownership structure or through a PPA in lieu of the self-build natural gas options addressed by Mr. Weaver in his Direct Testimony.

#### RESPONSE

No such documents exist.

WITNESS: Scott C Weaver

.
KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 36 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

# REQUEST

Please provide a copy of all analyses, emails, and all other documents that address the availability and/or economics of purchasing the output or the assets of the generating facility in Zelda, Kentucky owned by the Riverside Generating Company, LLC.

## RESPONSE

Please see attachments to the Company's response to AG 1-22.

WITNESS: Ranie K Wohnhas

.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 37 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Please provide a copy of all analyses, emails, and all other documents evaluating and/or ranking competitive bids for capacity and energy prepared by or on behalf of AEP and/or its operating utilities within the last two years.

### RESPONSE

Kentucky Power interpreted this request for purposes of this response to mean "competitive bids for capacity and energy" that were received by the Company and to encompass only written capacity and energy offers exceeding 50 MW and not involve renewable energy. Generally, such written offers are preliminary indicative offers that are made subject to further discussion and review by offeror's management prior to being entertained for acceptance. Based on those parameters, Kentucky Power located one responsive document for the stated time period which document is considered to be highly confidential. Please see the Attachment for which confidential protection is being sought.

WITNESS: Ranie K Wohnhas

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 37 Attachment 1 Page 1 of 1

# THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REDACTED TN TTS ENTIRETY.

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Please provide a copy of all analyses, emails, and all other documents evaluating financing alternatives to the Company's proposal in this proceeding, including, but not limited to, project financing, leasing, and securitization.

### RESPONSE

The Company looked at two alternative financing options, a cash return on CWIP and securitization. The Company's securitization financing option assumed that the Company earned an equity return equal to the return it would have earned under the traditional financing method and securitized the remaining investment at a favorable financing rate. Attachment 1 compares the CWIP option, the securitization option and a combined CWIP and securitization to the traditional recovery methodology.

WITNESS: Ranie K Wohnhas

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 38 Attachment 1 Page 1 of 10

ndy DFGD	ing Options
Big Sa	Financ

Scenario	ND	V total company	1st J rev	vear in service regmt total co	<u>Ist year in service</u> rev reamt juris	1st year in service % increase*	e Comments
Traditional Return on Rate Base CWIP at WACC Securitized Mortgage Financing CWIP Securitized Mortgage Financing	<u> </u>	1,539,131,280 1,512,150,440 1,483,706,536 1,462,581,247		206,597,218 190,049,101 186,012,016 171,639,096	<ul> <li>177,735,861</li> <li>163,148,995</li> <li>159,590,377</li> <li>159,590,377</li> <li>146,920,908</li> </ul>	31.2% 28.6% 28.0% 25.8%	10.69% WACC, 10.5% ROE, 15 year depreciation, 5% discount rate 10.69% WACC, 10.5% ROE, 15 year depreciation, 5% discount rate 10.5% ROE, 3% LTD, 15 year mortgage and depreciation, 5% discount rate 10.5% ROE, 3% LTD, 15 year mortgage and depreciation, 5% discount rate
* KY jurisdictional revenue =	ю	569,593,245					

WACC Pre Tax 1.5762	Pre Tax 1.5762 1.5762
Capital WACC Net of Tax GRCF 6.48% 0.00% 0.83% 0.05% 1.22% 0.05% 10.50% 4.61% 8.03%	Net of Tax GRCF 6.48% 0.00% 3.00% 1.68% 1.22% 0.00% 10.50% 4.61% 6.29%
Cost of t Balance Cap Structure Rates \$ 550,000,000 51.941% \$ 43,588,933 4.116% \$ 465,314,088 43.943% \$ 1,058,903,021 100.000%	Balance Cap Structure Rates \$ 535,997,007 56.095% \$ 419,515,485 43.905% \$ 419,515,485 43.905% \$ 955,512,492 100.000%
Current Calculation of Weighted Cost of Capital as of 4/30/2010 Long term Debt Short Term Debt A/R Financing Common Equity Total	Additional Financing Calculation of Weighted Cost of Capital as of 4/30/2010 Long term Debt Securitized Debt A/R Financing FGD Equity Common Equity Total

3.37% 0.00% 0.05% 7.27% 10.69%

	0.00% 1.68% 0.00% 7.27% 0.00%	8.95%
Pre Tax	1.5762 1.5762	10.0
f Tax GRCF	0.00% 1.68% 0.00% 4.61%	6.29%
Net of	6.48% 3.00% 1.22% 10.50%	%.nc.n1
Cap Structure Rates	0.000% 56.095% 0.000% 43.905%	100.00%
Balance	\$ 535,997,007 \$ 419,515,485	\$ 955,512,492

1.74% 891,811,659 15,517,523

ശ

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 38 Attachment 1 Page 2 of 10

-0640 0 - 8665

<u>Traditional Return on Rate Base</u>	Yea	5	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year B	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14
Cumulative Construction Expenditures AFUDC Prelimitary Scuubber Analysis2004-2006 Utility Plant Installed Net (Exitiat UPA-1, Line 5) Loss: Accumulated Depredation Loss: Accumulated Depredation Plus: ONNE Tearing a Refun-	ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი	368,000 S 377,000 S 212,425 S 	235,638,000 20,561,000 15,212,425 -	\$ 455,916,000 \$ 51,993,000 \$ 15,212,425 \$ 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	\$ 839,325,067 \$ 100,975,000 \$ 15,212,425 \$ 955,512,402 \$ 63,700,833 \$ 22,852,674 \$ 22,852,674 \$ 5 868,956,045	5 055,512,492 5 5 127,401,666 5 5 50,345,666 5 5 50,345,66	s 955,512,492 5 5 191,102,498 5 77,114,052 5 5 687,295,931 9	955,512,492 5 254,603,331 5 103,213,376 5 5 597,495,784 5 5	955,512,492 5 318,504,164 5 128,691,990 5 508,316,338 5	955,512,492 S 382,204,997 S 113,466,535 S 459,840,960 S	855,512,492 \$ 445,905,830 \$ 97,710,007 \$ 5 411,896,656 \$	855,512,492 \$ 509,605,662 \$ 81,463,874 \$ 1364,441,956 \$	955,512,492 5 573,307,495 5 65,137,478 5 317,057,519 5	955,512,492 \$ 637,008,328 \$ 48,009,744 \$ 	955,512,492 700,709,161 32,483,348 222,319,983
iver Ounty Frank Cone 1- Cone 2- Cone 3/ Annual Weightled Average Cost of Capital (Exhibit LPM-3, L5, C8)	,	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10,69%
Annual Return on Rate Base (Line 4 X Line 5)	s	ν -			5 92.891.716	\$ 83,143,072	s 73,471,935	5 63,672,299 5	54.339.017	49,156,999 \$	44.031.752 5	38,958,845 5	33,894,518 S	28,830,334 S	23,766,006
Amual Depreciation (Line 2) Amual Property Tax Expense (Exhibit LPM-4, Line 5) Amual Non-Piot ORM Expense (Exhibit LPM-4, Line 6) Trand One-trainine Expenses (Line 9 + Line 10)	~~~~	, , , , ,		 	\$ 63,700,833 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 113,705,503	\$ 63,700,833 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 46,667,000 \$ 113,705,503	\$ 63,700,833 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 113,705,503	63,700,833 1,337,670 8 48,667,000 5 113,705,503 5 113,705,503	63,700,833 1,337,670 48,667,000 1113,705,503	63,700,833 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 1,37,670 \$ 1,13,705,503 \$	63,700,833 S 1,337,670 S 48,667,000 S 113,705,503 S	63,700,833 S 1,337,670 S 48,667,000 S 113,705,503 S	63,700,833 S 1,337,670 S 48,667,000 S 113,705,503 S 113,705,503 S	63,700,833 S 1,337,670 S 48,667,000 S 113,705,503 S	63,700,833 1,337,670 40,667,000 113,705,503
recourd Requirement NEV Discourd Rate	s 5 1,539,	- 5 131,280 5%	·	, ب	\$ 206,597,218	\$ 196,848,575	s 187,177,438	s 177,577,802 3	168,044,519	6 162,862,501 \$	157,737,255 \$	152,664,34B \$	147,600,021 S	142,535,836 \$	137,471,509
Depreciation life		15													
Annual Tax Depreciation - Pollution Control 60% Bonus 40% 20vear IMACRS					20.000% 3.750%	20.000% 7.219%	20.000% 6.677%	20.000% 6.177%	20.000% 5.713%	5,285%	4.888%	4.522%	4,462%	4,461%	4,462%
Annual Tax Deprecation Cumulative Tax Deprecation Timing Difference Accumulated Doferred Tax					s 128,994,186 s 128,994,186 s 65,293,354 s 22,652,674	<pre>5 142,252,878 5 271,247,064 \$ 143,845,399 5 50,345,890</pre>	s 140,181,327 5 411,428,391 5 220,325,892 5 77,114,062	<pre>\$ 138,270,302 3 \$ 549,696,693 5 \$ 294,695,361 5 \$ 103,213,376 5 \$</pre>	5 136,496,871 5 686,195,563 5 367,691,399 5 128,691,990	20,199,534 706,395,097 324,180,100 5 113,466,535 5 113,466,535	18,682,180 725,077,277 279,171,448 97,710,007	17,283,310 S 742,360,587 S 232,753,925 S 81,463,874 S	17,053,987 S 759,414,574 S 186,107,079 S 65,137,478 S	17,050,165 \$ 776,464,739 5 139,456,411 5 48,809,744 \$	17,053,987 793,518,726 92,609,565 32,483,348
Juriscictional Calculation					\$ 177,735,861										

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 38 Attachment 1 Page 3 of 10

FI	<u> Traditional Return on Rato Base</u>	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20	Year 21	Year 22	Year 23	Year 24
- 00.	Jumulative Construction Expenditures AFUDC Preliminary Sciubber Analysis2004-2006 Preliminary Sciubber Analysis2004-2006 Busilik Plant Interation Internet Janes Lessis, Accumulated Deflerited Income Taxes	5 055,512,492 5 764,409,994 5 16,155,614	\$ 955,512,492 \$ 828,110,826 \$ (170,782)	\$ 955,512,402 \$ 991,011,659 \$ (16,408,512,402 \$ (16,408,515) \$	655,512,492 S 955,512,492 S 955,512,492 S (32,624,912) S 8 (32,624,912) S	955,512,492 5 955,512,492 5 (26,857,354) 5 2 6	855,512,482 \$ 955,512,482 \$ (20,888,459) \$ 5 \$	955,512,492 \$ 955,512,492 \$ (14,920,901) \$ 6	855,512,492 \$ 8 855,512,492 \$ 1 (8,952,005) \$ 5	255,512,492 \$ 255,512,492 \$ (2,984,448) \$	955,512,492 955,512,492
τη. 10	Net Utility Plant (Line 1- Line 2 - Line 3)	5 174,946,884	S 127,572,448	\$ 80,199,348	5 32,824,912 \$	26,857,354 S	20,888,459 S	14,920,901 S	8,952,005 \$	2,984,448 \$	•
9	Annual Weighted Average Cost of Capital (Exhibit LPM-3, L5, C8)	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10.69%	10,69%	10.69%	10.69%
4	Annual Return on Raie Base (Line 4 X Line 5)	5 18,701,622	\$ 13,637,495	\$ 8,573,310	s 3,508,983 S	2,871,051 S	2.232,976 5	1,595,044 S	956,969 \$	319,037 \$	-
8 6 P	Amual Depreciation (Line 2) Amual Property Tax Expense (Exhibit LPM-4, Line 5) Amual Non-Foed O&M Expense (Exhibit LPM-1, Line 6)	s 63,700,833 s 1,337,670 s 48,667,000	s 63,700,833 s 1,337,670 s 48,667,000	s 63,700,833 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000	\$ 63,700,833 \$ \$ 1,337,670 \$ \$ 48,667,000 \$	1,337,670 S 48,667,000 S	1,337,670 S 48,667,000 S	1,337,670 S 48,667,000 S	1,337,670 \$ 48,667.000 \$	1,337,670 S 48,667,000 S	1,337,670 48,667,000 60,004,670
11	Total Operating Expenses (Line 8 + Line 9 + Line 10)	\$ 113,705,503	s 113,705,503	\$ 113,705,503	\$ 113,705,503 \$	50,004,670 \$	50,004,670 \$	50,004,670 \$	50,004,670 \$	su,uu4,aru s	n /a'thnn'nc
	Revenue Requirement NPV Discourt Rate	\$ 132,407,325	s 127,342,997	s 122,278,813	s 117,214,466 S	52,875,721 \$	52,237.646 \$	51,599,714 S	50,951,639 \$	50,323,707 \$	50,004,670
	Depreciation life										
	Annual Tax Depreciation - Pollution Control 60% Bonus 40% 20year IMACRS	4,461%	4.462%	4,461%	4,462%	4,461%	4,462%	4.461%	4,462%	4.461%	2.231%
	Annual Tax Deprecation Cumuduler Tax Deprecation Training Difference Accumulated Deferred Tax	<ul> <li>17,050,165</li> <li>010,568,891</li> <li>46,158,897</li> <li>16,155,614</li> </ul>	s 17,053,987 s 827,622,878 s (487,948) s (170,782)	s 17,050,165 s 844,673,043 s (47,138,616) s (16,498,516) s (16,498,516)	<pre>S 17,053,987 S S 861,727,030 S S (93,785,462) S S (32,824,912) S</pre>	17,050,165 S 878,777,195 S (76,735,297) S (26,857,354) S	17,053,987 \$ 895,831,182 \$ (59,681,310) \$ (20,888,459) \$	17,050,165 \$ 912,881,347 \$ (42,631,145) \$ (14,920,901) \$	17,053,987 \$ 929,935,334 \$ (25,577,158) \$ (8,952,005) \$	17,050,165 \$ 946,985,499 \$ (8,526,993) \$ (2,984,448) \$	8,526,993 955,512,492 -
	Jurisdictional Calculation										

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 38 Attachment 1 Page 4 of 10

~~~~	14	354,537,492 326,660,827 29,050,629 198,826,036	10.69%	Z1, 254, 503	20,909,100 1,337,670 48,667,000 106,973,836	126,228,339		4.462%	709,662,624 83,001,796 29,050,629 29,050,629	
	13 13	54,537,492 5 8 69,661 5 6 43,651,712 5 41,194,119 5 1	10.69%	25,783,651 5	56,909,100 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 106,973,836 5	132,757,487 S		4.461%	15,248,367 5 694,410,839 5 124,719,177 5 43,651,712 5	
	Year 12	54,537,492 \$ 8 12,722,495 \$ 5 50,253,992 \$ 51,253,992 \$ 133,561,005 \$ 2	10.69%	30,312,671 \$	56,969,166 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 106,973,836 5	137,286,508 \$		4,462%	15,251.785 \$ 679,162,472 \$ 166,439,976 \$ 58,253,992 \$	
	Year 11	54,537,492 \$ 8 55,753,329 \$ 5 72,055,075 \$ 225,929,088 \$ 2	10.69%	34,841,819 5	56,969,166 5 1,337,670 5 48,657,000 5 106,973,836 5	141,815,650 \$		4,522%	15,456,874 S 663,910,686 S 208,157,357 S 72,855,075 S	
	Year 10	154,537,492 S 8 198,784,163 S 4 187,384,377 S 5 168,368,952 S 3	10.69%	39,378,641 S	56,959,166 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 106,973,836 5	146,352,477 \$		4,888%	16,707,917 S 648,453,812 S 249,669,649 S 87,384,377 S	
	Year 9	554,537,402 \$ 5 341,014,097 \$ 3 101,475,814 \$ 11,246,681 \$ 3	10.69%	43.962.270 S	56,969,166 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 105,973,836 5	150,936,106 \$		5.285%	18,064,923 S 631,745,895 S 289,930,898 S 101,475,814 S	
	Year B	054,537,492 \$ 1 284,845,031 \$ 1 115,092,300 \$ 454,599,362 \$ 4	10,69%	48,596,672 \$	56,969,166 S 1.337,670 S 48,667,000 S 106,973,836 S	155,570,508 S		20.000% 5.713%	122,072,390 \$ 613,660,973 \$ 328,635,142 \$ 115,092,300 \$	
	Year 7	854,537,492 \$ 227,876,665 \$ 92,306,171 \$ 534,554,655 \$	10.69%	57.122.513 S	56,969,166 S 1,337,670 S 48,667,000 S 106,973,836 S	164,096,349 \$		20.000% 6.177%	123,658,411 \$ 491,608,583 \$ 263,731,918 \$ 92,306,171 \$	
	Year 6	854,537,492 5 170,907,498 5 68,054,936 5 68,054,936 5 614,665,058 5	10.69%	65,707,695 5	56,969,166 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 105,973,836 5	172,681,531 \$		20.000% 6.677%	125,367,486 \$ 367,950,171 \$ 197,042,673 \$ 68,964,936 \$	
	Year 5	854,537,492 \$ 113,038,332 \$ 45,025,523 \$ - 5 695,573,536 \$	10.69%	74,356.822 \$	56,969,166 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 106,973,936 \$	181,330,658 \$		20.600% 7,219%	127,220,124 \$ 242,582,685 5 128,644,353 5 45,025,523 \$	
	Year 4	839,325,067 15,212,425 864,537,492 56,569,166 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437,688 5 20,437 5 20,437 5 20,437 5 20,437 5 20,437 5 20,437 5 20,437 5 20,437 5 20,437 5 20,437 5 20,437 5 20,437 5 20,437 5 20,437 5 20,492 5 20,405 5 20,437 5 20,537 5 20,492 5 20,537 5 20,437 5 20,537 5 20,535 5 20,437 5 20,537 5 20,537 5 20,555 5 5 20,5555 5 20,555 5 20,555 5 20,555 5 20,555 5 20,555 5 20,555 5 20,555 5 20,5555 5 20,555 5 20,555 5 20,5555 5 20,5555 5 20,5555 5 20,5555 5 20,5555	10,69%	83,075,265 S	55,969,165 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 106,973,836 5	190,049,101 \$		20.000% 3.750%	115,362,561 5 115,362,561 5 58,393,395 5 58,393,395 5 20,437,688 5	5 163,148,995
	Year 3	455,916,000 \$ 15,212,425 \$ 15,212,425 \$ 471,128,425 \$ 471,128,425 \$	10.69%	50.363,629 \$	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	50,363,629 \$			0000	.,
	Year 2	235,639,000 5 - 5 15,212,425 5 - 5 - 5 250,650,425 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5	10,69%	26,815,910 \$	, , , , , ,	26,815,910 \$				
	Year 1	92,368,000 \$ 5 15,212,425 5 107,580,425 5 107,580,425 5	10.69%	11,500,347 S		5 11,500,347 5 5 1,512,150,440 5,4,512,150,440	15			
	<u>SWIP at WACC</u>	AFUDC AFUDC AFUDC SAFUDC Usiny Plant Insulated Net (Exhtbl: U-M-r), Une 5) Usiny Plant Insulated Net (Exhtbl: U-M-r), Une 5) Loss: Accumulated Deteration Loss: Accumulated Deteration Plus: CWP Exhining a Return Plus: CWP Exhining a Return	Net Utitity Plant (Line 1- Line 2 - Line 3) Annual Weinhund Avviance Cest of Capital (Exhibit LPM-3, L5, C8)	Annual Return on Rate Base (Line 4 X Line 5)	Annual Depreciation (Line 2) Annual Property Tax Expense (Exhibit LPM-4, Line 5) Annual Non-Fuel O&M Expense (Exhibit LPM-1, Line 6)	Total Operating Expenses (Line 8 + Line 4 + Line 10) Revenue Requirement NPV School Disconting Rate	Depreciation life	Annual Tax Deprecation - Pollution Control 60% Bonus 1002 - 2020	aus supre minutes Annual Tax Deprecation Cumulative Tax Deprecation Timing Difference Accumulated Deferred Tax	Juriscictional Calculation
	5	-004	n e	~ ~	n o 2	=				

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 38 Attachment 1 Page 5 of 10

8 6 C 1

CWIP at WACC	-	f car 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20	Year 21	Year 22	Year 23	Year 24
Cumulative Construction Expanditures AFUDC: Preliminary Serubber Analysis.2004-2005 Lubin Plant Installed Net (Exhibit UPA-1, Line 5) Less: Accumulated Defined Income Taxes Flux: CMP Eatimna Flexum	8 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	54,537,492 \$ 33,629,994 \$ 14,448,349 \$	854,537,492 3 740,599,160 5 (152,734) 5	854,537,492 797,568,326 14,755,014)	6 854,537,492 5 8 854,537,492 5 8 854,537,492 5 6 (29,355,097) 5 5	854,537,492 5 854,537,492 5 (24,019,169) 5 5	854,537,492 \$ 854,537,492 \$ (18,681,044) \$ - \$	854,537,492 S 854,537,492 S (13,344,116) S - 5 - 5	854,537,492 \$ 854,537,492 \$ (8,005,991) \$	554,537,492 \$ 564,537,492 \$ (2,669,062) \$ 2 \$ 5 \$ 5 \$	854,537,492 854,537,492 -
Net Ublity Plant (Line 1- Line 2 - Line 3) Annual Weighted Average Cost of Capital (Exhibit LPM-3, L5, C8)	ŝ	56,459,149 S 10,69%	114,091,057	5 71,724,180 3	5 29,356,097 \$	24,019,169 S <u>10.69%</u>	18,681,044 5 <u>10,69%</u>	13,344,116 5	6 169,000,0	2,009,002 3	- 10,69%
Annual Return on Rate Base (Line 4 X Line 5)	5	16.725.483 5	12,196,335	\$ 7,667,315	5 3,138,167 5	2,567,649 S	1,997,004 \$	1.426,486 S	855,840 S	285,323 \$	-
Annual Depreciation (Line 2) Annual Propent Tax Expense (Exhibit LPM-4, Line 5) Annual Nor-Job (OME Expense (Exhibit LPM-4, Line 5) Total Operating Expenses (Line 4 - Line 10)	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	56,969,166 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 06,973,836 5	56,969,166 1,337,670 48,667,000 106,973,836	5 56,969,166 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 106,973,836	5 55,969,165 5 5 1,337,670 5 5 48.667,000 5 5 106,973,836 5	1,337,670 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 50,004,670 \$	1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 50,004,670 \$	1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 50,004,670 \$	1,337,670 S 48,657,000 S 50,004,670 S	1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 50,004,670 \$	1,337,670 48,667,000 50,004,670
Revenue Requirement NPV Discourt Rate	\$ 12	23,699,319 \$	118,170,171	5 114,641,151	s 110,112,003 \$	52,572,319 \$	52,001,674 \$	51,431,150 S	50,860,510 \$	50,289,993 \$	50,004,670
Depreciation life											
Anrual Tax Depreciation - Pollution Control 60% Bonus 40% 20year MACRS		4,461%	4,462%	4,461%	4,462%	4,461%	4,462%	4,461%	4.462%	4,461%	2.231%
Annual Tax Depreciation Cumulative Tax Depreciation Triming Difference Accommunated Disformed Tax	 	15,248,357 S 24,910,991 S 41,280,997 S 14,448,349 S	15,251,785 740,162,776 (436,384) (152,734)	s 15,248,367 5 755,411,143 5 (42,157,183) 5 (14,755,014)	s 15,251,785 5 770,662,928 5 (83,874,564) 5 (29,356,097)	15.248,367 5 785,911,295 5 6 (68,626,197) 5 5 (24,019,169) 5	15,251,785 \$ 801,163,080 \$ (53,374,412) \$ (18,681,044) \$	15,248,367 \$ 816,411,447 \$ (38,126,045) \$ (13,344,116) \$	15,251,785 \$ 831,663,232 \$ (22,874,260) \$ (8,005,991) \$	15,240,367 S 846,911,599 S (7,625,893) S (2,669,062) S	7,625,893 854,537,492 -

Jurisdictional Calculation

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 38 Attachment 1 Page 6 of 10

Year 14	410,515,465 307,644,669 14,261,737 97,609,059	16,154,397		44,898,636 27,657,699 1,337,670 48,667,000 122,671,005	139.025.402			4,462%	7,487,512 5 348,392,507 5 40,747,819 5 14,261,737	
Year 13	410,515,485 5 279,676,990 5 21,429,802 5 116,408,693 5	10.556,757 5		44,899.636 \$ 27,967,699 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 122,071,005 \$	142 467.763 \$			4.461%	7,485,834 5 340,904,995 5 61,228,005 5 21,429,602 5	
Year 12	419,515,485 \$ 251,709,291 \$ 28,598,454 \$ 139,207,739 \$	<u>16.55%</u> 23,039,020 \$		44,898,636 \$ 27,967,699 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 122,871,005 \$	145 010 025 5			4,462%	7,487,512 \$ 333,419,161 \$ 81,709,870 \$ 28,598,454 \$	
Year 11	419,515,485 \$ 223,741,592 \$ 35,766,520 \$ 160,007,373 \$	<u>16.55%</u> 26.481.380 \$		44,899,636 \$ 27,967,699 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 122,877,005 \$	2 205 C36 UV 1			4.522%	7,588,196 5 325,931,648 5 102,190,057 5 35,766,520 5	
Year 10	419,515,485 \$ 195,773,893 \$ 42,699,346 \$ 180,842,245 \$	<u>16.55%</u> 29.929.573 S		44,898,636 5 27,967,699 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 48,667,000 5 122,871,005 5	10000570			4,886%	8,202,357 5 318,343,452 5 122,569,559 5 42,899,345 5	
Year 9	419,515,485 \$ 167,805,194 \$ 49,817,212 \$ 201,892,079 \$	<u>16.55%</u> 33.413.341 S		44,898,636 \$ 27,967,609 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 122,871,005 \$		6 0HC,P82,00		5.285%	8,868,557 \$ 310,141,086 \$ 142,334,892 \$ 49,817,212 \$	
Year B	419.515,485 S 139,838,495 S 56,501,912 S - 223,175,078 S	<u>16.55%</u> 36.035.600 S		44,698,636 \$ 27,567,689 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 122,871,005 \$		159,805,704 \$		20.000% 5.713%	59,920,626 \$ 301,272,528 \$ 161,434,033 \$ 56,501,912 \$	
Year 7	419,515,485 S 111,870,796 S 45,315,587 S - 5 262,329,102 S	16.55% A3.415.720 S	2 10100	44,898,636 5 27,967,699 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 172,871,005 5		166,285,734 \$		20,000% 6.177%	60,707,247 5 241,343,902 5 129,473,105 5 45,315,587 5	
Year G	419,515,485 \$ 83,903,097 \$ 33,856,745 \$ 301,755,642 \$	16.55%	13340,001	44,898,636 5 27,867,699 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 177,871,005 5		172,811,866 \$		20,000% 6,677%	61,546,278 \$ 180,636,655 \$ 96,733,558 \$ 33,856,745 \$	
Year 5	419,515,405 \$ 55,935,398 \$ 22,104,243 \$ 341,475,844 \$	<u>16.55%</u>	20,014,054	44,898,636 \$ 27,967,699 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 477,874,005 \$	A 000'0 10'771	179,385,599 \$		20.000% 7.219%	62,455,787 \$ 119,090,378 \$ 63,154,980 \$ 22,104,243 \$	
Year 4	039,325,067 100,975,000 16,212,425 410,515,485 27,967,5485 10,033,412 5 381,514,374 5 381,514,374 5	16.55%	63, 141, 010 >	44,898,636 \$ 27,967,699 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$	e con'i /0'771	186,012,016 \$		20,000% 3.750%	56,634,590 \$ 56,634,590 \$ 28,666,891 \$ 10,033,412 \$	159,590,377
Year 3	455,916,000 \$ 51,993,000 \$ 15,212,425 \$ - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5	10,69%	ה   	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	n '	' '			8888	U)
Year 2	235,638,000 \$ . 20,561,000 \$ . 15,212,425 \$ 5 15,212,425 \$ 5 . 5 . 5 . 5 . 5 . 5 . 5	10.69%			n 1	ى 1				
Ycar 1	92,368,000 \$ 5,577,000 \$ 15,212,425 \$ 15,212,425 \$ 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	10.69%	ري -	0 10 10 1 1 1	' '	483,706,536 5%	15			
	งงงงงงงง	ŝ	υ	იიი	n	9 S				
ritized Mortgacte Financing	Jalivo Construction Expenditures DC Damay Scrubber Anayois2004-2006 Plant Installed Nex (Exhibit LPM-1, Line 5) Accumutated Depredition Accumutated Depredition CMP Farming R Return L Ushiky Plant (Line 7 - Line 3)	al Weighted Average Cost of Capital (Exhibit LPM-3, L5, C8	rel Return on Rate Base (Line 4 X Line 5)	gage Payment al Depreciation (Line 2) La Properior Tax Excense (Exhibit LPM-4, Line 5) Lail Non-Fuel Otali Experse (Exhibit LPM-1, Line 8)	l Operating Expenses (Line 8 + Line 9 + Line 10 + Line 11)	enue Requirement ount Rate	reciation life	uai Tax Deprectation - Pollution Control • Bonus • 2000-045	ual Tax Depreciation autal Tax Depreciation autaliter Tax Depreciation ampoliticence umulated Deferred Tax	scictional Calculation
Secur	Cum AFUC Prelin 1 Utility 2 Less: 3 Less: 8 Ne	6 Annu	7 Annu	8 Morty 9 Annu 10 Annu 11 Annu	12 Totai	Rev NPV Disc	Depi	Ann. 60%	Ann Cur Timi Acci	Juni

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 38 Attachment 1 Page 7 of 10

٠

	1						.9	
Year 24	419,515,485 419,515,485	16.55%		- 1,337,670 48,667,000 50,004,670	50,004,670		2.231%	3,743,756 419,515,485 -
	00000 000000	25	001 001	0000000 0000	S B		8	4 8 9 9 9 9 9 8 9 9 9 9
Year 23	419,515,48 419,515,48 (1,310,31 1,310,31	16.55	216,85	1, 337,67 48,667,00 50,004,67	50,221,52		4.461	7,485,83 415,771,72 (3,743,75 (1,310,31
	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	~	~ 	0000 00	5) 5)		%	0 4 0 C
Year 22	419,515,48 419,515,48 (3,930,35 3,930,35	16.55	650,47	1,337,67 1,337,67 48,667,00 50,004,67	50,655,14		4,462	7,487,51 408,285,89 (11,229,59 (3,930,35
	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 0 5 5	5	اد 12	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	5 5		%	60 87 87 80 87 87 80 87 87
Year 21	419,515,48 419,515,48 (6,550,94 6,550,96	16.55	1,084,15	1,337,67 48,667,00 50,004,67	51,088,86		4,461	7,485,83 400,798,36 (18,717,10 (6,550,96
	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	*	ω e	00000	5 5		2	8 2 2 2 8 8 2 2 2 8
Year 20	419,515,48 419,515,48 (9,171,02 9,171,02	16.55	1.517.81	1,337,67 48,667,00 50,004,67	51,522,48		4.462	7,487,51 393,312,54 (26,202,93 (9,171,02
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	5	5	งงงงไห	\$ 		*	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
Year 19	419,515,48 419,515,48 (11,791,65	16.55	1,951,53	1,337,67 48,667,000 50,004,670	51,956,20		4.461	7,485,83 385,825,03 (33,690,45 (11,791,65
	ა ი ი ი ი ი ი ი	%	5	ທ່ານທາງ ເວັດເວັດ	ν ω		%	0 5 5 6 0 6 6 6
Year 16	419,515,48 419,515,48 (14,411,69	16.55	2,385,15	44,898,63 27,967,69 1,337,67 48,667,00 122,871,00	125,256,15		4,462	7,487,51 378,339,20 (41,176,28 (14,411,69
	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	59	5	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	ŝ		25	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
Year 17	418,515,489 391,547,786 (7,243,63 35,211,33	16.55	5,827,51	44,898,63( 27,967,696 1,337,67( 48,667,000	128,698,510		4,461	7,485,83, 370,851,681 (20,696,091 (7,243,63
	40000	্ৰ	5	~~~~	s B		22	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Year 16	410,515,485 363,580,097 (74,981 56,010,375	16.55	9.269.774	44,898,630 27,967,698 1,337,670 48,667,000 122,871,005	132,140,775		4.4625	7,487,512 363,365,854 (214,235 (74,98)
	5 8 7 8 C	約	v] ₽1	ທ່ານເບັນ ຍອດດຸດ	S G		%	4044
Year 15	419,515,48 335,612,38 7,093,08 76,810,01	16.55	12,712,13	44,896,63 27,967,69 1,337,67 48,667,00 48,667,00	135,583,13		4.461	7,485,83 355,678,34 20,265,95 7,093,08
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		5	งงงงง	63			იიიი
Securitized Mortgage Financing	Cumulative Construction Expenditures AFUDC Preimmary Serubers Analysis.2004-2006 Preimmary Serubers Analysis.2004-2006 1 Uhry Plant Instance Analysis.2004-2006 2 Less: Accumulated Deterration 2 Less: Accumulated Deterration 2 Plans: CMM Examing a Return 2 Plans: CMM Examing a Plans (Lun + Lun 2 - Lun 3) 2 Net Usilly Plant (Lun + Lun 2 - Lun 3)	6 Annual Weighted Average Cost of Capital (Exhibit LPM-3, L5, C8)	7 Annual Return on Rate Base (Line 4 X Line 5)	<ol> <li>Mordgage Payment</li> <li>Annuel Dependentoin (Line 2)</li> <li>Annual Propenty Tax Expense (Exhibit LPM-4, Line 5)</li> <li>Annual Non-Feud OSM Expense (Exhibit LPM-4, Line 2)</li> <li>Total Operating Expenses (Line 8 + Line 9 + Line 10 + Line 11)</li> </ol>	Revenue Requirement NPV Discount Rate	Depreciation life	Amuai Tax Depreciation - Poliution Control 60% Bonus 40% 20year MACRS	Armuel Tax Deprecation Cumulation To Deprecation Timeng Office Area Accumulated Deferred Tax

Jurisdictional Calculation

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 38 Attachment 1 Page 8 of 10

	CWIP at WACC with Securitized Mortgage Financing	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Yeaf B	Year g	Year 10	Year 11	Yeat 12	Year 13	Year 14
- 20 4 4	Cumulative Construction Expenditures AFUDG Herdiminanty Scrubben Arabiers2004.2005 Utility Phant Installed Net (Exribit LPN-1, Line 5) Liess: Accumulated Obgradiated Liess: Accumulated Obgradiated Net Villity: Pland Line 1, Line 2.	<ul> <li>\$ 92,368,000</li> <li>\$ 5</li> <li>\$ 15,212,425</li> <li>\$ 5</li> <li>\$ 107,590,425</li> <li>\$ 5</li> <li>\$ 107,590,425</li> <li>\$ 5</li> <li>\$ 5</li> <li>\$ 107,590,425</li> <li>\$ 5</li> <li>\$ 6</li> <li>\$ 6</li> <li>\$ 707,590,425</li> <li>\$ 5</li> </ul>	235,638,000 \$ 235,638,000 \$ 15,212,425 \$ 250,850,850,850,850 \$ 250,850,850,850,850,850 \$ 250,850,850,850,850 \$ 250,850,850,850,850 \$ 250,850,850,850 \$ 250,850,850,850 \$ 250,850,850,850 \$ 250,850,850 \$ 250,850,850 \$ 250,850,850 \$ 250,850,850 \$ 250,850,850 \$ 250,850,850 \$ 250,850,850 \$ 250,850,850 \$ 250,850,850 \$ 250,850,850 \$ 250,8	455,916,000 5 5 15,212,425 5 5 15,212,425 5 5 15,212,425 5 5 471,128,425 5 5 471,128,425 5	839,325,067 15,212,425 375,182,651 25,012,177 8,973,118 5 341,197,356 5 341,197,356 5 341,197,356 5 341,197,356 5 341,197,356 5 341,197,356 5 341,197,356 5 341,197,356 5 341,197,356 5 341,197,356 5 341,197,356 5 341,197,356 5 341,197,356 5 341,197,357 5 347,577,356 5 375,105,105 5 375,105,105 5 375,105,105 5 375,105,105 5 375,105 5 375,105 5 375,105 5 375,105 5 375,105 5 375,105 5 375,105 5 375,105 5 375,105 5 5 341,105 5 341,105 5 5 341,105 5 5 341,105 5 5 5 341,105 5 5 5 341,105 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	375,182,651 \$ 50,024,353 \$ 19,768,349 \$ 19,768,349 \$ 305,389,949 \$	375,182,651 \$ 75,036,530 \$ 30,278,891 \$ 269,667,230 \$	375,182,651 \$ 100,048,707 \$ 40,526,805 \$ 234,607,139 \$	375,182,651 \$ 125,050,884 \$ 50,531,000 \$ 50,531,000 \$ 199,590,767 \$	375,182,651 \$ 150,073,060 \$ 44,552,715 \$ 180,556,676 \$	375,182,651 \$ 175,085,237 \$ 38,365,903 \$ 38,365,903 \$ 161,731,511 \$	375,182,651 \$ 200,097,414 \$ 31,986,847 \$ - \$ 143,098,390 \$	375,182,651 S 225,109,591 S 25,576,276 5 124,496,784 \$	375,182,651 5 250,121,767 5 19,165,180 5 10,68,695,704 5	275,182,651 275,133,944 12,754,609 67,294,098
о 0	Annual Weighted Average Cost of Capital (Exhibit LPM-3, L5, C8)	10.69%	10,69%	10.69%	16.55%	16.55%	16.55%	16.55%	16.55%	16.55%	16.55%	16.55%	16.55%	16.55%	16.55%
1~	Annual Return on Rate Base (Line 4 X Line 5)	S 11.500,347 S	26.815.910 5	5 50,363,629 5	56,469,504 \$	50.542.342 5	44,663,296 5	38.627.716 \$	33,032,472 \$	29,882,344 \$	26,765,727 \$	23,602.927 \$	20.604.342 \$	17,525,845 5	14,447,260
∞o61;;	Mortgage Paymen. Annual Deprecation (Line 2) Annual Proparty Tax Expense (Exhitict (LPM-4, Line 5) Annual Proparty Tax Expense (Exhitict (LPM-4, Line 5) Annual Proparty Tax Expense (Exhitict (LPM-4, Line 5) Tax-14) Concentrice (Lone A = Line 0.4 - Line 10.1)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	40,153,745 5 25,012,177 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 115,170,592 5	40,153,745 5 25,012,177 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 48,667,000 5 115,170,592 5	40,153,745 5 25,012,177 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 48,667,000 5 115,170,592 5	40,153,745 5 25,012,177 5 1,337,670 5 48.667,000 5 115,170,592 5	40,153,745 5 25,012,177 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 115,170,592 5	40,153,745 5 25,012,177 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 115,170,592 5	40,153,745 5 25,012,177 5 1,337,670 5 48,657,000 5 115,170,592 5	40,153,745 5 25,012,177 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 115,170,592 5	40,153,745 \$ 25,012,177 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 115,170,592 \$	40,153,745 \$ 25,012,177 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 415,170,592 \$	40,153,745 25,012,177 1,337,670 48,667,000 115,170,592
2	rual vyratarany Capturant (and a Capturant (and a Capturant (and a Capturant (and a Captura	\$ 11,500,347 \$ \$ 1,462,581,247 \$ 5%	3 26,815,910	s 50,363,629 S	5 171,639,096 5	165,712,934 S	159,833,889 S	153,998,308 \$	148,203,054 \$	145,052,936 S	141,037,319 \$	138,853,519 \$	135,774,934 \$	132,696,437 S	129,617,853
	Depreciation life	15													
	Amual Tax Depreciation - Pollution Control 60% Bonus 40% 20vear MACRS				20.000% 3.750%	20.000% 7.219%	20,000% 6,677%	20.000% 6.177%	20.000% 5.713%	5.285%	4,888%	4,522%	4,452%	4.461%	4,462%
	Annual Trzz Ospociation Cumulative Trzz Ospociation Timing Difference Accumulated Deferred Tax				<ul> <li>50,649,658</li> <li>50,649,658</li> <li>50,649,658</li> <li>25,637,481</li> <li>8,973,118</li> </ul>	55,855,692 106,505,350 56,480,997 519,768,349 5	55,042,296 S 161,547,647 S 86,511,116 S 30,278,891 S	54,291,931 5 215,639,578 5 115,790,871 5 40,526,805 5	53,595,592 269,435,170 144,374,286 50,531,000	7,931,361 \$ 277,366,531 \$ 127,293,471 \$ 44,552,715 \$	7,335,571 5 284,702,102 5 109,616,865 5 38,365,903 5	6,786,304 \$ 291,488,405 \$ 91,390,992 \$ 31,966,847 \$	6,696,260 S 298,184,666 S 73,075,075 S 25,576,276 S	6,694,759 \$ 304,879,425 \$ 54,757,658 \$ 19,165,100 \$	6,696,260 311,575,685 36,441,741 12,754,609
	Junscictional Calculation			- *	\$ 146,920,908										

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 38 Attachment 1 Page 9 of 10

with Securitized Mortgage Financing	~	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20	Year 21	Year 22	Year 23	Year 24
nditures 2004-2005 ait LPM-1, Line 5) reeme Taxes 2 - Line 3)	50 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	75,182,651 \$ 00,146,121 \$ 6,343,513 \$ 68,693,017 \$	375,182,651 \$ 325,158,298 \$ (67,058) \$ 50,091,411 \$	375,182,651 \$ 350,170,474 \$ (6,478,154) \$ 31,490,331 \$	375,182,651 \$ 375,182,651 \$ (12,888,725) \$ 12,888,725 \$	375,182,651 3 375,182,651 5 (10,545,559) 5 10,545,559 5	375,182,651 375,182,651 (8,201,869) 8,201,868) 5	375,182,651 \$ 375,182,651 \$ (5,858,702) \$ 5 5,858,702 \$ 5 5,858,702 \$	375,182,651 \$ 375,182,651 \$ (3,515,011) \$ 3,515,011 \$ 3,515,011 \$	375,182,651 \$ 375,182,651 \$ (1,171,845) \$ 1,171,845 \$	375,182,651 375,182,651
it of Capital (Exhibit LPM-3, L5, C0)		16.55%	16.55%	16,55%	16,55%	16,55%	16.55%	16.55%	16.55%	16.55%	16.55%
ine 4 X Line 5)	S	11,368.763 5	8.290.179 \$	5,211,681 \$	2,133,097 \$	1,745,301	1.357.417	5 969.621 S	501.730 S	193,942 \$	·
(Exhibit LPM-4, Line 5) se (Exhibit LPM-1, Line 8) se 8 Line 9 + Line 10 + Line 11)	~~~~~~	40,153,745 \$ 25,012,177 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 115,170,592 \$	40,153,745 5 25,012,177 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 48,667,000 5 115,170,592 5	40,153,745 5 25,012,177 5 1,337,670 5 48,667,000 5 115,170,592 5	40,153,745 \$ 25,012,177 \$ 1,337,670 \$ 48,667,000 \$ 415,170,592 \$	- - 1,337,670 48.667,000 50,004,670	5 1,337,670 6 1,337,670 5 50,004,670			- 5 1,337,670 48,667,000 50,004,670 5	- 1,337,670 48,667,000 50,004,670
	5	126,539,355 \$	123,460,771 \$	120,382,273 \$	117,303,689 \$	51,749,971	5 51,362,087	s 50,974,291 S	50,586,408 S	50,198,612 \$	50,004,670
ution Control		4.461%	4.462%	4,461%	4,462%	4.461%	4,462%	4,461%	4,462%	4,461%	2.231%
	ი იიიიი	6,694,759 318,270,445 18,124,324 6,343,513	6,696,260 \$ 324,966,704 \$ (191,593) \$ (67,058) \$	6,694,759 S 331,661,464 S (18,509,011) S (6,478,154) S	6,696,260 5 338,357,724 5 (35,824,928) 5 (12,888,725) 5	6,694,759 345,052,483 345,052,483 3 (30,130,168) 5 (10,545,559)	<pre>6,696,260 5 351,748,743 5 (23,433,908) 5 (8,201,868)</pre>	<pre>5 6,694,759 5 5 358,443,502 5 5 (16,739,149) 5 5 (5,858,702) 5 </pre>	6,696,260 \$ 365,139,762 \$ (10,042,889) \$ (3,515,011) \$	6,694,759 371,834,521 (3,348,130) (1,171,845)	3,348,130 375,182,651

Jurisdictional Calculation

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 38 Attachment 1 Page 10 of 10

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 39 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Please describe specifically how the Company computes its AFUDC rate and then applies the AFUDC rate on a monthly basis for Kentucky jurisdictional purposes. Provide a mathematical example and provide a copy of all source documents relied on by the Company for its methodology, such as, but not limited to, the FERC USOA.

### RESPONSE

The Company calculates its AFUDC rate on a monthly basis using the formula specified in the FERC Uniform System of Accounts, Electric Plant Instructions, Section 3. Components of Construction Cost, Item (17) AFUDC. The balances for long-term debt and common equity are the actual book balances at the end of the prior month. The cost rate for long-term debt is the cost for the prior month. The cost rate for common equity is the last rate of return on common equity approved by the Kentucky Commission. The average short-term debt balance and related cost rate and the balance for construction work in progress is based on the prior month. Attachment 1 provides an example of the AFUDC rate calculation for June 2011.

AFUDC is calculated on construction projects using the prior month ending balance plus one half the current month charges. AFUDC is charged on construction projects during the period of actual construction. One half month AFUDC is taken during the in service month. Projects that are excluded from the AFUDC calculation include construction expenditures that are reimbursed, construction work in progress that is currently in rate base, contractor's retention, and purchases of plant and equipment that require no construction period. Attachment 2 provides an example of the AFUDC calculation for generation projects for June 2011.

WITNESS: Ranie K Wohnhas

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Item No. 39 Attachment 1 Page 1 of 1

### Kentucky Power Company Computation of AFUDC Rate June 2011

Line		
<u>No.</u>	Description	Amount
1	AFUDC Rate - Simple (AFUDC S)	
2	Gross Rate for Borrowed Funds Ai=s(SM)+d(D/D+P+C)(1-S/W)	3.51%
3	Gross Rate for Other Funds Ae=[1-S/W][p(P/D+P+C)+c(C/D+P+C)]	4.76%
4	Total AFUDC Simple Rate, AFUDC_S	8.27%
5	AFUDC Rate - Compound (Semi-Annual), Maximum Rate (AFUDC C)	
6	Gross Rate for Borrowed Funds - Maximum Rate Ai_C = (Ai/2)+((1+Ai/2)*Ai/2)	3.54%
7	Gross Rate for Other Funds - Maximum Rate Ae_C = (Ae/2)+((1+Ae/2)*Ae/2)	4.82%
8	Total AFUDC Maximum Rate, AFUDC_C = Ai_C + Ae_C	8.36%
9	AFUDC_C=((1*AFUDC_S)/2)+((1+(AFUDC_S/2))*(AFUDC_S/2))	
10	Ai=Gross allowance for borrowed funds used during construction rate.	
11	Ae=Allowance for other funds used during construction rate.	
12	S=Prior month average short-term debt balance. (\$000)	0
13	s=Short term debt interest rate.	0.0000000%
14	D=Prior month ending Long-term debt balance. (\$000)	545,547,181
15	d=Long-term debt interest rate.	6.42450500%
16	P=Prior month ending Preferred stock balance. (\$000)	0
17	p=Preferred stock cost rate.	0.0000000%
18	C=Prior month ending Common Equity balance. (\$000)	453,035,100
19	c=Common equity cost rate.	10.50000000%
20	W=Average balance in construction work in progress. (\$000)	31,698,925
		0.000/
21		100.00%
22	1-5/W	100.00%
23	$D+P+C=1$ otal capitalization. ( $\psi UUU$ )	990,002,201

	-			American Ele Kentucky P	actric Pow	er 1		~	Selected N	fonth: Jun 2	11
<u>A BARAN BARAN KANA KANA KANA KANA KANA KANA KANA </u>		Race	1/2 Current	Compound Eligibi	le Base	Total	AFUDC	AFUDC	Total	Input Debt Adj	Input Equity Adj
No Subtotal Work Order Number	AFUDC Base	Adjustments	Manth Chgs	AFUDC	Factor	AFUDC Base	UBD.				
KEPCo Whsle AFUDC		Deb	Rate: 0029	0371	ly Rate:	.00393085	10tal Rate 0.0	0683456 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50			
							- P2 000 P4	C 716 76	\$3.505.67	\$0.00	\$0.00
4472004		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,458.05	1.0	\$512,932.17	\$1,489.41 * , 560.95	42,010,20 65 055 09	\$10.353.98	\$0.00	\$0.00
4.10/0001	\$1,527,713.71	(\$22,890.00)	\$900.58	\$9,219.83	1.0	\$1,614,944.12	\$4,398.90	20.000,0¢	4767 24	\$0.00	\$0.00
41123033	#38 A18 32	\$0.00	\$571.71	\$117.97	1.0	\$39,108.00	\$113.56	\$103.13	0.04 0.04	\$0.00	\$0.00
4128/503	#104 A01 74	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$715.03	1.0	\$105,206.74	\$305,49	4413.55	123 07 0 GV	00.02	\$0.00
41330504		20.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.0	\$0.00	(\$186.09)	(\$254.58)	(10.044¢)	\$0.00	\$0.00
41401940	00.04 00.02	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.0	\$0.00	(\$7.12)	(\$9.73)	(\$16.05) (\$46.95)	00 00 00	\$0.00
41401943	00.04	00.0\$	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.0	\$0.00	(\$7.12)	(\$9.73)	(co*01.¢)		\$0 00
41401944	00.04 40 A63 46	\$0.00	\$13,687.63	\$16.85	1.0	\$16,167.64	\$46.95	\$63.55	\$110.50 5150 55	00 00	\$0.00
41401945	#51.001 GR	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$161.10	1.0	\$23,782.75	\$69,06	56.555	1001010t		\$0.00
41417430	444 497 00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$76.81	1.0	\$11,202.81	\$32.53	\$44.04	10.014	#0 00	\$0.00
41423092	0.1111111000 0.00 188 86	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$199,40	1.0	\$29,388.26	\$85.33	\$115.52	00'007¢		\$0.00
41423097	100.001 (D. 0.00	¢0.00	\$0.00	\$23.10	1.0	\$3,399.80	\$9.87	\$13.36	#23.23 ** 00		00.04
41502972	01.010,04	\$0.00	\$47.15	\$0.19	. 1.0	\$119.64	\$0.35	\$0.47	\$0.8Z		¢0.00
41538152	\$12.3U	00.04	÷0.00	\$439.39	1.0	\$64,651.15	\$187.73	\$254.13	\$441.86	\$0.0h	00'0¢
41553510	\$64,211.76	\$0.UU	00.04	\$336.92	1.0	\$49,573,94	\$143.95	\$194.87	\$338.82	\$0.00	\$0.00
41553538	\$49,237.02	\$0.0¢		- ¢1 212 18	1.0	\$194,282.07	\$564.14	\$763.69	\$1,327.83	20.00	00.0¢
41560928	\$191,902.64	\$0.00	\$1,000.25 \$1,000.20	41,010.10	1.0	\$231,141.03	\$671.17	\$908.58	\$1,579.75	\$0.00	\$0.00
41574123	\$228,283.25	\$0.00	\$1,339.03	\$1,010,14		\$11.408.50	\$33.13	\$44.85	\$77.98	\$0.00	\$0.00
41580551	\$7,966.60	\$0.00	\$3,388.38	\$53.52 \$205 74		402 437 73	\$267.54	\$362.18	\$629.72	\$0.00	\$0.00
41589878	\$91,532.22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$605.51		462 A38 46	\$184.18	\$249.33	\$433.51	\$0.00	\$0.00
41591355	\$62,997.45	\$0,00	\$0.00	\$431.01	0.1	#143 800 13	\$330.73	\$447.72	\$778.45	\$0.00	\$0.00
41592547	\$110,545.69	\$0.00	\$2,662.17	\$701.27	0.1	4110,000,10 444 EAA A2	\$33.41	\$45.22	\$78.63	\$0.00	\$0.00
A1626803	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$11,504.43	\$0.00	1.0	64-40C,114	\$21 3D	\$28.86	\$50.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
4634012	\$7,293.16	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$49.90	1.0	\$7,343.00 #100 040 95	4706 7A	\$401.02	\$697.26	\$0.00	\$0.00
44634217	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$102,019.86	\$0.00	1.0	\$102,013,00 #102,045 44	#20 17	\$39.49	\$68.66	\$0.00	\$0.00
41636072	\$9,825.55	\$0,00	\$159.28	\$60.31	0.1 0	410,040,14	\$0 56	\$0.76	\$1.32	\$0.00	\$0.00
A1640129	\$192.96	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,32	0.1	17 707 014 07 461¢	\$204 69	\$277.09	\$481.78	\$0.00	\$0.00
	\$70,013.24	\$0,00	\$1.00	\$476.93	1.0	11.184,U/\$	00-10-40 0 1 0 0 0 4	\$275 DB	\$478,24	\$0.00	\$0.00
(IUC) em (IUC) age	\$69,498.34	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$475.58	1.0	\$69,973.92	\$200.10 645 90	\$61.30	\$106.58	\$0.00	\$0.00
C C C's F No. chm e 1 c	\$15,492,42	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$102.69	1.0	\$15,595.11	10 10	#20.43	\$35.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
ase irst 39 ent of 2	\$4.100.18	\$0.00	\$1,010.65	\$10.89	1.0	\$5,121.72	10.414	180 1041	(\$46.89)	\$0.00	\$0.00
No Se 2 1700/01/7	30.02	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1.0	\$0.00	(19.913)	[nn-17¢]			
. 20 t of				Civi	Control - 3					01/24/201	2, 16:59:00
Page 1 of 2 Data 1						_					
0401 Req			•								
uest										and and a second se	and the second
S								a de a de la des la compansión de la compa			

.eport AFUDC Calculi

- .

				American Electric P Kentucky Power - (	ower Gen			Selected N	aonth: Jun 201	1
No Subtotal Work Order Mumher	Beginning AFUDC Base	Base Adiustments	1/2 Current Month Chgs	Compound Eligibile Base AFUDC Factor	Total AFUDC Base	AFUDC Debt	AFUDC Equity	Total AFUDC	Input Debt Adj Ec	Input Juity Adj
KEPCO While AFUDC			Rate, 0029	0371 Equity Rate	00393085	Total Rate: 0.0	0683456			
			2.00 <u>0000000000000000000000000000000000</u>							00 04
41678435	\$3,563.36	\$0.00	\$879.91	\$24.36 1.0	\$4,467.63	\$12.97	\$17.56	\$30.53	00.04	40 00
41679370	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	) \$0.00	(\$241.68)	(\$330.38)	(\$572.05) \$43.75	00.04 40.00	00 05
41683423	\$2,180.61	\$0.00	\$10,615.77	\$5.80 1.0	\$12,802.18	\$18.59	\$25.16	\$43.10		
41687836	\$4,441.22	\$0.00	\$3,318.92	\$11.79 1.(	\$7,771.93	\$22.57	\$30.55	403.1Z	00.0¢	00.04
41694511	\$45.07	\$0.00	\$2,842.60	\$0.12 1.(	\$2,887.79	\$8.39	05.11¢	419.14 40 FD	\$0.00	\$0.00
41701267	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$950.51	\$0.00	0 \$950.51	\$2.76	\$3.74 \$2	00°0¢		\$0.00
41703781	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$112.24	\$0.00 1.	\$112.24	\$0.33	\$0.44 *0.50	φε υ2	00.04	\$0.00
41708234	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$735.00	\$0,00	0 \$735.00	\$2.13	69.74	20 P4		00.02
41710888	\$0.00	\$0,00	\$579.38	\$0.00	0 \$579.38	\$0.84	\$1.14 ****	90.30¢	00 00	00.02
W0010615	\$197,611.00	\$0.00	\$510.24	\$1,344.89	0 \$199,466.13	\$579.19	\$784-01	07.000,1¢	00 U\$	\$0.00
X1169760	\$294,671.08	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,016.41 1.	0 \$296,687.49	\$430.75	\$083.14	41,013,04 69 200 03	00.04	\$0-00
X1169762	\$696,963.98	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,769.27	0 \$701,733.25	\$1,018.82	\$1,379.21	47,000.00	00°04	\$0.00
X1176260	\$2,332,33	\$0.00	\$215.34	\$14.16 1.	0 \$2,561.83	\$7.44	10.01¢	10-11-0-	\$0 U0	\$0.00
X1176270	\$3,404.68	\$0.00	\$464.35	\$21.52 1.	0 \$3,890.55	\$11.30	\$15.29	40.034 AC 1.04		\$0.00
X1178570	\$393,917.18	\$0.00	\$152.90	\$2,512.92 1.	0 \$396,583.00	\$575.78	47/19.40	41,000.LG		\$0.00
X1178650	\$2,080.00	\$0.00	\$65.83	\$12.60 1.	0 \$2,158.43	\$6.27	\$8.48	\$14.fo		00 04
X1178660	\$2,127.84	\$0.00	\$65.83	\$12.83	0 \$2,206.50	\$6.41	\$8.67	00.01¢	2012	
NO SUBTOTAL								07 000 000	00 00	\$0.00
Total:	\$4,836,378.36	(\$22,890.00)	\$159,856.94	\$31,311.17	\$5,004,656.47	\$12,025.52	\$16,272.97	\$Z8,Z96.49	00.0 <del>0</del>	
-										
<u>Kentucky Power - Gen</u> Total:	\$4,836,378.36	(\$22,890.00)	\$159,856.94	\$31,311.17	\$5,004,656.47	\$12,025.52	\$16,272.97	\$28,298.49	\$0.00	\$0.00
	CONTRACTOR OF A DATA OF A DATA OF A DATA OF A DATA				AF 504 555 47	¢19 N95 59	\$16.272.97	\$28,298.49	\$0.00	\$0.00
KPSC Case N KIUC's First So Intern No. 39 Attachment 2 PPage 2 of 2	\$4,836,378.36	(\$22,890.00)	\$159,856.94	\$31,311.17	\$5,004,000.41					
o. 20 et of I			-			•			01/24/2012.	16:59:00
11-00401 Data Requests ເວັ ເອີ ເອີ ເອີ ເອີ ເອີ ເອີ				WO Control	٣ •					
;					i an					

AFUDC Calcul. .. Report

•

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Please provide a schedule (in excel, with formulas intact), by month for the period beginning with the effective date of new rates in Case No. 2009-00459 to the present, showing the following information for each retail rate schedule:

- a. Total revenues
- b. Base rate revenues
- c. Amount of fuel revenues in base rates (as used in the computation of the monthly fuel adjustment charge; [F(b)/S(b)\*S(m)], where m is the current month]).
- d. Fuel adjustment revenues
- e. ECR revenues
- f. ECR factor
- g. Revenue amount ("Retail Revenue" used in the computation of R(m) pursuant to Tariff E.S.) subject to the monthly ECR factor (these are the total revenues for the rate schedule used to compute ECR revenues by applying ECR factor).
- h. System sales clause revenues
- i. DSM revenues
- j. Capacity Charge revenues
- k. Other revenues included in (a) above.
- 1. kWh sales
- m. number of customers

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Page 2 of 2

RESPONSE

a-m. The requested information is attached. Please see enclosed CD for the requested schedule in Excel format.

• . -

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

Other Revenue

July 2010	Total Revenues <sup>1</sup> (a)	Base Rate E Revenues (b)	Base Fuel F Rate (r	Fuel Revenue in Base c)	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue (e)	ECR Factor	Revenue Subject S to ECR Factor (g)	ystem Sales Revenue (ħ)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (I)	Capacity Charge Revenue (j)	Residential HEAP 5 (k)	Net Merger Savings Credit	kWh Sales () ()	Number of Customers (m)	
Residential Service (RS)	\$19,005,917	\$16,846,657	0.0284	\$5,743,600	\$171,635	\$1,395,442	0.078611	\$19,518,732	\$526,926	\$142,395	\$195,020	\$17,738	-\$147,505	202,239,436	142,666	
Small General Service (SGS)	\$1,462,734	\$1,312,215	0.0284	\$337,745	\$10,136	\$106,609	0.078611	\$1,483,216	\$30,990	so	\$11,471	so	-\$8,687	11,892,420	22,946	
Medium General Service (MGS)	\$5,252,991	\$4,681,917	0.0284	S1,450,055	\$43,312	S382,840	0.078611	\$5,291,307	\$133,045	\$0	\$49,230	ŝ	-\$37,354	51,058,273	7,676	
Large General Service (LGS)	\$5,799,005	\$5,140,369	0.0284	\$1,827,696	\$53,735	\$422,118	0.078611	\$5,827,487	\$167,797	ŝ	\$62,048	SO	-\$47,063	64,355,479	851	
Quantity Power (QP)	\$4,871,814	\$4,270,114	0.0284	\$1,897,939	\$56,805	\$354,892	0.078611	\$4,732,168	S174,116	so	S64,545	SO	-\$48,658	66,828,850	88	
Commerical & Industrial Power - Time of Day (CIPTOD)	\$5,751,834	\$5,040,432	0.0284	\$2,450,453	S73,341	\$419,203	0.078611	\$5,417,152	\$224,803	SO	\$57,231	0\$	-\$63,177	86,283,540	16	
Municipal Waterworks (MW)	\$52,659	\$46,542	0.0284	\$17,565	\$526	\$3,838	0.078611	\$58,919	\$1,611	ŝ	\$596	ŝ	-\$454	618,469	16	
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	\$631,733	\$575,116	0.0284	\$78,684	\$2,517	\$46,128	0.078611	\$586,775	\$7,293	\$0	\$2,621	SO	-\$1,942	2,770,562	0	
Street Lighting (SL)	\$151,779	\$140,067	0.0284	\$20,981	-\$297	\$9,954	0.078611	\$141,825	\$1,882	ŝ	S714	ŝO	-\$542	738,760	62	
Total	\$42,980,465	\$38,053,428		\$13,824,716	\$411,710	S3,141,025		\$43,057,581	\$1,268,463	\$142,395	\$443,479	\$17,738	-\$355,382	486,785,789	174,321	

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electric Revenues

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 1 of 18

Other Revenue

August 2010	Total Revenues <sup>1</sup> (a)	Base Rate Revenues (b)	3ase Fuel F Rate (c	Fuel Revenue in Base c)	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue (e)	ECR (f)	Revenue Subject Store Subject (10)	System Sales Revenue (h)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue ()	Residential HEAP S (k)	Net Merger avings Credit	kWh Sales (I)	Number of Custorners (m)
Residential Service (RS)	\$19,717,244	\$19,223,969	0.0284	S5,980,406	-\$879,595	\$909,857	0.047991	\$19,143,371	\$237,412	S148,112	S204,256	\$21,422	-581	210,577,689	142,741
Small General Service (SGS)	S1,516,472	S1,472,588	0.0284	\$349,220	-\$51,363	\$69,458	0.047991	\$1,457,979	\$13,868	SO	S11,927	SD	-56	12,296,478	23,070
Medium General Service (MGS)	\$5,299,627	S5,163,553	0.0284	S1,454,862	-\$214,308	\$242,849	0.047991	\$5,068,728	\$57,832	so	\$49,695	SO	SG	51,227,539	7,607
Large General Service (LGS)	S5,971,175	S5,834,254	0.0284	\$1,883,170	-\$276,170	\$273,894	0.047991	\$5,707,964	\$75,024	\$0	\$64 <sub>,</sub> 319	\$0	-\$146	66,308,813	857
Quantity Power (QP)	\$4,603,573	\$4,531,865	0,0284	\$1,988,551	-\$288,219	S212,436	0.047991	\$4,394,913	S80,223	\$0	S67,919	SO	-\$651	70,019,395	86
Commerical & Industrial Power - Time of Dav (CIPTOD)	\$11,552,930	\$10,690,023	0.0284	\$5,599,038	-\$270,477	S697,770	0.047991	\$10,856,560	\$384,901	SO	S131,498	ŝ	-\$80,785	197,149,240	20
Municipal Waterworks (MW)	S38,422	\$37,591	0.0284	\$12,666	-\$1,864	S1,759	0.047991	\$36,762	\$503	SO	\$433	\$0	\$0	445,992	15
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	\$651,686	\$628,402	0.0284	\$89,567	-\$13,209	\$29,924	0.047991	\$631,951	\$3,591	SO	S2,977	so	\$1	3,153,753	0
Street Lighting (SL)	\$110,782	\$106,981	0.0284	\$17,345	-\$2,553	S5,073	0.047991	\$105,709	\$688	SO	\$592	ŝ	\$0	610,727	55
Total	\$49,461,910	\$47,689,226		\$17,374,825	-\$1,997,758	\$2,443,021		\$47,403,937	\$854,042	\$148,112	\$533,617	\$21,422	-\$81,662	611,789,626	174,451

<sup>1</sup> Total Rovenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electric Revenues

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 2 of 18

Other Revenue

September 2010	Total Revenues <sup>1</sup> (a)	Base Rate E Revenues (b)	Base Fuel 1 Rate (t	Fuel Revenue in Base c)	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue ECR (e)	Factor <sup>3</sup> ()	Revenue Subject S to ECR Factor (g)	system Sales Revenue (h)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue ()	Residential HEAP (K)	Net Merger Savings Credit	kWh Sales (I)	Number of Customers (m)
Residential Service (RS)	\$15,707,839	\$16,720,768	0.0284	\$5,152,734	-\$917,940	S143,728 Various -	- Prorated	\$15,839,505	-\$436,097	\$128,054	\$175,990	\$21,418	-\$32	181,434,311	142,469
Small General Service (SGS)	\$1,350,225	\$1,413,913	0.0284	\$327,268	-\$58,308	\$11,697 Various	- Prorated	\$1,351,867	-\$28,253	0\$	\$11,176	\$0	so	11,523,518	23,122
Medium General Service (MGS)	54,642,221	\$4,917,650	0.0284	\$1,378,425	-\$245,549	\$41,291 Various	- Prorated	\$4,608,575	-\$118,252	\$0	\$47,080	\$0	\$0	48,536,105	7,550
Large General Service (LGS)	\$5,356,B47	\$5,738,490	0.0284	\$1,850,492	-\$329,911	\$45,512 Various	- Prorated	\$5,310,956	-\$160,447	so	\$63,203	ŝ	ŝO	65,158,152	852
Quantity Power (QP)	\$4,039,477	\$4,471,588	0.0284	\$1,948,284	-\$346,362	\$30,602 Various	- Prorated	\$4,008,873	-\$182,895	\$0	S66,544	SO	\$0	68,601,555	86
Commerical & Industrial Power - Time of Day (CIPTOD)	\$13,460,697	\$14,781,381	0.0284	\$8,214,427	-\$1,364,627	\$277,763 Various	- Prorated	\$13,182,934	-\$426,744	so	\$192,923	\$0	\$0	289,240,400	19
Municipal Waterworks (MW)	\$32,342	\$34,554	0.0284	S11,674	-\$2,080	\$352 Various	- Prorated	\$31,990	-\$882	so	\$399	\$0	S0	411,071	13
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	\$609,028	\$626,731	0.0284	. \$98,913	-\$17,794	\$5,200 Various	- Prorated	\$607,902	-58,638	so	\$3,523	SO	S7	3,482,855	0
Street Lighting (SL)	\$99,478	\$104,147	0.0284	\$18,628	-53,319	\$223 Various	- Prorated	\$99,259	-\$2,209	so	\$636	SO	ŝ	655,907	53
Total	\$45,298,154	\$48,809,223		\$19,000,846	-\$3,285,890	\$556,367		\$45,041,861	-\$1,364,417	\$128,054	S561,476	\$21,418	-\$25	669,043,874	174,164

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electric Revenues <sup>3</sup> ECR Factor was prorated daily beginning 8/27/10 through 9/28/10 due to corresponding prorated base rates

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 3 of 18

Other Revenue

October 2010	Total Revenues <sup>1</sup> (a)	Base Rate E Revenues (b)	Base Fuel Fr Rate (c)	uel Revenue in Base	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue (e)	ECR R Factor (f)	tevenue Subject to ECR Factor (g)	yystem Sales Revenue (h)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue ()	Residential HEAP 5 (k)	Net Merger Savings Credit	kWn Sales (I)	Number of Customers (m)
esidential Service (RS)	\$13,268,562	\$13,251,886	0.0284	\$4,006,271	-\$368,242	\$278,200 0	0,021050	\$13,348,708	-\$51,514	\$226,698	\$136,834	\$21,396	-\$2	141,065,869	142,456
imall General Service (SGS)	\$1,267,501	S1,260,764	0,0284	\$273,413	-\$25,212	\$26,140 C	0.021050	\$1,254,466	-\$3,507	\$563	\$9,343	SO	-\$26	9,627,206	23,110
dedium General Service (MGS)	\$4,275,588	S4,271,218	0,0284	\$1,172,549	-\$108,188	\$87,981 C	0.021050	\$4,204,439	-\$15,480	S2,401	\$40,049	ŝ	\$6	41,286,943	7,570
Large General Service (LGS)	\$5,247,022	\$5,259,893	0.0284	\$1,675,007	-\$154,933	\$107,503 0	0.021050	\$5,146,349	-\$22,650	\$2,809	S57,210	\$0	\$0	58,979,122	846
Quantity Power (QP)	\$4,333,216	S4,379,102	0.0284	\$1,868,003	-\$173,053	\$88,808	0.021050	\$4,245,234	-\$25,443	\$824	S63,802	ŝ	so	65,774,740	86
Commerical & Industrial Power - Time of Day (CIPTOD)	\$6,019,833	\$6,225,311	0.0284	\$3,018,386	-5309,440	\$111,013	0.021050	\$5,908,820	-\$77,941	so	\$70,890	\$0	SO	106,281,180	19
Municipal Waterworks (MW)	\$35,069	\$35,166	0.0284	S11,847	-\$1,098	\$739 (	0.021050	\$34,522	-\$137	\$25	\$405	so	-\$5	417,163	15
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	\$631,612	\$626,878	0.0284	S115,135	-\$10,605	\$13,030 (	0.021050	S628,653	-\$1,478	so	\$3,784	0\$	54	4,054,059	٥
Street Lighting (SL)	\$109,675	\$109,183	0.0284	\$22,652	-\$2,163	\$2,236 (	0.021050	S107,441	-5354	\$0	\$774	so	SO	797,596	57
Total	\$35,188,077	\$35,419,401		\$12,163,262	-\$1,152,935	S715,649		\$34,878,632	-\$198,505	\$233,321	\$383,089	\$21,396	-\$23	428,283,878	174,159

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electric Revenues

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 4 of 18

Other Revenue

November 2010	Total Revenues <sup>1</sup> (a)	Base Rate B Revenues (b)	ase Fuel F Rate (c	-uel Revenue in Base c)	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue (e)	ECR Factor (1)	Revenue Subject to ECR Factor (g)	ystern Sales Revenue (h)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue ()	Residential HEAP S	Net Merger Savings Credit	kWn Sales (I)	Number of Customers (m)
Residential Service (RS)	\$14,461,097	S14,154,811	0.0284	\$4,305,457	-\$22,052	\$179,431	0.012323	\$14,704,506	-\$19,511	\$243,865	S147,053	\$21,417	-556	151,600,612	142,698
Small General Service (SGS)	S1,260,609	S1,238,764	0.0284	\$265,043	-\$1,365	S15,369	0.012323	\$1,256,066	-\$1,210	\$546	\$9,052	ŝ	SO	9,332,493	23,209
Medium General Service (MGS)	\$4,033,194	\$3,957,432	0.0284	\$1,064,570	-\$4,182	\$48,523	0.012323	\$4,003,344	-\$5,197	\$2,196	\$36,370	SO	\$249	37,484,859	7,579
Large General Service (LGS)	\$5,115,818	S5,015,976	0.0284	\$1,591,248	-\$10,312	\$63,051	0.012323	\$5,061,399	-\$6,989	\$2,614	\$54,340	SO	-\$249	56,029,860	840
Quantity Power (QP)	\$4,239,878	\$4,150,039	0.0284	\$1,893,695	-\$13,548	\$47,718	0.012323	\$4,190,136	-\$9,010	\$795	\$64,679	so	\$0	66,679,410	68
Commerical & Industrial Power - Time of Day (CIPTOD)	\$10,526,611	\$10,569,703	0.0284	\$5,705,060	-\$296,230	\$170,656	0.012323	\$10,355,955	-\$51,507	so	\$133,989	00	SO	200,882,400	<del>1</del>
Municipal Waterworks (MW)	\$34,474	\$33,517	0.0284	\$11,446	\$58	\$521	0.012323	\$43,878	\$5	S24	\$391	\$0	-518	403,030	15
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	\$638,507	\$627,784	0.0284	\$122,259	-\$670	S7,760	0.012323	\$636,078	-\$652	so	\$4¦284	\$D	\$3	4,304,885	0
Sireet Lighting (SL)	\$116,233	\$114,293	0.0284	\$25,113	-\$238	S1,457	0.012323	\$114,778	-\$136	\$0	\$858	so	S	884,274	58
Total	\$40,426,421	\$39,862,320		\$14,983,892	-\$348,539	\$534,484		\$40,366,140	-\$94,208	\$250,039	\$451,014	S21,417	-S72	527,601,823	174,506

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electric Revenues

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 5 of 18

Other Revenue

December 2010	Total Revenues <sup>1</sup> (a)	Base Rate E Revenues (b)	Base Fuel F Rate (c	Fuel Revenue in Base c)	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue (e)	ECR Factor (f)	Revenue Sublect to ECR Factor (g)	System Sales Revenue (h)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue ()	Residential HEAP S (k)	Net Merger avings Credit	kWh Sales (I)	Number of Customers (m)
Residential Service (RS)	\$25,447,693	S24,336,886	0.0284	S7,673,365	-\$297,323	\$915,616	0.036679	S25,200,311	\$209,034	\$435,032	\$262,083	\$21,406	-\$22	270,188,922	142,682
Small General Service (SGS)	\$1,686,379	\$1,617,622	0.0284	S400,410	-\$15,514	\$59,687	0.036679	S1,640,635	\$10,909	\$833	\$13,676	SD	-51	14,098,944	23,208
Medium General Service (MGS)	S5, 168, 479	\$4,954,565	0.0284	\$1,369,650	-\$53,009	S182,851	0.036679	\$5,007,913	\$37,289	\$2,810	\$46,781	ŝ	\$0	48,227,110	7,411
Large General Service (LGS)	\$6,143,546	\$5,883,004	0.0284	S1,918,354	-\$73,770	\$217,009	0.036679	\$5,930,262	\$51,781	\$3,160	\$65,521	ŝO	SO	67,547,690	875
Quantity Power (QP)	\$4,703,615	\$4,500,162	0.0284	\$1,842,287	-\$69,717	\$161,579	0.036679	\$4,545,750	\$48,667	\$892	\$62,923	so	\$C	64,869,255	82
Commercal & Industrial Power - Time of Day (CIPTOD)	S11,218,753	\$10,865,292	0.0284	\$6,097,742	-\$132,727	\$274,113	0.036679	\$10,944,640	\$68,863	ŝ	\$143,211	SO	SO	214,709,220	17
Municipal Waterworks (MW)	\$37,107	\$35,520	0.0284	\$12,052	-\$467	\$1,314	0.036679	\$35,820	\$328	\$26	S412	ŝ	so	424,368	13
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	\$654,183	\$628,205	0.0284	\$131,901	-55,137	\$23,044	0.036679	\$636,484	\$3,623	\$D	\$4,447	so	\$1	4,644,408	0
Street Lighting (SL)	\$108,956	\$104,535	0.0284	\$24,974	-5967	\$3,855	0.036679	\$105,101	\$681	\$0	\$853	\$0	SO	879,352	52
Total	\$55,168,711	S52,925,792		\$19,470,735	-S648,632	\$1,839,068		S54,046,916	\$431,176	\$442,753	\$599,907	\$21,406	-\$21	685,589,269	174,340

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electric Revenues KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 6 of 18

Other Revenue

January 2011	Total Revenues <sup>1</sup> (a)	Base Rate Revenues (b)	3ase Fuel F Rate (c	uel Revenue in Base	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue (e)	ECR F Factor (f)	tevenue Subject Subject (conclusion) (conclu	system Sales Revenue (h)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue (j)	Residential HEAP S	Net Merger avings Credit	kWh Sales (I)	Number of Customers (m)
Residential Service (RS)	\$34,190,990	\$32,657,235	0,0284	\$10,423,072	-\$268,499	S1,264,476 C	037735	\$33,784,021	\$160,293	\$590,858	\$355,995	\$21,534	-544	367,009,588	143,163
Small General Service (SGS)	S2,044,857	\$1,957,909	0.0284	\$528,785	-\$13,694	S74,384 C	0.037735	\$1,989,110	\$8,198	S1,109	\$18,060	SO	SO	18,619,194	23,203
Medium General Scrvice (MGS)	\$6,367,982	S6,095,371	0.0284	\$1,724,350	-\$44,775	\$231,656 0	0.037735	\$6,176,600	\$26,788	\$3,553	\$58,901	SO	\$40	60,716,563	7,587
Large General Service (LGS)	\$6,691,968	\$6,398,702	0.0284	\$2,096,898	-\$54,227	\$243,390 (	0.037735	\$6,454,048	\$32,484	\$3,518	\$71,620	ŝ	\$0	73,834,437	882
Quantity Power (QP)	\$5,227,879	\$4,983,875	0.0284	\$2,316,421	-\$64,935	\$189,339 (	0.037735	\$5,039,265	\$40,482	\$723	\$79,117	\$0	so	81,564,117	88
Commercal & Industrial Power - Time of Day (CIPTOD)	\$10,406,680	\$9,965,873	0.0284	\$5,414,460	-\$178,336	\$373,090 (	0.037735	\$10,033,590	S118,890	so	\$127,164	so	ŝo	190,650,000	18
Numicipal Waterworks (MW)	\$42,226	\$40,363	0.0284	S13,707	-\$352	\$1,537 (	0.037735	\$40,627	\$210	\$30	\$468	SO	SO	482,655	14
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	S654,400	\$627,593	0.0284	\$129,503	-\$3,324	\$23,835 (	0.037735	S635,790	\$1,898	so	\$4,396	ŝ	\$2	4,559,953	0
Street Lighting (SL)	S91,531	\$87,712	0.0284	\$20,581	-\$529	53,328	0.037735	\$88,200	\$316	\$0	S703	so	SO	724,699	51
Total	\$65,718,513	\$62,814,634		\$22,667,778	-\$628,671	\$2,405,036		\$64,241,451	\$389,559	\$599,791	S716,423	\$21,534	-51	798,161,206	175,026

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, Iherefore are not included in (a) Total Electinc Revenues. KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 7 of 18

					t							Other Re	svenue		
February 2011	Total Revenues <sup>i</sup> (a)	Base Rate Revenues (b)	lase Fuel F Rate (c	(uel Revenue in Base c)	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue (e)	ECR Factor (1)	Revenue Subject ( to ECR Factor (9)	System Sales Revenue (ħ)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue (j)	Residential HEAP 5 (k)	Net Merger Savings Credit	kWh Safes (i)	Number of Customers (m)
Residential Service (RS)	\$27,535,064	\$25,803,748	0.0284	S8,158,302	\$643,415	S604,774	0.022077	\$27,641,511	\$183,115	\$462,296	\$278,636	\$21,433	-\$57	287,264,168	142,733
Small General Service (SGS)	\$1,757,795	\$1,662,799	0.0284	\$421,249	\$33,140	\$38,022	0.022077	\$1,731,954	S9,448	\$884	\$14,386	so	\$0	14,832,702	23,076
Medium General Service (MGS)	\$5,526,421	\$5,211,617	0.0284	\$1,445,073	\$113,209	\$119,851	0.022077	\$5,429,350	\$32,387	\$2,968	\$49,357	\$0	\$0	50,882,862	7,466
Large General Service (LGS)	\$6,243,238	\$5,854,146	0.0284	\$1,892,681	\$145,746	\$136,462	0.022077	\$6,124,894	\$42,239	\$3,156	\$64,645	ŝ	so	66,643,686	889
Quantity Power (QP)	\$5,607,186	\$5,196,562	0.0284	\$2,327,191	S149,722	\$131,482	0.022077	\$5,484,824	\$49,935	\$1,024	\$79,485	so	so	81,943,335	91
Commerical & Industrial Power - Time of Day (CIPTOD)	\$12,456,044	S11,680,138	0.0284	\$6,434,049	S145,891	\$359,033	0.022077	\$12,850,857	\$119,872	SO	S151,110	ŝ	ŝ	226,551,020	22
Municipal Waterworks (MW)	\$36,102	\$33,770	0.0284	\$11,450	\$903	S780	0.022077	S35,565	\$257	\$25	\$391	so	ŝ	403,173	14
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	S654,016	\$625,074	0,0284	\$108,195	\$8,674	\$14,110	0.022077	\$640,676	\$2,497	\$0	\$3,655	\$0	S7	3,809,694	0
Street Lighting (SL)	\$87,375	\$83,265	0.0284	\$16,405	\$1,294	\$1,887	0.022077	\$85,483	\$368	ŝ	\$560	\$0	SO	577,624	47
Total	\$59,903,241	S56,151,120		\$20,814,595	\$1,241,994	\$1,406,403		S60,025,114	\$440,118	S470,353	\$642,225	\$21,434	- \$51	732,908,264	174,338

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electric Revenues KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 8 of 18

Other Revenue

March 2011	Total Revenues <sup>1</sup> (a)	Base Rate Revenues (b)	3ase Fuel F Rate (c	uel Revenue In Base c)	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR E Revenue Fa (e)	CCR Re actor t	svenue Subject S o ECR Factor (g)	ystem Sales Revenue (ħ)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue ()	Residential HEAP (k)	Net Merger Savings Credit	kWh Sales (I)	Number of Customers (m)
Residential Service (RS)	\$18,561,137	\$19,304,211	0.0284	\$6,007,542	-\$576,536	-\$49,273 -0.0	02632	\$19,159,042	-\$343,902	\$340,422	S205,185	\$21,4BD	-528	211,533,175	142,865
Small General Service (SGS)	\$1,391,331	S1,433,923	0.0284	\$335,443	-531,741	-53,359 -0.0	02632	\$1,404,396	-\$18,948	\$700	S11,455	SO	S1	11,811,361	23,140
Medium General Service (MGS)	\$4,373,657	\$4,529,285	0.0284	\$1,231,580	-\$116,877	-\$10,850 -0.0	02632	\$4,390,689	-\$69,966	\$2,528	\$42,064	SO	\$0	43,365,506	7,591
Large General Service (LGS)	\$5,276,954	\$5,492,660	0.0284	\$1,758,270	-\$164,983	-\$11,875 -0.0	02632	\$5,307,547	-\$98,902	\$2,863	S60,054	ŝD	SO	61,910,907	902
Quantity Power (QP)	\$4,476,216	S4,706,648	0.0284	S2,064,047	-\$182,733	-\$6,998 -0.0	002632	\$4,489,246	-\$111,198	\$823	\$70,497	SO	0\$	72,677,694	88
Commerical & Industrial Power - Time of Day (CIPTOD)	\$9,958,197	\$9,960,488	0.0284	\$5,274,557	-\$96,574	S85,703 -0.0	002632	\$9,872,495	-\$115,299	SO	\$123,878	ŝO	so	185,723,840	18
Municipal Waterworks (MW)	S31,524	\$32,940	0.0284	\$11,172	-\$1,074	-583 -0.0	002632	\$31,702	-5641	\$24	\$382	\$0	so	393,367	13
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	\$612.042	\$626,738	0.0284	\$108,519	-\$10,510	-\$1,657 -0.0	002632	\$625,198	-56,197	so	\$3,666	ŝ	\$3	3,821,086	0
Street Lighting (SL)	\$120,800	\$123,900	0.0284	\$24,491	-\$2,289	-\$273 -0.(	002632	\$121,073	-\$1,375	ŝ	S837	SO	SO	862,365	56
Total	\$44,801,857	\$46,210,794		\$16,815,620	-\$1,183,317	\$1,335		\$45,401,388	-\$766,427	\$347,360	S518,018	\$21,480	-\$25	592,099,301	174,673

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electric Revenues

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 9 of 18

Other Revenue

April 2011	Total Revenues <sup>1</sup> (a)	Base Rate Revenues (b)	Base Fuel <sup>1</sup> Rate (	Fuel Revenue in Base c)	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue (e)	ECR Factor (f)	Revenue Subject to ECR Factor (g)	system Sales Revenue (h)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue (j)	Residential HEAP (k)	Net Merger Savings Credit	kWh Sales (I)	Number of Customers (m)	
Residential Service (RS)	\$16,448,394	S16,068,115	0.0284	\$4,938,468	-\$64,252	\$557,913 (	0.034499	\$16,322,181	-\$303,444	\$279,689	\$168,674	\$21,389	-S1	173,889,713	142,171	
Small General Service (SGS)	\$1,349,566	\$1,316,304	0.0284	\$292,525	-53,797	\$45,040 (	0.034499	\$1,314,741	-\$17,973	S604	<b>S9</b> ,992	so	SO	10,300,167	23,088	
Medium General Service (MGS)	\$4,293,645	\$4,196,202	0.0284	\$1,134,170	-\$14,832	\$143,279 (	0.034499	\$4,174,163	-\$69,741	\$2,313	\$38,738	so	\$0	39,935,573	7,532	
Large General Service (LGS)	\$5,335,533	\$5,225,967	0.0284	\$1,667,910	-\$22,288	\$177,487 (	0.034499	\$5,162,473	-\$102,600	\$2,723	\$56,967	\$0	\$0	58,729,226	887	
Quantity Power (QP)	\$4,596,894	\$4,529,762	0.0284	\$1,956,283	-\$29,647	\$149,992 (	0.034499	\$4,447,683	-\$120,029	\$776	\$66,817	\$0	\$0	68,883,220	85	
Commerical & Industrial Power - Time of Day (CIPTOD)	\$9,577,581	\$9,906,474	0.0284	\$5,468,016	-\$298,520	\$165,990 (	0.034499	\$9,411,591	-\$324,784	80	\$128,421	ŝ	SO	192,535,760	17	
Municipal Waterworks (MW)	\$32,623	\$31,971	0.0284	\$10,835	-\$141	\$1,089 (	0.034499	\$31,557	-\$666	\$24	\$370	0\$	0\$	381,514	13	
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	S643,37B	\$625,639	0.0284	\$92,004	-\$1,153	\$21,442 (	0.034499	\$630,598	-\$5,554	\$0	\$3,003	SO	<del>د</del> 1	3,239,591	o	
Street Lighting (SL)	\$141,605	\$135,853	0.0284	\$24,417	\$368	\$5,194	0.034499	\$136,409	-\$644	so	\$834	SO	\$0	859,746	80	
Total	S42,410,218	\$42,036,287		\$15,584,628	-\$434,264	\$1,267,425		\$41,631,396	-\$945,434	S286,129	\$473,816	\$21,389	S	548,754,510	173,873	

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electric Revenues KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 10 of 18

Other Revenue

May 2011	Toial Revenues <sup>i</sup> (a)	Base Rate E Revenues (b)	Base Fuel 1 Rate (u	Fuel Revenue In Base c)	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue (e)	ECR Factor (f)	Revenue Subject S to ECR Factor (g)	iystem Sales Revenue (ħ)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue (j)	Residential HEAP (k	Net Merger Savings Credit	kWn Sales (I)	Number of Customers (m)
Residential Service (RS)	\$13,260,787	\$12,712,589	0.0284	\$3,829,082	\$29,704	\$437,851	0.033578	\$13,173,127	-\$71,494	\$215,252	\$130,785	\$21,334	\$23	134,826,840	141,677
Small General Service (SGS)	\$1,277,071	\$1,229,432	0.0284	\$262,280	S2,050	\$41,518	0.033578	\$1,243,352	-54,886	\$54D	\$8,957	SO	SO	9,235,195	23,141
Medium General Service (MGS)	S4,144,015	\$3,984,136	0.0284	\$1,082,674	\$8,418	\$134,697	0.033578	\$4,025,896	-\$20,215	\$2,220	\$36 <b>,</b> 979	\$0	SO	38,122,341	7,530
Large General Service (LGS)	\$5,530,190	\$5,311,304	0.0284	\$1,700,884	\$13,096	\$179,727	0.033578	\$5,352,094	-\$32,030	\$2,773	\$58,094	\$0	\$0	59,890,278	890
Quantity Power (QP)	\$4,893,437	\$4,690,680	0.0284	\$2,041,155	\$14,621	\$159,109	0.033578	\$4,735,184	-\$40,683	\$854	\$69,716	0\$	so	71,871,670	83
Commerical & Industrial Power - Time of Day (CIPTOD)	\$10,839,092	\$10,663,493	0.0284	\$5,815,099	-\$50,558	\$329,859	0.033578	\$10,557,720	-\$240,275	20	\$136,573	so	SO	204,757,003	19
Municipal Waterworks (MW)	\$35,991	\$34,549	0.0284	\$11,682	<b>S91</b>	\$1,170	0.033578	\$34,846	-\$218	\$26	\$399	\$0	so	411,338	13
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	\$646,694	\$623,787	0.0284	\$81,553	\$683	\$20,881	0.033578	\$631,659	-\$1,510	ŝ	\$2,849	\$0	\$5	2,871,586	o
Street Lighting (SL)	\$110,022	\$106,080	0.0284	\$15,796	\$123	\$3,574	0.033578	\$106,448	-\$295	\$0	\$540	so	so	556,207	54
Total	\$40,737,298	\$39,356,050		\$14,840,205	\$18,229	\$1,308,387		\$39,860,326	-\$411,612	\$221,665	\$444,890	\$21,334	\$28	522,542,458	173,413

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electric Revenues KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 11 of 18

Other Revenue

June 2011	Total Revenues <sup>1</sup> (a)	Base Rate B Revenues (b)	3ase Fuel F Rate (c	uei Revenue In Base	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue (e)	ECR Factor (f)	Revenue Subject to ECR Factor (g)	system Sales Revenue (h)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue (j)	Residential HEAP S	Net Merger savings Credit	kWh Sales (I)	Number of Customers (m)
Residential Service (RS)	S16,307,233	S14,837,682	0.0284	S4,532,910	\$395,652	\$908,139	0.058242	\$15,750,148	-\$10,332	\$195,171	\$154,822	S21,260	\$2	159,609,491	141,461
Small General Service (SGS)	S1,427,695	S1,314,069	0.0284	S292,143	\$25,541	\$78,754	0.058242	\$1,360,667	-\$646	\$2,845	29,977	S0	SO	10,286,740	23,178
Medium General Service (MGS)	\$4,801,946	\$4,392,500	0.0284	\$1,211,862	S105,844	\$264,946	0.058242	\$4,557,682	-\$2,736	S11,429	S41,391	\$0	\$0	42,671,196	7,513
Large General Service (LGS)	\$6,048,199	S5,505,157	0,0284	\$1,764,253	\$153,687	\$333,175	0.058242	\$5,726,955	-\$4,078	\$12,871	\$60,258	SO	so	62,121,595	894
Quantity Power (QP)	\$5,016,477	\$4,505,137	0.0284	\$1,963,278	\$171,685	\$277,001	0.058242	S4,744,401	-\$4,402	\$4,922	S67,056	0\$	\$0	69,129,490	86
Commerical & Industrial Power - Time of Day (CIPTOD)	\$11,843,163	\$10,866,510	0.0284	\$5,955,642	\$327,709	\$563,331	0.058242	\$11,231,348	-\$54,281	SO	\$139,895	so	so	209,705,687	19
Municipal Watervorks (MW)	\$34,845	\$31,648	0.0284	\$10,695	\$934	\$1,922	0.058242	\$32,998	-\$24	\$74	\$365	\$0	\$0	376,576	13
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	S669,160	\$623,545	0.0284	S73,252	\$6,299	\$36,853	0.058242	\$633,961	-\$74	\$0	S2,535	\$0	\$2	2,579,303	0
Street Lighting (SL)	S117,254	\$109,138	0.0284	\$14,676	\$1,253	\$6,407	0.058242	\$110,846	-\$45	ŝ	\$501	SO	\$0	516,755	57
Total	\$46,265,971	\$42,185,385		\$15,818,710	\$1,188,605	\$2,470,526		\$44,149,006	-\$76,618	\$227,312	\$476,801	\$21,260	24 24	556,996,833	173,221

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electric Revenues KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 12 of 18
Kentucky Power Company Revenue by Retail Rate Schedule By Month for the Period July 2010 through December 2011 Other Revenue

July 2011	Total Revenues <sup>i</sup> (a)	Base Rate E Revenues (b)	Base Fuel f Rate (c	Fuel Revenue in Base c)	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue (e)	ECR Factor (f)	Revenue Subject S to ECR Factor (g)	system Sales Revenue (h)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue ()	Residential HEAP (k)	Net Merger Savings Credit	kWh Sales (I)	Number of Customers (m)
Residential Service (RS)	S17,377,111	\$16,445,498	0.0284	S5,064,670	-\$35,526	\$652,015	0.038659	\$17,021,317	S120,900	\$133,507	\$172,983	\$21,240	\$1	178,333,439	141,397
Small General Service (SGS)	S1,464,479	\$1,393,378	0.0284	S320,473	-\$2,239	S54,736	0.038659	\$1,429,505	\$7,660	\$6,045	\$10,945	so	so	11,284,256	23,140
Medium General Service (MGS)	S4,924,574	\$4,673,524	0.0284	\$1,308,797	-59,145	\$184,248	0.038659	\$4,779,059	\$31,245	S24,370	\$44,702	\$0	\$0	46,084,410	7,514
Large General Service (LGS)	\$5,858,760	\$5,546,776	0.0284	\$1,782,703	-\$11,096	\$220,005	0.038659	S5,698,915	\$42,186	\$27,089	\$60,888	\$0	ŝ	62,771,232	891
Quantity Power (QP)	\$4,668,268	\$4,398,400	0.0284	\$1,878,255	-\$13,227	\$174,071	0.038659	\$4,511,812	\$44,873	\$8,530	\$64,152	0\$	SO	66,135,735	84
Commencal & Industnal Power - Time of Day (CIPTOD)	\$10,590,062	\$9,714,683	0.0284	\$5,303,954	\$209,005	\$483,380	0.038659	\$10,106,681	\$58,426	ŝ	\$124,568	\$0	0\$	186,758,940	16
Municipal Waterworks (MW)	\$35,939	\$34,006	0.0284	\$11,507	-\$81	S1,346	0.038659	\$34,818	\$275	\$225	\$393	\$0	SO	405,186	13
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	\$651,308	\$623,189	0.0284	S78,276	-\$650	\$24,336	0.038659	\$631,573	\$1,834	\$0	\$2,599	so	<b>S1</b>	2,756,210	0
Street Lighting (SL)	\$106,018	\$101,299	0.0284	\$14,389	-\$92	\$3,968	0.038659	\$102,050	\$352	\$0	S491	so	\$0	506,668	55
Total	\$45,676,518	\$42,930,753		\$15,763,025	\$136,948	\$1,798,105		S44,315,730	S307,750	\$199,766	\$481,722	\$21,240	\$3	555,036,076	173,110

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are boing reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electinc Revenues KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 13 of 18 Kentucky Power Company Revenue by Retail Rate Schedule By Month for the Perod July 2010 through December 2011 Other Revenue

August 2011	Total Revenues <sup>1</sup> (a)	Base Rate Revenues (b)	Base Fuel F Rate (c	Fuel Revenue in Base c)	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue (e)	ECR Factor (f)	Revenue Subject to ECR Factor (g)	System Sales Revenue (h)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (I)	Capacity Charge Revenue (j)	Residential HEAP S	Net Merger Savings Credit	kWn Sales (I)	Number of Customers (m)
Residential Service (RS)	\$19,188,609	\$18,552,232	0.0284	\$5,760,895	\$309,828	\$550,419	0.029277	\$19,006,151	-\$441,881	\$151,351	\$196,761	\$21,267	-\$37	202,848,405	141,530
Small General Service (SGS)	\$1,495,822	S1,449,263	0.0284	\$340,947	\$18,376	\$42,738	0.029277	\$1,472,456	-\$26,199	S6,463	\$11,644	S0	\$0	12,005,160	23,269
Medium General Service (MGS)	\$5,067,997	S4,907,426	0.0284	\$1,378,722	\$74,188	\$145,025	0.029277	\$4,959,546	-\$105,732	\$25,868	\$47,090	SO	S0	48,546,559	7,533
Large General Service (LGS)	\$6,062,365	\$5,866,547	0.0284	\$1,886,052	\$100,546	\$173,597	0.029277	\$5,930,631	-\$142,743	\$28,887	\$64,418	so	\$0	66,410,285	895
Quantity Power (QP)	\$4,583,678	\$4,429,667	0.0284	\$1,904,716	\$100,627	\$131,340	0.029277	\$4,482,350	-\$143,011	\$8,523	S65,055	SO	\$0	67,067,460	86
Commerical & Industrial Power - Time of Day (CIPTOD)	S16,102,714	\$15,468,984	0.0284	\$8,389,622	\$265,320	\$507,204	0.029277	\$15,595,511	-\$335,825	\$0	\$197,031	\$0	ŝ	295,409,226	21
Municipal Wateworks (MW)	\$35,153	\$34,019	0.0284	\$11,481	\$620	\$1,006	0.029277	\$34,372	-\$884	\$226	\$392	\$0	so	404,248	13
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	\$640,707	\$621,602	0.0284	\$88,609	\$4,743	\$18,292	0.029277	\$629,191	-\$6,875	0S	\$2,947	so	\$3	3,120,051	0
Street Lighting (SL)	\$103,665	\$100,534	0.0284	\$16,222	S874	\$2,949	0.029277	\$100,715	-\$1,246	\$0	\$554	\$0	ŝ	571,213	53
Total	\$53,280,709	\$51,430,274		\$19,777,266	\$875,121	\$1,572,569		\$52,210,923	-\$1,204,397	\$221,318	S585,893	\$21,267	-534	696,382,607	173,400

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electinc Revenues KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 14 of 18 Kentucky Power Company Revenue by Retail Rate Schedule By Month for the Penod Juty 2010 through December 2011 Other Revenue

September 2011	Total Revenues <sup>1</sup> (a)	Base Rate E Revenues (b)	Base Fuel Ft Rate (c)	uel Revenue in Base	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue (e)	ECR Factor (f)	Revenue Subject to ECR Factor (g)	system Sales Revenue (h)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue (j)	Residential HEAP (K	Net Merger Savings Credit	kWh Sales (I)	Number of Customers (m)
Residential Service (RS)	\$15,849,059	S15,447,745	0.0284	\$4,734,711	\$836,539	\$52,428	0,003272	\$16,068,322	-\$670,613	S124,642	\$161,716	\$21,246	SB	166,715,170	141,285
Small General Service (SGS)	\$1,399,554	\$1,373,359	0.0284	\$311,941	\$55,156	\$4,587	0.003272	S1,412,409	-\$44,201	\$5,900	\$10,654	SO	SO	10,983,836	23,278
Medium General Service (MGS)	\$4,648,342	\$4,545,262	0.0284	\$1,268,806	\$224,720	\$15,011	0.003272	\$4,672,924	-\$180,012	\$23,762	\$43,336	\$0	\$23	44,676,265	7,497
Large General Service (LGS)	\$5,863,155	\$5,717,421	0.0284	\$1,829,461	\$324,072	\$18,924	0.003272	\$5,882,252	-\$259,746	\$28,548	S62,485	SO	\$0	64,417,624	889
Quantity Power (QP)	\$4,936,298	\$4,780,286	0.0284	\$2,006,889	S346,707	\$20,889	0.003272	\$4,923,894	-\$280,129	\$8,486	\$68,545	so	\$0	70,665,090	91
Commerical & Industrial Power - Time of Day (CIPTOD)	\$9,776,454	\$9,444,970	0,0284	\$5,117,126	\$904,491	\$31,884	0.003272	\$9,744,572	-\$725,070	80	\$120,178	SO	\$0	180,180,480	14
Municipal Waterworks (MW)	\$44,082	\$42,913	0.0284	\$14,535	\$1,856	\$427	0.003272	\$43,948	-\$1,586	\$233	\$496	\$0	-\$23	511,811	14
Ouldoor Lighting (OL)	S632,699	\$623,614	0.0284	\$98,530	\$17,585	\$2,040	0.003272	\$632,996	-\$14,048	0\$	\$3,508	\$0	so	3,469,351	0
Sireet Lighting (SL)	\$114,843	\$112,752	0.0284	\$19,901	\$3,167	\$805	0.003272	S114,037	-\$2,561	\$0	\$680	ŝ	ŝO	700,725	54
Total	\$43,264,487	\$42,088,323		\$15,401,898	\$2,714,293	\$146,995		\$43,495,354	-\$2,177,966	S191,571	\$471,599	\$21,246	8 8	542,320,352	173,122

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electinc Revenues KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 15 of 18 Kentucky Power Company Revenue by Retail Rate Schedule By Month for the Penod July 2010 through December 2011

Other Revenue

October 2011	Total Revenues <sup>1</sup> (a)	Base Rate B Revenues (b)	lase Fuel Fr Rate (c)	uel Revenue in Base	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR E Revenue Fa	ECR Re actor 1	svenue Subject o ECR Factor (g)	ystem Sales Revenue (h)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue (j)	Residential HEAP (k)	Net Merger Savings Credit	kWh Sales (I)	Number of Customers (m)
Bacidantial Samira (RS)	S12.332.413	\$12,099,667	0.0284	\$3,628,571	\$146,904	-\$22,238 -0.0	01794	\$12,576,530	-537,059	S96,549	\$123,934	\$21,210	51	127,766,599	141,179
Small General Service (SGS)	\$1,234,007	\$1,219,602	0.0284	\$258,103	\$10,434	-\$2,227 -0.0	001794	\$1,254,123	-\$2,619	\$4,853	\$8,817	ŝ	SO	9,088,127	23,307
Medium General Service (MGS)	\$3,996,894	\$3,934,902	0.0284	\$1,073,643	\$43,455	-\$7,155 -0.0	001794	\$4,038,763	-\$10,978	\$19,975	\$36,671	so	ŝ	37,804,317	7,479
Larde General Service (LGS)	\$5,295,537	\$5,199,073	0.0284	\$1,642,752	\$67,808	-\$9,401 -0.0	001794	\$5,328,680	-\$18,051	\$25,023	\$56,108	\$0	\$0	57,843,384	892
Quantity Power (QP)	S4,517,757	S4,406,414	0.0284	\$1,852,152	\$76,327	-\$8,011 -0.	001794	\$4,552,870	-\$20,233	\$7,420	\$63,260	SO	so	65,216,615	86
Commerical & Industrial Power - Time of Dav (CIPTOD)	\$6,645,464	\$6,480,682	0.0284	\$3,170,554	\$178,641	-\$7,416 -0.	001794	\$6,652,880	-\$80,916	SO	\$74,472	SD	SO	111,639,220	20
Municipal Writemorks (MM)	\$35.621	\$34,926	0.0284	\$11,795	\$478	-\$64 -0.	001794	\$35,917	-\$121	\$232	\$403	\$0	SO	415,327	14
Municipal waterwork	\$629.560	\$623,504	0.0284	S114,517	\$4,664	-\$1,248 -0.	001794	\$633,141	-\$1,125	\$0	\$3,764	\$0	S1	4,032,299	0
Street Lighting (SL)	\$126,997	\$125,297	0.0284	\$25,652	\$1,294	\$76 -0.	.001794	\$126,924	-\$546	ŝ	\$876	S0	SO	903,230	68
Total	\$34,814,249	\$34,124,067		\$11,777,739	\$530,004	-\$57,685		\$35,199,828	-\$171,649	S154,052	\$368,305	\$21,210	S2 S2	414,709,118	173,045

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electinc Revenues

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 16 of 18

Kentucky Power Company Revenue by Retail Rate Schedule By Month for the Period July 2010 through December 2011 Other Revenue

November 2011	Total Revenues <sup>1</sup> (a)	Base Rate E Revenues (b)	Base Fuel Fi Rate (c)	uel Revenue in Base	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue (e)	ECR Factor ()	Revenue Subject to ECR Factor (g)	lystem Sales Revenue (ħ)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue ()	Residential HEAP (k)	Net Merger Savings Credit	kWn Sales (I)	Number of Cuslomers (m)
Residential Service (RS)	\$15,291,468	\$14,507,518	0.0284	\$4,425,252	\$367,706	\$79,351	0.005174	\$15,518,565	\$164,537	\$119,658	\$151,142	\$21,213	SO	155,818,740	141,319
Small General Service (SGS)	\$1,312,489	\$1,263,486	0.0284	\$273,451	\$22,727	S6,787	0.005174	\$1,323,244	S10,151	\$5,139	59,338	so	\$0	9,628,551	23,327
Medium General Service (MGS)	\$4,035,505	\$3,854,302	0.0284	\$1,037,682	\$86,257	\$20,865	0.005174	\$4,053,322	\$38,639	\$19,180	\$35,442	\$0	\$0	36,538,082	7,451
Large General Service (LGS)	\$5,258,200	\$4,987,631	0.0284	\$1,579,152	\$131,005	\$27,116	0.005174	\$5,255,925	\$58,511	\$23,660	\$53,936	sD	so	55,603,937	893
Quantity Power (QP)	\$5,067,780	\$4,732,408	0.0284	\$2,037,329	\$167,142	\$25,243	0.005174	\$5,049,818	\$73,402	\$7,280	\$69,585	ŝ	so	71,736,945	06
Commerical & Industrial Power - Time of Day (CIPTOD)	S15,383,993	\$14,395,105	0.0284	\$8,113,911	\$566,929	\$49,012	0.005174	\$15,334,983	\$182,374	\$0	\$190,572	05	SO	285,701,080	18
Municipal Waterworks (MW)	\$36,178	\$34,202	0.0284	\$11,577	\$962	\$187	0.005174	\$36,319	\$431	\$227	\$395	so	so	407,648	14
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	\$645,761	\$623,585	0.0284	\$121,413	\$10,115	\$3,357	0.005174	\$640,410	\$4,45D	\$0	\$4,253	so	so	4,275,089	0
Street Lighting (SL)	\$113,164	\$108,864	0.0284	\$24,068	\$2,000	\$582	0.005174	\$112,586	\$896	\$0	\$822	so	\$0	847,465	56
Total	S47,144,538	\$44,507,102		\$17,623,834	S1,354,843	\$212,503		\$47,325,172	\$533,391	S175,144	S515,486	S21,213	S	620,557,537	173,168

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electric Revenues KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 17 of 18 Kentucky Power Company Revenue by Retail Rate Schedule By Month for the Penod July 2010 through December 2011 Other Revenue

December 2011	Total Revenues <sup>1</sup> (a)	Base Rate B Revenues (b)	ase Fuel Fu Rate (c)	uel Revenue in Base	Fuel Adjustment Revenue (d)	ECR Revenue (e)	ECR Factor (f)	Revenue Subject E to ECR Factor (g)	ууstem Sales Revenue (h)	DSM Revenue <sup>2</sup> (i)	Capacity Charge Revenue (j)	Residential HEAP S	Net Merger avings Credit	kWh Sales (I)	Number of Customers (m)
Residential Service (RS)	\$20,840,700	\$19,715,432	0.0284	\$6,147,311	\$339,857	\$216,505	0.010410	\$20,988,982	\$337,700	\$166,606	\$209,960	\$21,249	ŝ	216,454,628	141,499
Small General Service (SGS)	\$1,556,890	S1,489,856	0.0284	\$352,829	\$19,497	\$16,121	0.010410	\$1,558,179	\$19,365	S6,642	\$12,050	SO	\$0	12,423,543	23,318
Medium General Service (MGS)	\$4,760,085	\$4,531,181	0.0284	\$1,244,083	\$68,776	\$49,278	0.010410	\$4,747,774	\$68,359	\$22,939	\$42,492	\$0	SO	43,805,727	7,438
Large General Service (LGS)	\$5,872,642	\$5,554,169	0.0284	S1,785,337	\$98,945	\$60,609	0.010410	\$5,841,961	\$97,941	\$26,979	\$60,978	\$0	SO	62,863,994	898
Quantity Power (QP)	\$5,058,788	\$4,704,129	0.0284	\$2,094,897	\$116,660	\$51,832	0.010410	\$5,012,827	\$114,595	\$5,874	\$71,551	ŝD	20	73,763,970	88
Commerical & Industrial Power - Time of Dav (CIPTOD)	S11,597,379	\$10,689,218	0.0284	\$5,900,758	\$326,504	\$118,979	0.010410	\$11,478,399	\$324,082	ŝD	\$138,596	so	so	207,773,160	19
Municipal Waterworks (MW)	\$38,442	\$36,266	0.0284	S12,307	\$680	660\$	0.010410	\$38,284	\$676	\$242	S420	\$0	SO	433,354	13
Outdoor Lighting (OL)	\$646,574	\$621,113	0.0284	\$130,308	\$7,224	\$6,619	0.010410	\$638,676	\$7,241	SO	S4,394	so	\$9	4,588,313	0
Street Lighting (SL)	\$113,389	S108,479	0.0284	\$25,912	\$1,432	\$1,168	0.010410	\$112,221	\$1,424	SO	\$885	SO	0\$	912,396	56
Total	S50,484,888	\$47,449,844		S17,693,742	\$979,597	\$521,509		\$50,417,303	S971,382	\$229,282	\$541,327	\$21,249	0 S	623,019,085	173,329

<sup>1</sup> Total Revenues (a) are being reported on a billed basis only <sup>2</sup> DSM Revenues (i) are booked directly to Miscellaneous Revenue, therefore are not included in (a) Total Electric Revenues KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 40 Attachment 1 Page 18 of 18

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 41 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

# REQUEST

Please provide the monthly ECR filings for the period January 2010 through the present.

#### RESPONSE

Please see enclosed CD for copies of the monthly ECR filings from January 2010 to the present, which includes November 2011.

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 42 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Please provide, by month, the amount of the Tariff E.S. Base Period Revenue Requirement, BRR by retail rate schedule. Also include any amounts allocated to non-retail sales.

#### RESPONSE

The BRR is only developed on a total company basis, not per retail rate schedule. Please see the attachment to this response for the monthly total company BRR.

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 42 Page 2 of 2

Original Sheet No. 29-1 Canceling \_\_\_\_\_Sheet No. 29-1

P.S.C. ELECTRIC NO. 9

TARIFF E.S. (Environmental Surcharge)	
APPLICABLE. To Tariff's R.S., R.SL.MT.O.D., R.ST.O.D., Experimental R.ST.O.D. 2, S.G.S., Experimental S.G.ST.O.D., M.G.S., M.G.ST.O.D., L.G.S., L.G.ST.O.D., Q.P., C.I.PT.O.D., C.SJ.R.P., M.W., O.L., and S.L.	· (T)
RATE. I. The environmental surcharge shall provide for monthly adjustments based on a percent of revenues, equal to the difference between the environmental compliance costs in the base period as provided in Paragraph 3 below and in the current period according to the following formula:	
Monthly Environmental Surcharge Factor = <u>Net KY Retail E(m)</u> KY Retail R(m)	
Where: Net KY Retail E(m) = Monthly E(m) allocated to Kentucky Retail Customers, net of Over/ (Under) Recovery Adjustment; Allocation based on Percentage of Kentucky Retail Revenues to Total Company Revenues in the Expense Month.	
(For purposes of this formula, Total Company Revenues do not include Non-Physical Revenues.)	
KY Retail R(m) = Kentucky Retail Revenues for the Expense Month.	1
2. Monthly Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Requirement, E(m)	
E(m) = CRR - BRR	
Where: CRR = Current Period Revenue Requirement for the Expense Month.	
BRR = Base Period Revenue Requirement.	
Base Period Revenue Requirement, BRR	
BRR = The Following Monthly Amounts:	
Base Net Billing Month Environmental Costs	
JANUARY \$ 3,991,163   FEBRUARY 3,590,810   FEBRUARY 3,651,374   MARCH 3,647,040   APRIL 3,922,590   MAY 3,627,274   JUNE 3,805,325   JULY 4,088,830   AUGUST 3,740,010   SEPTEMBER 3,740,010   OCTOBER 2,786/040   NOVEMBER 4,024,021	
DECEMBER <u>EMAPPENDE COMMISSION</u> <u>144.185079</u> JEFF R. DEROUEN	
(Continued on Sheet 29-2) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	
DATE EFFECTIVE Service rendered on ar Rund Kinkling	
DATE OF ISSUE July 10, 2010. EXAMPLE DIRECTOR OF REGULATORY SERVICES FRANKFORT. HEERTUKRY ISSUED BY E.K. WAGNER DIRECTOR OF REGULATORY SERVICES FRANKFORT. HEERTUKRY NAME TITLE ADDRE 20/2010 PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:011 SECTION 9 (1) PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:011 SECTION 9 (1)	

KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC First Set of Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Page 1 of 1

# Kentucky Power Company

## REQUEST

Please provide, in excel spreadsheet format with formulas, a "compliance" proof of revenues with detail for each rate schedule reflecting the Commission approved rates from Case No. 2009-000459.

### RESPONSE

A proof of revenues by rate schedule is attached, reflecting the approved rates from Case No. 2009-00459. Please see enclosed CD for the excel file with formulas intact and unprotected.

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

#### KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 PROFORMA SUMMARY - SETTLEMENT

Tariff	Total Current <u>Revenue</u>	Total Proposed <u>Revenue</u>	Difference	% Difference
RS Total	\$196,608,757	\$229,819,967	\$33,211,211	16.89%
RSLMTOD Total	\$355,760	\$420,374	\$64,614	18.16%
RSTOD Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%
OL Total	\$6,588,349	\$7,697,959	\$1,109,610	16.84%
SGS Metered Total	\$14,121,390	\$16,506,476	\$2,385,087	16.89%
SGSLMTOD (225)	\$186	\$181	(\$5)	-2.45%
SGS NM Total	\$430,343	\$496,150	\$65,807	15.29%
MGS RL (214)	\$157,811	\$177,190	\$19,379	12.28%
MGS Sec Total	\$48,604,041	\$56,760,186	\$8,156,145	16.78%
MGSLMTOD (223)	\$99,614	\$117,867	\$18,253	18.32%
MGSTOD (229)	\$370,990	\$434,087	\$63,097	17.01%
MGS Pri Total	\$1,796,231	\$2,111,682	\$315,451	17.56%
MGS Sub (236)	\$611,891	\$719,646	\$107,755	17.61%
LGS Sec Total	\$45,344,899	\$53,718,205	\$8,373,306	18.47%
LGSLMTOD (251)	\$231,572	\$274,543	\$42,971	18.56%
LGS Pri Total	\$8,122,063	\$9,137,011	\$1,014,949	12.50%
LGS Sub (248)	\$5,296,907	\$5,650,641	\$353,734	6.68%
QP Sec (356)	\$310,222	\$347,771	\$37,549	12.10%
QP Pri (358)	\$26,464,795	\$29,130,258	\$2,665,463	10.07%
QP Sub (359)	\$25,813,058	\$26,605,693	\$792,634	3.07%
QP Tran (360)	\$2,388,032	\$2,513,419	\$125,387	5.25%
CIP Sub (371)	\$103,958,339	\$107,477,290	\$3,518,951	3.38%
CIP Tran (372)	\$20,377,867	\$21,355,266	\$977,399	4.80%
SL (528)	\$1,129,448	\$1,319,830	\$190,382	16.86%
MW (540)	\$582,698	\$680,839	\$98,141	16.84%
Total	\$509,765,263	\$573,472,533	\$63,707,270	12.50%

# KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43

Rate Design Proposed <u>Revenue</u>	\$229,819,967 \$420,374 \$0	\$230,240,341	\$7,697,959	\$16,506,476 \$181 \$496,150	\$17,002,807	\$177,190 «66.760.186	\$117,867	\$434,087 \$2,111,682	\$719,646	\$60,320,659	\$53,718,205 \$274,543	\$9,137,011	\$5,650,641	) \$68,780,401	\$347,771 \$20,420,258	#29,130,230 \$26,605,693	\$2,513,419	) \$58,597,141	\$107,477,290 \$21,355,266	) \$128,832,556	) \$1,319,830	\$680,839	) \$573,472,533	lte Atta Pa	em No. 43 achment 1 ge 2 of 27
Verification Difference		(\$104,566)	(\$50)		(\$166					(\$586				(\$169				(\$1,531		(\$26	(\$169	(\$7	(\$107,270		
Proposed Revenue		8230,135,775	\$7,697,909		\$17,002,641					\$60,320,073				\$68,780,232				\$58,595,610		\$128,832,530	\$1,319,661	\$680,832	\$573,365,263		
Proposed Revenue Increase		\$33,171,258	\$1,109,560		\$2,450,723					\$8,679,494				\$9,784,791				\$3,619,503		\$4,496,324	\$190,213	\$98,134	\$63,600,000		
Year End Customer <u>Revenue</u>	\$196,608,757 \$355,760 \$0	3196,964,517	\$6,588,349	\$14,121,390 \$186 \$430.343	\$14,551,918	\$157,811	\$48,504,041 \$99,614	\$370,990 \$1 796 231	\$611,891	\$51,640,579	\$45,344,899 \$731 577	\$8,122,063	\$5,296,907	\$58,995,441	\$310,222	\$25,813,058	\$2,388,032	\$54,976,107	\$103,958,339 \$20,377,867	\$124,336,206	\$1,129,448	\$582,698	\$509,765,263		
Year End Migration <u>Revenue</u>	3197,351,853 \$ \$359,588 \$4,146	3197,715,586	\$6,546,076	\$14,068,805 \$186 \$438 216	\$14,507,207	\$156,766	\$48,703,993 \$101,530	\$370,990 \$1 872 066	\$1,012,000 \$684,112	\$51,889,457	\$45,381,739 \$731 577	\$8.245,224	\$3,919,669	\$57,778,205	\$310,222	\$24,977,993 \$28.226.652	\$2,388,032	\$55,902,899	\$101,632,803 \$19,551,563	\$121,184,366	\$1,133,734	\$582,698	\$507,240,229		
Revenue With <u>Annualized Fuel</u>	\$195,692,277 \$358,903 \$4,146	\$196,055,325 \$	\$6,546,076	\$14,105,951 \$186 \$438 216	\$14,544,353	\$155,664	\$48,658,233 \$101,592	\$256,364 *1 136 638	\$179,326	\$50,487,816	\$45,414,973 \$231 573	\$8.884.088	\$4,210,012	\$58,740,644	\$310,222	\$24,851,095 \$29,513,562	\$2,388,032	\$57,062,910	\$100,813,395 \$19,551,563	\$120,364,958	\$1,133,734	\$582,698	\$505,518,515		
Revenue Without <u>let Merger Savings</u> <u>F</u>	\$199,501,314 \$366,445 \$4,265	\$199,872,024	\$6,635,028	\$14,311,576 \$186 \$146.008	\$14,757,770	\$158,411	\$49,470,697 \$103,572	\$260,694 *1 160 744	\$1,109,744 \$184,691	\$51,347,809	\$46,298,179 \$735.044	\$9 081 344	\$4,307,878	\$59,924,341	\$317,760	\$25,432,885 \$30,292,316	\$2,455,699	\$58,498,659	\$103,544,460 \$20,185,685	\$123,730,145	\$1,147,910	\$594,068	\$516,507,755		
Revenue Writhout <u>Capacity Charge</u> N	\$197,707,556 \$362,596 \$4.226	\$198,074,377	\$6,603,257	\$14,212,988 \$186 \$146	\$14,656,804	\$157,120	\$49,074,799 \$102,551	\$258,372	\$183,224	\$50,935,585	\$45,876,244 5034 503	260,4024 88,999,000	\$4,262,919	\$59,372,855	\$313,960	\$25,151,476 \$29,931,804	\$2,426,930	\$57,824,170	\$102,172,788 \$19,919,188	\$122,091,975	\$1,141,692	\$588,358	\$511,289,075		
Revenue Without <u>System Sales</u> 0	\$199,732,291 \$366,941 \$4.270	\$200,103,502	\$6,639,119	\$14,324,271 \$186 *446 215	\$14,770,772	\$158,578	\$49,521,675 \$103,704	\$260,993	\$1,171,001 \$184.880	\$51,400,890	\$46,352,510	107,102¢ \$9 001 947	\$4,313,667	\$59,995,355	\$318,249	\$25,469,121 \$30,338,738	\$2,459,403	\$58,585,511	\$103,127,321 \$20.104.641	\$123,231,962	\$1,148,711	\$594,803	\$516,470,623		
Revenue Without Green Power	\$200,432,519 \$368,499 \$4 779	\$200,805,296	\$6,633,250	\$14,363,605 \$186 *446 £20	\$14,810,320	\$158,794	\$49,675,601 \$104,035	\$263,174	\$1,100,737 \$184.561	\$51,554,902	\$46,464,018	\$0,000 014 \$0,100 759	\$4,316,513	\$60,119,303	\$319,594	\$25,523,964 \$30 379 151	\$2,462,343	\$58,685,053	\$103,477,675 \$20,126,054	\$123,603,729	\$1,148,641	\$596,883	\$517,957,377		
Total Per Books <u>Revenue</u>	\$200,432,953 \$368,499 \$4 279	\$200,805,730	\$6,633,250	\$14,363,605 \$186 \$186	\$14,810,320	\$158,794	\$49,675,601 \$104,035	\$263,174	\$1,108,737 \$184.561	\$51,554,902	\$46,464,018	\$0,004 \$0,100750	\$4,316,513	\$60,119,303	\$319,594	\$25,523,964 \$30 370 151	\$2,462,343	\$58,685,053	\$103,477,675 \$20,126,054	\$123,603,729	\$1,148,641	\$596,883	\$517,957,811		
Tariff	RS Total RSLMTOD Total RS TOD Total	Residential Total	OL Total	SGS Metered Total SGSLMTOD (225)	SGS Total	MGS RL (214)	MGS Sec MGSLMTOD (223)	MGSTOD (229)	MGS Pri Total MGS Sub (236)	MGS Total	LGS Sec Total	LGSLMIUU (201)	LGS Sub (248)	LGS Total	QP Sec (356)	QP Pri (357,358) OD Sub /359)	QP Tran (360)	QP Total	CIP Sub (371) CIP Tran (379)	CIP Total	SL (528)	MW (540)	Total		

KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 REVENUE SUMMARY SHEET - SETTLEMENT

RESIDENTIAL SERVICE (011, 012, 013, 014, 015, 017, 022, 054)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
<u>Billing kWh</u> All kWh	2,447,495,152	\$0.07191	\$175,999,376	2,447,495,152	\$0.08590	\$210,239,834
Storage Water Heating	444,814	\$0.03853	\$17,139	444,814	\$0.04940	\$21,974
Metered kWh	2,447,939,966			2,447,939,966		
Customer Charge *	1,709,677	\$5.96	\$10,189,675	1,709,677	\$8.15	\$13,933,868
Number of Customers	1,716,864			1,716,864		
Employee Discount			(\$43,303)			(\$59,120)
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$5,683,412		\$0.0023217	\$5,683,412
Environmental Surcharge			\$4,762,458			0\$
Total			\$196,608,757			\$229,819,967

\* Includes current HEAP charge of 10¢ and proposed HEAP charge of 15¢ per meter.

RESIDENTIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT TIME-OF-DAY SERVICE (028, 030, 032, 034)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
<u>Billing kVVh</u> On-peak kVVh Off-peak kVVh	1,546,831 3,680,077	\$0.11366 \$0.03853	\$175,813 \$141,793	1,546,831 3,680,077	\$0.13227 \$0.04940	\$204,599 \$181,796
Metered kWh	5,226,908			5,226,908		
C&LM Credit	0	(\$0.00745)	\$0	0	(\$0.00745)	\$0
Customer Charge * Separate Meter Charge *	2,202 24	\$8.46 \$3.10	\$18,629 \$74	2,202 24	\$10.70 \$3.15	\$23,561 \$76
Number of Customers	2,232			2,232		
Employee Discount			(\$1,419)			(\$1,794)
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$12,135		\$0.0023217	\$12,135
Environmental Surcharge			\$8,734			0\$
Total			\$355,760			\$420,374

\* Includes current HEAP charge of 10¢ and proposed HEAP charge of 15¢ per meter.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Attachment 1 Page 5 of 27

KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 RESIDENTIAL TIME-OF-DAY SERVICE (036)

	Current			Proposed		
	Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Billing Units	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
<u>Billing kWh</u> On-peak kWh Off-peak kWh	00	\$0.11366 \$0.03853	0 0 \$	00	\$0.13227 \$0.04940	00\$
Metered kWh	0			0		
Customer Charge *	0	\$8.46	0¢	0	\$10.70	Q\$
Number of Customers	0			0		
Employee Díscount			0\$			\$0
Fuel		\$0.0023217	0\$		\$0.0023217	\$0
Environmental Surcharge			\$0			\$0
Total			0¢			\$0

\* Includes current HEAP charge of 10¢ and proposed HEAP charge of 15¢ per meter.

OUTDOOR LIGHTING (093, 094, 095, 097, 098, 099, 107, 109, 110, 111, 113, 116, 122, 131)

Proposed <u>Revenue</u>	\$2,599,485 \$2,414,610 \$335,549 \$51,245	\$194,805 \$26,084	\$130,948 \$17,761	\$1,478	\$298,819 \$972,208	\$27,518 \$237,433 \$48,859		\$147,641 \$87,514 \$4,275	\$101,727	\$0	\$7,697,959
Proposed <u>Rate</u>	\$8.75 \$9.90 \$12.20 \$19.15	\$9.75 \$16.85	\$13.10 \$21.45	\$11.20	\$13.60 \$18.85	\$18.20 \$24.10 \$52.20		\$2.85 \$1.60 \$6.25	\$0.0023217		
Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	297,084 243,900 27,504 2,676	19,980 1,548	9,996 828	132	21,972 51,576	1,512 9,852 936	43,815,427	51,804 54,696 684			
Current <u>Revenue</u>	\$2,133,063 \$1,999,980 \$276,415 \$43,699	\$156,044 \$20,867	\$105,258 \$14,200	\$1,183	\$248,284 \$829,342	\$26,218 \$225,906 \$46,519		\$119,149 \$71,105 \$3,659	\$101,727	\$165,731	01000000
Current <u>Rate</u>	\$7.18 \$8.20 \$10.05 \$16.33	\$7.81 \$13.48	\$10.53	\$8.96	\$11.30 \$16.08	\$17.34 \$22.93 \$49.70		\$2.30 \$1.30 \$5.35	\$0.0023217		
Current Billing <u>Units</u>	297,084 243,900 27,504 2,676	19,980 1,548	9,996 828	132	21,972 51,576	1,512 9,852 936	43,815,427	51,804 54,696 684			
	<u>Overhead Lighting Service</u> High Pressure Sodium 100 watts, 9,500 Lumens (094) 150 watts, 16,000 Lumens (113) 200 watts, 22,000 Lumens (097) 400 watts, 50,000 Lumens (098)	Mercury Vapor 175 watts, 7,000 Lumens (093) 400 watts, 20,000 Lumens (095)	Post Top Lighting Service High Pressure Sodium 100 watts, 9,500 Lumens (111) 150 watts, 16,000 Lumens (122)	Mercury Vapor 175 watts, 7,000 Lumens (099)	Flood Lighting Service High Pressure Sodium 200 watts, 22,000 Lumens (107) 400 watts, 50,000 Lumens (109)	Metal Halide 250 watts, 20,500 Lumens (110) 400 watts, 36,000 Lumens (116) 1000 watts, 110,000 Lumens (131)	Metered kWh	Facilities Charge Pole Span Lateral	Fuel	Environmental Surcharge	

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Attachment 1 Page 7 of 27

KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

SMALL GENERAL SERVICE (211, 212)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
<u>Billing kWh</u> First 500 kWh Over 500 kWh	60,515,315 74,089,503	\$0.10013 \$0.05994	\$6,059,398 \$4,440,925	60,515,315 74,089,503	\$0.13160 \$0.07116	\$7,963,815 \$5,272,209
Metered kWh	134,604,818			134,604,818		
Customer Charge	257,212	\$11.50	\$2,957,938	257,212	\$11.50	\$2,957,938
Number of Customers	257,820			257,820		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$312,514		\$0.0023217	\$312,514
Environmental Surcharge			\$350,615			0\$
Total			\$14,121,390			\$16,506,476

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Attachment 1 Page 8 of 27

KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

SMALL GENERAL SERVICE LOAD MANAGEMENT TIME-OF-DAY (225)

	Current			Proposed		
	Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
<u>Billing kWh</u> On-Peak Off-Peak	00	\$0.13416 \$0.03853	0\$ 8	00	\$0.15326 \$0.04940	008
Metered kWh	0			0		
Customer Charge	12	\$15.10	\$181	12	\$15.10	\$181
Number of Customers	12			12		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$0		\$0.0023217	0\$
Environmental Surcharge			ф С			\$0
Total			\$186			\$181

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Attachment 1 Page 9 of 27

KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 SMALL GENERAL SERVICE - NON METERED (204, 213)

	Current			Proposed		
	Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
<u>Billing kWh</u> First 500 kWh Over 500 kWh	1,987,491 1,211,652	\$0.10013 \$0.05994	\$199,007 \$72,626	1,987,491 1,211,652	\$0.13160 \$0.07116	\$261,554 \$86,221
Metered kWh	3,199,143			3,199,143		
Customer Charge	18,793	\$7.50	\$140,948	18,793	\$7.50	\$140,948
Number of Customers	13,668			13,668		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$7,427		\$0.0023217	\$7,427
Environmental Surcharge			\$10,334			\$0
Total			\$430,343			\$496,150

MEDIUM GENERAL SERVICE - RECREATIONAL LIGHTING (214)

•

	Current			Proposed		
	Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
All kwh	1,794,638	\$0.07708	\$138,331	1,794,638	\$0.09004	\$161,589
Metered kWh	1,794,638			1,794,638		
Customer Charge	847	\$13.50	\$11,435	847	\$13.50	\$11,435
Number of Customers	006			006		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$4,167		\$0.0023217	\$4,167
Environmental Surcharge			\$3,880			\$0
Total			\$157,811			\$177,190

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Attachment 1 Page 11 of 27

MEDIUM GENERAL SERVICE - SECONDARY (215, 216, 218)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
Billing kVVh First 200 kVVh per kVV Over 200 kVVh per kVV Minimum kVVh Metered kVVh	346,095,070 195,685,030 0 541,780,100	\$0.08177 \$0.07015	\$28,300,194 \$13,727,305	346,095,070 195,685,030 0 541,780,100	\$0.09862 \$0.08460	\$34,131,896 \$16,554,954
Billing kW Standard Mining Minimum	2,205,103 0	\$1.31 \$5.46	\$2,888,685 \$0	2,205,103 0	\$1.64 \$6.84	\$3,616,369 \$0
Customer Charge	88,823	\$13.50	\$1,199,111	88,823	\$13.50	\$1,199,111
Number of Customers	88,992			88,992		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$1,257,858		\$0.0023217	\$1,257,858
Environmental Surcharge			\$1,230,890			\$0
Total			\$48,604,041			\$56,760,186

MEDIUM GENERAL SERVICE LOAD MANAGEMENT TIME-OF-DAY (223)

	Current			Proposed		
	Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
<u>Billing kWh</u> On-peak kWh Off-peak kWh	438,944 932,596	\$0.12580 \$0.03970	\$55,219 \$37,024	438,944 932,596	\$0.14801 \$0.05130	\$64,968 \$47,842
Metered kWh	1,371,540			1,371,540		
Customer Charge	624	\$3.00	\$1,872	624	\$3.00	\$1,872
Number of Customers	624			624		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$3,184		\$0.0023217	\$3,184
Environmental Surcharge			\$2,314			\$0
Total			\$99,614			\$117,867

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Attachment 1 Page 13 of 27

KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 MEDIUM GENERAL SERVICE TIME-OF-DAY (229)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
<u>Billing kWh</u> On-peak kWh Off-peak kWh	1,754,775 2,903,465	\$0.12580 \$0.03970	\$220,751 \$115,268	1,754,775 2,903,465	\$0.14801 \$0.05130	\$259,724 \$148,948
Metered kWh	4,658,240			4,658,240		
Customer Charge	1,021	\$14.30	\$14,600	1,021	\$14.30	\$14,600
Number of Customers	1,020			1,020		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$10,815		\$0.0023217	\$10,815
Environmental Surcharge			\$9,556			0\$
Total			\$370,990			\$434,087

MEDIUM GENERAL SERVICE - PRIMARY (217, 220)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
<u>Billing kWh</u> First 200 kWh per kW Over 200 kWh per kW Minimum kWh Metered Voltage Adj. Metered kWh	14,634,903 6,619,961 212,912 (726) 21,467,050	\$0.07507 \$0.06715	\$1,098,642 \$444,530	14,634,903 6,619,961 212,912 (726) 21,467,050	\$0.09054 \$0.08098	\$1,325,044 \$536,084
Billing kW Standard Mining Minimum	75,839 8,334	\$1.28 \$5.46	\$97,074 \$45,504	75,839 8,334	\$1.59 \$6.84	\$120,584 \$57,005
Customer Charge	925	\$21.00	\$19,425	925	\$25.00	\$23,125
Number of Customers	936			936		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$49,840		\$0.0023217	\$49,840
Environmental Surcharge			\$41,216			0\$
Total			\$1,796,231			\$2,111,682

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Attachment 1 Page 15 of 27

KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 MEDIUM GENERAL SERVICE - SUBTRANSMISSION (236)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed Revenue
Billing kWh First 200 kWh per kW Over 200 kWh per kW Minimum kWh Metered kWh	5,048,746 2,505,669 75,133 7,629,548	\$0.06933 \$0.06510	\$350,030 \$163,119	5,048,746 2,505,669 75,133 7,629,548	\$0.08361 \$0.07851	\$422,126 \$196,720
Billing kW Standard Mining Minimum	26,015 1,835	\$1.25 \$5.46	\$32,519 \$10,019	26,015 1,835	\$1.55 \$6.84	\$40,323 \$12,551
Customer Charge	166	\$153.00	\$25,398	166	\$182.00	\$30,212
Number of Customers	168			168		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$17,714		\$0.0023217	\$17,714
Environmental Surcharge			\$13,093			0\$
Total			\$611,891			\$719,646

LARGE GENERAL SERVICE - SECONDARY (240, 242)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
silling kWh Metered Voltage Adj. Metered kWh	577,461,697 36,642 577,498,339	\$0.06309	\$36,432,058	577,461,697 36,642 577,498,339	\$0.07795	\$45,013,139
Silling k/V	1,606,539	\$3.45	\$5,542,560	1,606,539	\$4.02	\$6,458,287
Excess kVA	50,257	\$2.97	\$149,263	50,257	\$3.46	\$173,889
Customer Charge	8,613	\$85.00	\$732,105	8,613	\$85.00	\$732,105
Number of Customers	8,616			8,616		
-uei		\$0.0023217	\$1,340,785		\$0.0023217	\$1,340,785
Environmental Surcharge			\$1,148,128			0\$
Total			\$45,344,899			\$53,718,205

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Attachment 1 Page 17 of 27

KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 LARGE GENERAL SERVICE LOAD MANAGEMENT TIME-OF-DAY (251)

	Current			Proposed		
	Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
Billing kWh						
On-peak kWh	1,284,601 1 796 881	\$0.10781 \$0.03942	\$138,493 \$70 833	1,284,601 1 796 881	\$0.12971 \$0.05116	\$166,626 \$91 928
	- 00,00 - 1			100,00		040
Wetered kWh	3,081,482			3,081,482		
Customer Charge	108	\$81.80	\$8,834	108	\$81.80	\$8,834
Number of Customers	108			108		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$7,154		\$0.0023217	\$7,154
Environmental Surcharge			\$6,257			\$0
Total			\$231,572			\$274,543

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Attachment 1 Page 18 of 27

KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 LARGE GENERAL SERVICE - PRIMARY (244, 246)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
Billing kWh Metered Voltage Adj. Metered kWh	104,787,360 (22,492) 104,764,868	\$0.05604	\$5,872,284	104,787,360 (22,492) 104,764,868	\$0.06514	\$6,825,849
Billing kW	432,390	\$3.36	\$1,452,830	432,390	\$3.89	\$1,681,997
Excess kVA	70,343	\$2.97	\$208,919	70,343	\$3.46	\$243,387
Customer Charge	1,118	\$127.50	\$142,545	1,118	\$127.50	\$142,545
Number of Customers	1,116			1,116		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$243,234		\$0.0023217	\$243,234
Environmental Surcharge			\$202,251			\$0
Total			\$8,122,063			\$9,137,011

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Attachment 1 Page 19 of 27

KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 LARGE GENERAL SERVICE - SUBTRANSMISSION (248)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
Billing kWh Metered Voltage Adj. Metered kWh	78,827,849 (43,284) 78,784,565	\$0.04539	\$3,577,996	78,827,849 (43,284) 78,784,565	\$0.04942	\$3,895,672
Billing kW	271,247	\$3.30	\$895,115	271,247	\$3.80	\$1,030,739
Excess kVA	63,743	\$2.97	\$189,317	63,743	\$3.46	\$220,551
Customer Charge	599	\$535.50	\$320,765	599	\$535.50	\$320,765
Number of Customers	600			600		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$182,915		\$0.0023217	\$182,915
Environmental Surcharge			\$130,800			0\$
Total			\$5,296,907			\$5,650,641

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Attachment 1 Page 20 of 27

KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

QUANTITY POWER - SECONDARY (356)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
Billing kWh	5,205,323	\$0.03285	\$170,995	5,205,323	\$0.03285	\$170,995
Metered kWh	5,205,323			5,205,323		
<u>Billing kW</u> On-Peak Off-Peak Excess	8,718 0	\$13.28 \$4.79	\$115,775 \$0	8,718 0	\$18.51 \$8.65	\$161,370 \$0
Billing KVAR	<del>,</del>	\$0.67	С Ф	13	\$0.69	0 \$
Customer Charge	12	\$276.00	\$3,312	12	\$276.00	\$3,312
Number of Customers	12			12		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$12,085		\$0.0023217	\$12,085
Environmental Surcharge			\$8,046			\$0
Total			\$310,222			\$347,771

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Attachment 1 Page 21 of 27

Proposed KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 QUANTITY POWER - PRIMARY (357, 358) Current

	Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
<u>Billing kWh</u> Metered Voltage Adj. Metered kWh	414,586,441 (50,673) 414,535,768	\$0.03233	\$13,403,580	414,586,441 (50,673) 414,535,768	\$0.03233	\$13,403,580
<u>Billing kW</u> On-Peak Off-Peak Excess Alternate Feed	955,233 5,340 30,392	\$11.53 \$3.31 \$4.04	\$11,013,836 \$17,675 \$122,784	955,233 5,340 30,392	\$15.00 \$5.56 \$4.34	\$14,328,495 \$29,690 \$131,901
Billing KVAR	162,132	\$0.67	\$108,628	162,132	\$0.69	\$111,871
Customer Charge	588	\$276.00	\$162,288	588	\$276.00	\$162,288
Number of Customers	588			588		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$962,433		\$0.0023217	\$962,433
Environmental Surcharge			\$673,570			0\$
Total			\$26,464,795			\$29,130,258

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Attachment 1 Page 22 of 27

KY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS	RMA - SETTLEMENT	EAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009
KENTUCKY PC	<b>PROFORMA - S</b>	TEST YEAR EN

QUANTITY POWER - SUBTRANSMISSION (359)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed Revenue
<u>Billing kwh</u> Metered Voltage Adj. Metered kwh	438,609,128 (113,116) 438,496,012	\$0.03201	\$14,039,878	438,609,128 (113,116) 438,496,012	\$0.03201	\$14,039,878
<u>Billing kW</u> On-Peak Off-Peak Excess	1,091,478 6,885	\$8.81 \$0.88	\$9,615,921 \$6,059	1,091,478 6,885	\$10.13 \$1.20	\$11,056,672 \$8,262
Billing KVAR	319,807	\$0.67	\$214,271	319,807	\$0.69	\$220,667
Customer Charge	396	\$662.00	\$262,152	396	\$662.00	\$262,152
Number of Customers	396			396		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$1,018,062		\$0.0023217	\$1,018,062
Environmental Surcharge			\$656,716			\$0
Total			\$25,813,058			\$26,605,693

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Attachment 1 Page 23 of 27

KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 QUANTITY POWER - TRANSMISSION (360)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
<u>Billing kwyh</u> Metered Voltage Adj. Metered kwh	39,572,710 (162,820) 39,409,890	\$0.03176	\$1,256,829	39,572,710 (162,820) 39,409,890	\$0.03176	\$1,256,829
<u>Billing kW</u> On-Peak Off-Peak Excess	119,865 322	\$7.47 \$0.77	\$895,392 \$248	119,865 322	\$9.00 \$1.10	\$1,078,785 \$354
Billing KVAR	30,446	\$0.67	\$20,399	30,446	\$0.69	\$21,008
Customer Charge	48	\$1,353.00	\$64,944	48	\$1,353.00	\$64,944
Number of Customers	48			48		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$91,498		\$0.0023217	\$91,498
Environmental Surcharge			\$58,722			0\$
Total			\$2,388,032			\$2,513,419
KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL POWER TIME-OF-DAY - SUBTRANSMISSION (371)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
Billing kWh	1,930,765,109	\$0.02849	\$55,007,498	1,930,765,109	\$0.02906	\$56,108,034
Metered kWh	1,930,765,109			1,930,765,109		
Billing kW On-Peak	3,425,421	\$10.83	\$37,097,309	3,425,421	\$12.06	\$41,310,577
Oft-Peak Minimum	3,416,326 94 127	\$0.98 \$11 80	\$3,347,999 \$1 110,699	3,416,326 94 127	\$1.20 \$12 17	\$4,099,591 \$1 145 576
Maximum	3,570,102	) - - -	) ) - 	3,570,102		)       
Billing KVAR	286,216	\$0.67	\$191,765	286,216	\$0.69	\$197,489
Customer Charge	168	\$662.00	\$111,216	168	\$794.00	\$133,392
Number of Customers	168			168		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$4,482,681		\$0.0023217	\$4,482,681
Environmental Surcharge			\$2,609,172			0\$
Total			\$103,958,339			\$107,477,290

KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL POWER TIME-OF-DAY - TRANSMISSION (372)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
Billing kWh	385,684,996	\$0.02829	\$10,911,029	385,684,996	\$0.02880	\$11,107,728
Metered kWh	385,684,996			385,684,996		
<u>Billing kW</u> On-Peak	611,061	\$9.35	\$5,713,420	611,061	\$10.98	\$6,709,450
Off-Peak	660,653	\$0.84	\$554,949	660,653	\$1.10	\$726,718
Minimum Maximum	163,144 916,253	\$10.32	\$1,683,646	163,144 916,253	\$11.09	\$1,809,267
Billing KVAR	60,448	\$0.67	\$40,500	60,448	\$0.69	\$41,705
Customer Charge	48	\$1,353.00	\$64,944	48	\$1,353.00	\$64,944
Number of Customers	48			48		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$895,450		\$0.0023217	\$895,450
Environmental Surcharge			\$513,929			\$0
Total			\$20,377,867			\$21,355,266

KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

STREET LIGHTING (528)

	Current			Proposed		
	Units Units	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
OH Service on Distribution Poles						
100 watts, 9,500 Lumens	93,468	\$5.93	\$554,265	93,468	\$7.25	\$677.643
150 watts, 16,000 Lumens	960	\$6.85	\$6,576	960	\$8.30	\$7,968
200 watts, 22,000 Lumens	28,488	\$8.65	\$246,421	28,488	\$10.30	\$293,426
400 watts, 50,000 Lumens	5,568	\$12.88	\$71,716	5,568	\$16.05	\$89,366
Service on New Wood Distribution Poles						
100 watts, 9,500 Lumens	6,108	\$9.23	\$56,377	6,108	\$10.25	\$62,607
150 watts, 16,000 Lumens	312	\$10.20	\$3,182	312	\$11,40	\$3,557
200 watts, 22,000 Lumens	6,348	\$11.90	\$75,541	6,348	\$13.15	\$83,476
400 watts, 50,000 Lumens	1,572	\$16.13	\$25,356	1,572	\$18.45	\$29,003
Service on New Metal or Concrete Poles						
100 watts, 9,500 Lumens	·	\$15.13	\$0	1	\$18.90	\$0
150 watts, 16,000 Lumens	ı	\$15.90	\$0	ı	\$19.85	0\$
200 watts, 22,000 Lumens	1,176	\$20.20	\$23,755	1,176	\$25.25	\$29,694
400 watts, 50,000 Lumens	852	\$21.98	\$18,727	852	\$27,45	\$23,387
Metered kWh	8,485,771			8,485,771		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$19,702		\$0.0023217	\$19,702
Environmental Surcharge			\$27,829			0\$
Total			\$1,129,448			\$1,319,830

KPSC Case No. 2011-00401 KIUC's Initial Data Requests Dated January 13, 2012 Item No. 43 Attachment 1 Page 27 of 27

KENTUCKY POWER BILLING ANALYSIS PROFORMA - SETTLEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

MUNICIPAL WATERWORKS (540)

	Current Billing <u>Units</u>	Current <u>Rate</u>	Current <u>Revenue</u>	Proposed Billing <u>Units</u>	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Proposed <u>Revenue</u>
All kWh Minimimik WV	7,802,389 19,035	\$0.06866	\$535,712	7,802,389 19,035	\$0.08300	\$647,598
Metered kWh	7,821,424			7,821,424		
Minimum kW	2,338	\$3.65	\$8,534	2,338	\$4.10	\$9,586
Customer Charge	240	\$22.90	\$5,496	240	\$22.90	\$5,496
Number of Customers	240			240		
Fuel		\$0.0023217	\$18,159		\$0.0023217	\$18,159
Environmental Surcharge			\$14,797			\$0
Total			\$582,698			\$680,839